



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Video Relay Service: Program Funding and Reform

Updated November 6, 2018

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

R42830

Summary

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates a number of disability-related telecommunications services, including video relay service (VRS). VRS allows persons with hearing disabilities, using American Sign Language (ASL), to communicate with voice telephone users through video equipment rather than through typed text. VRS has quickly become a very popular service, as it offers several features not available with the text-based telecommunications relay service (TRS).

The FCC has adopted various rules to maintain the quality of VRS service. Now VRS providers must answer 80% of all VRS calls within 120 seconds. VRS providers must also offer the service 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Additionally, in June 2010, the FCC began a comprehensive review of the rates, structure, and practices of the VRS program to minimize waste, fraud, and abuse and update compensation rates that had become inflated above actual cost. Rules in that proceeding were issued in June 2013. The new rules initiated fundamental restructuring of the program to support innovation and competition, drive down ratepayer and provider costs, eliminate incentives for waste, and further protect consumers. In addition, the new rules transition VRS compensation rates toward actual costs over the next four years, initiating a step-by-step transition from existing tiered TRS Fund compensation rates toward a unitary, market-based compensation rate.

On June 20, 2017, the FCC extended existing (2016-2017) VRS rates on a provisional basis. For VRS providers with more than 500,000 monthly minutes, the per-minute VRS compensation rates are:

- \$4.06 for minutes that fall within Tier I (a provider's first 500,000 monthly minutes);
- \$4.06 for minutes that fall within Tier II (a provider's second 500,000 monthly minutes); and
- \$3.49 for minutes that fall within Tier III (a provider's monthly minutes in excess of 1,000,000).

For VRS providers with 500,000 or fewer monthly minutes, the per-minute VRS compensation rate is \$4.82. Based on these compensation rates, the maximum rates within the range of VRS rates proposed by the Commission, projected demand for the services, and projected Fund administration expenses, the FCC adopted a funding requirement of \$1,328,188,285, and a carrier contribution factor of 0.02289.

Congressional interest in the VRS program is twofold: eliminating fraud and abuse in the program and maintaining the usefulness of the program for users. Controversy has arisen over the latest proposals for change to the program being considered by the FCC. The FCC believes that rate structure changes are needed to reduce fraud and better manage the VRS program, but the deaf and hard-of-hearing community is concerned that funding cuts will result in fewer and less-qualified ASL interpreters. Additionally, the FCC has proposed changing the technologies used to operate and use the system, but the community is concerned that changes in technology will decrease the quality of the system as it is now and also potentially pose challenges to some users.

Contents

Introduction: How Video Relay Service Works	1
Program Overview	2
Management.....	2
VRS Provider Service Standards	2
Funding Source	2
Provider Contributions, Compensation, and Reimbursement	3
Policy Considerations.....	3
Congressional Considerations	3

Figures

Figure 1. How Video Relay Service Works.....	1
--	---

Tables

Table 1. 2018-2019 VRS Fund Year Rates.....	3
---	---

Contacts

Author Information.....	4
-------------------------	---

Introduction: How Video Relay Service Works

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates a number of disability-related telecommunications services, including video relay service (VRS). VRS is a form of telecommunications relay service (TRS).¹ The service allows persons with hearing disabilities, using American Sign Language (ASL), to communicate with voice telephone users through video equipment rather than through typed text. Video equipment links the VRS user with a “communications assistant” (CA) so that the VRS user and the CA can see and communicate with each other in signed conversation (see **Figure 1**).

Figure 1. How Video Relay Service Works



Source: Gallaudet University, “Accessible Emergency Notification and Communication: State of the Science Conference (Presentation),” http://tap.gallaudet.edu/Emergency/Nov05Conference/Presentations/maddix_files/textmostly/slide2.html.

VRS has quickly become a very popular service. It offers several features not available with the text-based TRS:

- People with hearing disabilities can communicate using ASL rather than typing what they want to say. This allows them to incorporate facial expressions and body language into their conversations, which cannot be done using text.
- A VRS call is more like a telephone conversation between two hearing persons. For example, the parties can interrupt each other. The parties cannot interrupt each other during a traditional TRS call because the parties have to take turns communicating with the CA.
- Conversation flows more naturally between the parties, so the conversation may take place more quickly than with TRS.
- VRS calls may be made between ASL users and hearing persons speaking either English or Spanish.

¹ TRS is not specifically addressed in this report. TRS is available to the speech impaired and deaf-blind (telebraille). VRS is only for the deaf and hard-of-hearing. Neither the blind nor the speech impaired would benefit from VRS since they would not be able to see the operator or speak to the operator, respectively. Information about the TRS program is available at <http://www.fcc.gov/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>. Information about telebraille is available at <http://www.deafblind.com/telebrl.html>.

VRS is different from other forms of TRS in two important ways: (1) the conversation between the VRS user and the CA is made through a video link and sign language rather than typed text; and (2) the service relies on the Internet, rather than the public telephone system, for the connection between the VRS user and the CA. Also, unlike some other forms of TRS, VRS is not mandatory.

Program Overview

VRS is free to the caller, and VRS providers are reimbursed for their costs from the TRS Fund.

Management

Since July 1, 2011, the TRS Fund has been administered by Rolka Loube Saltzer Associates, LLC (RLSA). Prior to that date, the fund was administered by the National Exchange Carriers Association.

VRS Provider Service Standards

VRS providers are subject to certain requirements and prohibitions:

- Eighty percent of all VRS calls must be answered within 120 seconds.
- Service must be offered 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
- VRS providers must provide their users with a 10-digit telephone number, so users will be able to make 911 calls and have their location data routed to the appropriate emergency agency.
- Preferential treatment of calls is prohibited. VRS (and TRS) providers must handle calls in the order in which they are received. They cannot selectively answer calls from certain consumers or certain locations.
- Equipment distributed by a certified VRS provider must be interoperable with the technology of other certified VRS providers.
- VRS (and TRS) providers may not offer financial incentives to use their service or to make more or longer VRS (or TRS) calls.

Funding Source

The VRS program is funded through the larger TRS Fund. The TRS Fund² is a revolving fund financed through contributions by all providers of interstate telecommunications services.³ Contributions are based on a “contribution factor” that is set on an annual basis by the FCC.

² The TRS Fund is similar to another FCC program, the Universal Service Fund (USF). For information on the USF, see CRS Report RL33979, *Universal Service Fund: Background and Options for Reform*, by Angele A. Gilroy.

³ Contributions are made by all carriers who provide interstate services, including, but not limited to, cellular telephone and paging, mobile radio, operator services, personal communications service, access (including subscriber line charges), alternative access and special access, packet-switched, WATS, 800, 900, message telephone service, private line, telex, telegraph, video, satellite, intraLATA, and international and resale services.

Provider Contributions, Compensation, and Reimbursement

On June 29, 2018, the FCC set VRS rates for the 2018-2019 fund year (July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019) (see **Table 1**).

Table 1. 2018-2019 VRS Fund Year Rates

Tier (by Minutes of Use)	2018-2019 Fund Year (per minute rate)
Emergent (1 st 500,000 monthly minutes) for VRS providers with 500,000 or fewer minutes in a month	\$5.29
Tier I (1 st 1,000,000 monthly minutes) for VRS providers with more than 500,000 minutes in a month	\$4.82
Tier II (1,000,001 to 2,500,000 monthly minutes)	\$3.97
Tier III (monthly minutes exceeding 2,500,000)	\$2.83

Source: Federal Communications Commission.

For VRS providers with 500,000 or fewer monthly minutes, the per-minute VRS compensation rate is \$5.29. Based on these compensation rates, the maximum rates within the range of VRS rates proposed by the Commission, projected demand for the services, and projected Fund administration expenses, the FCC adopted a funding requirement of \$1,497,699,377, and a carrier contribution factor of 0.02801.⁴

Policy Considerations

The FCC has implemented changes to the VRS program to reduce fraud and abuse, better manage the amount of money that is collected to fund the program, and take advantage of technological advancements.

The primary concern of the deaf and hard-of-hearing community appears to be that cuts to the fund may result in fewer and less-qualified ASL interpreters, which would decrease the functional equivalency of the service. Additionally, it is concerned that changes in technology—even “better” technology—will decrease competition among service providers, possibly decreasing innovation. Moreover, the community believes that changes in the technology could pose challenges to some users and make placing and receiving calls more difficult.

Congressional Considerations

The deaf and hard-of-hearing community will likely continue to contact Congress whenever changes are proposed for the VRS program. The community relies heavily on the program, so it is understandable that they might view any proposed changes with concern. However, the FCC also has a responsibility to make sure that the fund remains solvent and to take advantage of advances in technology that it has determined will improve the system. Congress may wish to monitor the current proposed changes to the system to ensure that the FCC, while working to modernize TRS

⁴ Federal Communications Commission, In the Matters of Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities (CG Docket No. 03-123) and Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program (CG Docket No. 10-51), DA 18-680, Order, June 29, 2018, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-18-680A1.pdf>.

technology and minimize financial abuse, also gives full consideration to the concerns of the deaf and hard-of-hearing community.

Author Information

Patricia Moloney Figliola
Specialist in Internet and Telecommunications
Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.