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Summary

The Social Security Administration (SSA) is responsible for administering a number of federal entitlement programs that provide income support (cash benefits) to qualified individuals. These programs are

- Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI), commonly known as Social Security;
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI) for the Aged, Blind, and Disabled; and
- Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans.

In FY2017, SSA’s programs are projected to pay a combined $1 trillion in federal benefits to an estimated 68.4 million individuals. The cost to administer these programs is projected to be about 1.3% of benefit outlays.

Although benefit payments for SSA’s programs are considered mandatory spending and thus are not controlled by the annual appropriations process, the agency requires annual discretionary appropriations to carry out its programs and to support the administration of non-SSA programs, such as Medicare, as well as various other priorities. The annual appropriation for SSA’s limitation on administrative expenses (LAE) account provides nearly all of the agency’s administrative funding. The LAE account is composed of funds from the Social Security and Medicare trust funds for their share of administrative expenses, the general fund of the U.S. Treasury for SSI’s share of administrative expenses, and user fees paid to SSA for certain administrative activities. Additional appropriations from Congress provide funding for SSI program costs, research and demonstration projects, SSA’s Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and certain payments to the Social Security trust funds. SSA’s accounts are traditionally funded through the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies (LHHS) appropriations bill.

The FY2017 President’s budget request for SSA’s LAE account is $13.067 billion, which includes $1.819 billion for program integrity activities such as continuing disability reviews (CDRs) and SSI non-medical redeterminations. By comparison, the FY2016 appropriation for SSA’s LAE account was $12.162 billion, with $1.426 billion dedicated to program integrity work.

On June 9, 2016, the Senate Committee on Appropriations approved its FY2017 LHHS appropriations bill by a vote of 29 to 1. The Senate bill would provide $12.482 billion for SSA’s LAE account, which is 4.5% less than the amount in the FY2017 President’s budget request but is 2.6% more than the amount enacted for FY2016. On July 14, 2016, the House Committee on Appropriations approved its FY2017 LHHS appropriations bill by a vote of 31 to 19. The House bill would provide $11.899 billion for SSA’s LAE account, which is 8.9% less than the amount in the FY2017 President’s budget request and is 2.2% less than the amount enacted for FY2016.

On September 29, 2016, President Barack Obama signed into law the Continuing Appropriations and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, and Zika Response and Preparedness Act (H.R. 5325; P.L. 114-223), which contains the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2017 (Division C). The day before, H.R. 5325 was passed in the Senate by a vote of 72-26 and in the House by a vote of 342-85. The FY2017 continuing resolution (CR) provides continuing appropriations for 11 of the 12 annual appropriations bills (including the LHHS appropriations bill) through December 9, 2016. In general, discretionary accounts covered by the FY2017 CR are funded at the same rate and under the same conditions as they were in the FY2016 omnibus, minus an across-the-board (ATB) rescission of 0.496%. However, funding dedicated to SSA’s program integrity work is exempt from the ATB rescission, making the
effective reduction to the total LAE account 0.438%. The funding level for the LAE account under the FY2017 CR is $12.109 billion.

Over the past several years, Congress has increased the amount of funding provided to SSA for program integrity work. This increase has allowed the agency to process more CDRs and SSI redeterminations, resulting in additional net savings to the federal government. However, funding for non-program integrity work during this period has essentially remained flat in nominal (unadjusted) terms. According to SSA and others, recently enacted funding levels for non-program integrity work have contributed to agency delays in processing other workloads, such as pending disability cases at the hearing level of the administrative appeals process.
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Introduction

The Social Security Administration (SSA) oversees programs that touch the lives of millions of American families and are key components of the nation’s economic safety net. The Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) program, commonly known as Social Security, is the most well-known of these programs. SSA is also responsible for carrying out two cash assistance programs for certain groups of low-income individuals: (1) Supplemental Security Income (SSI) for the Aged, Blind, and Disabled and (2) Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans. In addition to its own programs, the agency supports the administration of a number of non-SSA programs, such as Medicare, and provides and verifies data for a variety of federal and state program purposes. Benefit payments for SSA’s programs are considered mandatory spending, which means that such outlays are controlled by each program’s authorizing statute—not by appropriations acts.1 However, the resources needed to carry out SSA’s programs, as well as to support the administration of other priorities, are considered discretionary spending and thus are controlled by the annual appropriations process.2

This report provides background on mandatory spending for SSA’s programs but its focus is on annual discretionary appropriations for SSA’s administrative activities. It begins with a brief description of SSA’s programs and then examines the agency’s projected spending on benefit payments and operating costs in FY2017. Next, it provides an overview of the FY2017 President’s budget request for all of SSA’s accounts, the FY2017 Commissioner’s budget request for the agency’s administrative accounts, and major congressional actions on SSA’s appropriations for FY2017. Lastly, the report examines trends in the budget request and the appropriation for the limitation on administrative expenses (LAE) as well as how changes in the composition of the LAE appropriation have affected agency workloads. Most of the data presented in this report can be found in SSA’s FY2017 budget justification to Congress, which is available at https://www.ssa.gov/budget/.

Background on SSA’s Programs

SSA is charged with administering several federal income support programs established under the Social Security Act, namely

- Social Security (OASDI; Title II of the act);3
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI; Title XVI of the act);4 and
- Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans (Title VIII of the act).5

---

2 The Social Security Administration’s (SSA’s) other priorities include activities related to the following programs, initiatives, and federal laws: Medicare, E-Verify, the Employees Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, the Coal Act, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP; formerly Food Stamps), Social Security Number (SSN) verifications, the Help America Vote Act, and the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP).
3 42 U.S.C. §401 et seq.
4 42 U.S.C. §1381 et seq.
5 42 U.S.C. §1001 et seq.
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Social Security is a social insurance program that replaces a portion of an insured worker’s earnings based on the individual’s career-average earnings in covered employment. In contrast, SSI and Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans are public assistance programs that provide a guaranteed minimum income to certain groups of individuals who have little or no Social Security or other income. All three programs are entitlements, meaning that the federal government is obligated to pay benefits to individuals who meet the eligibility requirements specified in each program’s authorizing statute. To conform to the presentation of data in SSA’s FY2017 budget justification to Congress, this report describes Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance as separate programs.

Old-Age and Survivors Insurance

Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) provides monthly cash benefits to insured workers aged 62 or older and to their eligible spouses and children. It also pays benefits to certain survivors of deceased insured workers. Workers achieve insured status by working and paying Social Security taxes for a sufficient number of years in jobs that are covered under the Social Security system. OASI benefits and administrative costs are paid out of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund to which current workers, their employers, and self-employed individuals contribute. Under current law, the OASI trust fund’s share of the combined 12.4% Social Security payroll tax rate is 10.03%.

Social Security Disability Insurance

Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) pays monthly cash benefits to nonelderly insured workers who are unable to perform substantial work because of severe, long-term disabilities and to their eligible spouses and children. Workers become insured in the event of disability by working for a certain number

| FY2017 Projections for OASI
| Number of beneficiaries: 51.8 million |
| Average monthly retired-worker benefit: $1,369 |
| Benefit outlays: $812.6 billion |
| Administrative and other costs: $7.4 billion |
| Total program spending: $820 billion |

| FY2017 Projections for SSDI
| Number of beneficiaries: 11 million |
| Average monthly disabled-worker benefit: $1,176 |
| Benefit outlays: $149.2 billion |
| Administrative and other costs: $3.5 billion |
| Total program spending: $152.7 billion |


For more information on Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI), see CRS Report R42035, Social Security Primer.

SSA, Justifications of Estimates for Appropriations Committees, Fiscal Year 2017, February 2016, Tables i.4-i.6, pp. 4-5, https://www.ssa.gov/budget/ (hereinafter cited as “SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification”).

Figure includes OASI administrative expenses, beneficiary services, payments to the Railroad Retirement Board, and Treasury administrative expenses. OASI administrative expenses chargeable to the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund are projected to be $2.8 billion in FY2017.

For more information on the OASI trust fund, see CRS Report RL33028, Social Security: The Trust Funds.

For more information on Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI), see CRS Report RL32279, Primer on Disability Benefits: Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) and Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Tables i.4-i.6, pp. 4-5.

Figure includes SSDI administrative expenses, beneficiary services, payment to the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB), demonstration projects, and Treasury administrative expenses. SSDI administrative expenses chargeable to the Federal Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund are projected to be $3 billion in FY2017.
of years in jobs that are covered under Social Security and thus are subject to payroll taxes. SSDI benefits are payable until the disabled worker dies, returns to work, or reaches Social Security’s full retirement age (currently 66), at which point the worker transitions to OASI. SSDI benefits and administrative costs are paid out of the Federal Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund to which current workers, their employers, and self-employed individuals contribute. Under current law, the DI trust fund’s share of the combined 12.4% Social Security payroll tax rate is 2.37%.

**Supplemental Security Income**

SSI provides cash assistance to needy aged, blind, or disabled individuals, including blind or disabled children. The program’s goal is to provide qualified individuals with a guaranteed minimum income to meet their basic needs for food, clothing, shelter, and other daily necessities. To qualify for SSI, a person must have limited income and assets as well as meet certain other requirements. Monthly SSI benefits are reduced by other countable income, meaning that SSI is often a program of “last resort” for low-income seniors and individuals with disabilities. States may complement the federal SSI benefit with state supplementary payments (SSPs) that are made solely with state funds. Federal SSI benefits and administrative costs are paid out of the general fund of the U.S. Treasury, also known as general revenues.

**Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans**

The Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans program provides a minimum cash benefit to two groups of low-income individuals who live outside of the United States: American veterans of World War II and veterans of the Filipino armed forces that fought alongside the American military during that conflict. To qualify, individuals must have been aged 65 or older on December 14, 1999; have been SSI eligible for that month; be an eligible World War II veteran; have limited income; have been SSI eligible for that month; be an eligible World War II veteran; have limited income;

---

14 For more information on the DI trust fund, see CRS Report R43318, *The Social Security Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund: Background and Current Status*.

15 For more information on Supplemental Security Income (SSI), see CRS Report RL32279, *Primer on Disability Benefits: Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) and Supplemental Security Income (SSI)*.

16 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Tables i.4 and i.7-i.8, pp. 4, 6-7.

17 Figure includes beneficiary services, research, administrative costs, and $2 million for the State Department’s two-year Special Immigrant Visa extension for Afghans in FY2017.

18 Total projected SSI program spending does not include the fees paid by states to SSA to administer their state supplementation programs.


20 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Tables i.4 and i.9, pp. 4 and 8.
and reside outside the United States. The program’s benefits and related administrative costs are paid out of general revenues.

**Projected SSA Outlays in FY2017**

As shown in **Figure 1**, outlays for the OASI, SSDI, SSI, and Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans programs combined are projected to be $1.034 trillion in FY2017. This projection includes benefit payments as well as SSA and non-SSA administrative expenses and certain other costs. Of this amount, nearly $1.018 trillion (or 98.4%) is for benefit payments. Adjusted for double counting, SSA estimates that in FY2017 approximately 68.4 million individuals will receive federal benefits from its programs.21

**Figure 1. Projected SSA Outlays in FY2017, by Program and Type of Spending**

![Diagram showing projected SSA outlays in FY2017.](image)

**Source:** Congressional Research Service (CRS), based on data from Social Security Administration (SSA), Justifications of Estimates for Appropriations Committees, Fiscal Year 2017, February 2016, Tables i.4, i.5, i.7 and i.9, pp. 4-8, https://www.ssa.gov/budget/ (hereinafter cited as “SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification”).

**Notes:** OASI = Old-Age and Survivors Insurance; SSDI = Social Security Disability Insurance; SSI = Supplemental Security Income; WWII Vets = Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans. “Other Costs” under the “By Program” pie chart include outlays for administrative activities related to the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA; P.L. 114-10) as well as costs for SSA’s National Support Center. Subtotals may not equal totals due to rounding.

As noted earlier, benefit outlays for SSA’s programs are considered mandatory spending and therefore are not controlled by annual appropriations acts.22 Spending on benefit payments for

---

21 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 3.18, p. 145. Figure is adjusted for concurrent receipt of Social Security and SSI and excludes individuals in receipt of a federally administered state supplementary payment (SSP) but no federal SSI benefit. It does not include recipients of the Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans program.

these programs is determined by the eligibility requirements and benefit formula specified in each program’s authorizing statute.

Table 1 shows administrative outlays for each program as a percentage of benefit payments and for Social Security, as a share of trust fund income.\(^{23}\) The right column of the table excludes non-SSA administrative expenses and certain other costs, except in the row labeled “Total,” which includes costs associated with Medicare-related activities. Administrative costs as a share of benefit payments are projected to be 1.3% in FY2017.\(^{24}\)

\[\text{Table 1. Projected Administrative Costs as a Share of Benefit Payments and as a Share of Trust Fund Income, FY2017}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Share of Benefit Payments</th>
<th>Share of Trust Fund Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OASDI (combined)</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASI</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSDI</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI (federal and state)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWII Vets</td>
<td>50.0(^{b})</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1.3(^{c})</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{Source: CRS, adapted from SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table i.10, p. 9.}\]

\[\text{Notes: The table excludes certain non-SSA administrative outlays and other expenses attributable to the OASI, SSDI, and SSI programs in Tables i.5 and i.7 of SSA’s FY2017 Budget Justification.}\]

\[a. \text{Not applicable.}\]

b. In FY2017, administrative outlays for the Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans program are projected to be $1 million, and federal benefits are projected to be $2 million. Because these numbers are rounded, administrative outlays as a share of benefit payments may be less than or greater than 50%.

c. Includes Hospital Insurance (HI) and Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) administrative outlays.

Administrative costs as a share of benefit payments are greater for SSA’s disability programs compared with OASI because the disability programs are more complicated to administer and thus require more resources per applicant or beneficiary. As a means-tested program, SSI is particularly complex to administer because SSA must verify all sources of income and resources available to a SSI recipient and adjust benefits every time there is a change in the recipient’s income, which could be on a month-by-month basis. The ratio of administrative costs to benefit payments for the Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans program is noticeably higher compared with Social Security or SSI because of low benefit levels and the declining number of individuals in receipt of benefits. In FY2017, SSA projects that the program will provide benefits to fewer than 500 individuals each month, on average.

\[\text{23 Income to the OASI and DI trust funds is composed of payroll taxes, income from the taxation of Social Security benefits, reimbursements from the general fund of the U.S. Treasury for various costs imposed on the Social Security program, and interest earned on investments held by the trust funds.}\]

\[\text{24 The 1.3% amount differs from the 1.6% amount shown in Figure 1 for two reasons. First, the 1.3% amount reflects administrative costs as a share of benefit payments, whereas the 1.6% amount reflects administrative costs as a share of total outlays (benefit payments plus administrative expenses and other costs). Second, the 1.3% amount excludes certain costs, such as Treasury administrative expenses associated with the OASI and SSDI programs.}\]
FY2017 Budget Request and Appropriations for SSA

Although benefit payments for SSA’s programs are considered mandatory spending and thus are not controlled by the annual appropriations process, the agency requires annual discretionary appropriations to carry out its programs and to support the administration of non-SSA programs, such as Medicare, and other priorities. This section of the report provides an overview of SSA’s accounts by examining the FY2017 President’s budget request for the agency. It also discusses the FY2017 Commissioner’s budget request for the agency’s administrative accounts and highlights major congressional actions on SSA’s FY2017 appropriations. SSA’s accounts are traditionally funded through the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies (LHHS) appropriations bill.

FY2017 President’s Budget Request

The President’s FY2017 budget request to Congress for SSA consists of four accounts: (1) Payments to Social Security Trust Funds, (2) Supplemental Security Income Program, (3) Limitation on Administrative Expenses (LAE), and (4) Office of Inspector General. The LAE account funds the costs for carrying out SSA’s programs and for supporting the administration of non-SSA programs and other priorities. The FY2017 President’s budget request for SSA’s accounts is summarized in Table 2. Each account is discussed in more detail below.

Table 2. Summary of the FY2017 President’s Budget Request for SSA’s Accounts (in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Type of Funding</th>
<th>FY2017 President’s Budget Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payments to Social Security Trust Funds</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>$11,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Security Income Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2017 Request</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>43,824,868*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2018 First Quarter Advance</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation on Administrative Expenses</td>
<td>Discretionary</td>
<td>13,067,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Inspector General</td>
<td>Discretionary</td>
<td>112,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CRS, adapted from SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table i.1, p. 1.

a. Excludes $14.5 billion previously appropriated as a first quarter advance for FY2017.
b. Includes $126 million for SSI state supplement user fees and up to $1 million for non-attorney representative user fees.

Payments to Social Security Trust Funds

The Payment to Social Security Trust Funds account is designed to reimburse the OASI and DI trust funds for the costs of certain activities payable by general revenues. It consists of mandatory funding that is permanently and indefinitely authorized—and thus is provided outside of the annual appropriations process—and mandatory funding that is provided through the annual appropriations process.


Mandatory Funding Provided Through the Annual Appropriations Process

For FY2017, the President’s budget request includes $11.4 million in payments to the Social Security trust funds that are provided through the annual appropriations process.27 Of this amount, $5 million is for interest earned on benefit checks that remain uncashed for at least six months and $6.4 million is for administrative costs related to 1974 pension reform legislation.28

Mandatory Funding Provided Outside the Annual Appropriations Process

For FY2017, the President’s budget projects $39.2 billion in payments to the Social Security trust funds that are provided outside of the annual appropriations process.29 Nearly this entire amount (or 99.9%) is from subjecting a portion of Social Security benefits to federal income tax.30 The remaining amounts are from reimbursements for union administrative expenses and payments related to changes in the reporting of self-employment income.31

Supplemental Security Income Program

The vast majority of funding provided to SSA each year through the appropriations process is for the SSI program, which is an appropriated entitlement (mandatory appropriation).32 As with other entitlement programs, such as Social Security, the level of spending on SSI benefits is controlled through the program’s authorizing statute, which sets the criteria used to determine program eligibility and benefit levels. However, because SSI’s authorizing statute does not provide authority to make payments to fulfill legal obligations, funding for SSI benefits is provided through mandatory spending that is enacted through annual appropriations acts. SSI-related administrative expenses are also provided through the annual appropriations process. Funding for the SSI program is paid out of general revenues and appropriated to SSA in the Supplemental Security Income Program account.

The SSI account contains three components. First, there is a regular appropriation for SSI benefits and administrative costs for the current fiscal year, which is described in additional detail below. Second, there is an indefinite appropriation for any costs incurred for the current fiscal year after June 15. This component allows SSA to continue to pay SSI benefits in the event that benefit obligations are greater than expected during the last months of the fiscal year. Third, there

---

27 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 1.4, p. 21.
28 Section 1131 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. §1320b-1), as established by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA; P.L. 93-406), requires SSA to furnish certain information on deferred vested pension rights to pension plan participants and their dependents and survivors. The cost of this activity is paid initially from the OASI trust fund through SSA’s limitation on administrative expenses (LAE) account and is later reimbursed from general revenues through an annual appropriation.
29 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 1.5, p. 22.
30 Up to 85% of a Social Security recipient’s benefits are subject to federal income tax. The share of Social Security benefits that is taxable depends on whether the individual’s provisional income exceeds certain thresholds. Provisional income equals adjusted gross income plus otherwise tax-exempt interest income (i.e., interest from tax-exempt bonds), plus 50% of Social Security benefits. Income derived from the taxation of up to the first 50% of Social Security benefits is credited to the OASI and DI trust funds based on the source of the benefits taxed. Medicare’s Hospital Insurance (HI) trust fund is credited with the remainder of income from the taxation of Social Security benefits. See CRS Report RL32552, Social Security: Calculation and History of Taxing Benefits.
31 The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-246) made changes to the reporting of self-employment income. Section 15361 of the act is a mandatory appropriation to reimburse the OASI and DI trust funds for the loss of revenues for FY2009 through FY2017 because of these changes. The estimate for FY2017 is $7 million.
is an *advance appropriation* for benefit payments for the first quarter of the succeeding fiscal year. This component is designed to ensure the timely payment of benefits in case of a delay in next fiscal year’s appropriations bill. Funds appropriated for the SSI program remain available to SSA until expended.

As shown in Table 3, the FY2017 President’s budget request includes $43.8 billion for FY2017 program costs (“Subtotal Regular Appropriation”) and $15 billion for SSI benefits in the first quarter of FY2018 (“Advance for Subsequent Year”). Total SSI benefits payable in FY2017 are estimated to be $52.9 billion (“Subtotal Federal Benefits”), with $14.5 billion coming from the advance appropriation that was enacted as part of the FY2016 omnibus for SSI benefits in the first quarter of FY2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriation</th>
<th>FY2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY2016 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2017 President’s Budget Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advance for Federal Benefits</td>
<td>$19,700,000</td>
<td>$19,200,000</td>
<td>$14,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular for Federal Benefits</td>
<td>36,501,000</td>
<td>40,410,000</td>
<td>38,441,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Federal Benefits</strong></td>
<td><strong>$56,201,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$59,610,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$52,941,736</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expenses</td>
<td>4,578,978</td>
<td>4,648,733</td>
<td>5,234,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiary Services</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>89,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Demonstration</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>51,000</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Intervention Demonstration</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Immigrant Visa-Afghani</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Advance Appropriation</strong></td>
<td><strong>$19,700,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$19,200,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Regular Appropriation</strong></td>
<td><strong>$41,232,978</strong></td>
<td><strong>$45,229,733</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,824,868</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Appropriation</td>
<td>$60,932,978</td>
<td>$64,429,733</td>
<td>$58,324,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance for Subsequent Year</td>
<td>$19,200,000</td>
<td>$14,500,000</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** CRS, adapted from SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 2.3, p. 43.

**Notes:** Table does not include (1) state supplementary payments (SSPs) and reimbursements or (2) the corresponding state supplementary user fee collections. User fees are included in the appropriation for the limitation on administrative expenses (LAE) account.

In addition to funding benefit payments, the SSI appropriation provides for the program’s administrative expenses, beneficiary services, and research and demonstration project-related costs. The FY2017 President’s budget request for these administrative and other expenses is $5.4 billion.

Administrative expenses for the SSI program are initially paid from the OASI and DI trust funds and are appropriated to the discretionary LAE account. The mandatory appropriation to the SSI program account is used to reimburse the trust funds from general revenues for these costs. Administrative expenses for the SSI program include costs related to disability determinations,
initial applications and appeals, and program integrity activities. The FY2017 President’s budget request for administrative expenses is $5.2 billion (Table 3).

The SSI appropriation also funds beneficiary services, research, and Medicare outreach. Beneficiary services include payments to state vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies and Ticket to Work employment networks (ENs) for employment services provided to SSI recipients. The FY2017 President’s budget request includes $89 million for beneficiary services, $58 million for research and demonstration projects, and an additional $2 million for costs associated with the Department of State’s two-year special immigrant visa extension for Afghans (Table 3).36

**Limitation on Administrative Expenses**

The appropriation for the LAE account funds SSA’s administrative costs associated with OASI, SSDI, SSI, and Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans as well as costs incurred by the agency to support Medicare and other non-SSA programs. This account also funds certain functions, such as employment verification, information technology activities, and the Social Security Advisory Board (SSAB). The LAE account is discretionary and thus is controlled through the annual appropriations process. The funds that make up this account come from Social Security’s OASI and DI trust funds, Medicare’s Hospital Insurance (HI) and Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) trust funds, general revenues, and user fees paid to SSA (Figure 2).37

**Figure 2. Components of the Budget Authority for the LAE Account in the FY2017 President’s Budget Request**

![Diagram of budget authority components]

*Source:* CRS, based on data from SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 3.7, pp. 129-130.

*Notes:* The share labeled “Social Security Trust Funds” includes funding for the administration of the Special Benefits for Certain World War II Veterans program and for the Social Security Advisory Board (SSAB).

---

36 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 2.3, p. 43.
37 For more information on Medicare’s trust funds, see CRS Report R43122, *Medicare Financial Status: In Brief*. 
The FY2017 President’s budget request for SSA’s LAE account is $13.067 billion, which is $905 million (or 7.4%) more than the amount enacted for FY2016.\(^{38}\) This overall appropriation consists of the base LAE appropriation, additional funding for program integrity work, and funding for LAE activities from user fees paid to SSA. The base LAE appropriation is the general appropriation for SSA’s administrative activities. The FY2017 President’s budget request for the base LAE appropriation is $11.121 billion, which is $522 million (or 4.9%) more than the amount enacted for FY2016 (Table 4).

### Table 4. Comparison of the FY2017 President’s Budget Request and the FY2016 Enacted Appropriation for the LAE Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>FY2016 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2017 President’s Budget Request</th>
<th>FY2016 to FY2017 Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10,598,945</td>
<td>$11,121,000</td>
<td>$522,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base LAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Integrity (total)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Amount</strong></td>
<td>1,426,000</td>
<td>1,819,000</td>
<td>393,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cap Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,153,000</td>
<td>1,546,000</td>
<td>393,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI State Supplement User Fees</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>126,000</td>
<td>-10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Attorney Representative User Fees</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total LAE</strong></td>
<td>$12,161,945</td>
<td>$13,067,000</td>
<td>$905,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** CRS, based on SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table i.2, p. 2.

Please note that the FY2016 base LAE appropriation provided $150 million for one-time costs associated with the renovations and modernization of the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building, which is located at SSA’s headquarters campus in Woodlawn, Maryland. If these costs are excluded, then the FY2017 President’s budget request is $672 million (or 6.4%) more than the FY2016 base LAE appropriation and is $1.055 billion (or 8.8%) more than the FY2016 total LAE appropriation.

### Program Integrity Activities

The FY2017 President’s budget request includes $1.819 billion for costs associated with SSA’s program integrity activities, which include continuing disability reviews (CDRs) and SSI redeterminations.\(^ {39}\) CDRs are periodic reviews of disabled Social Security and SSI beneficiaries to determine if they continue to meet the statutory definition of disability. SSI redeterminations are periodic reviews of nonmedical eligibility factors (such as income, assets, and living arrangements) to determine if SSI recipients are still eligible for the program and are receiving the correct payment amount. SSA estimates that the number of CDRs scheduled for FY2017 will result in net federal program savings (OASDI, SSI, Medicare, and Medicaid) over a 10-year period of about $8 on average for every $1 appropriated for program integrity funding. Likewise, the agency estimates that the level of SSI redeterminations scheduled for the fiscal year will result

---

\(^{38}\) SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, pp. 96-98.

\(^{39}\) Ibid.
in net federal program savings over 10 years of about $3 on average for every $1 budgeted for program integrity funding.\textsuperscript{40}

Section 101 of the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA; P.L. 112-25) amended Section 251 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (BBEDCA; P.L. 99-177) to reestablish discretionary spending limits (often called \textit{caps}) as part of the annual appropriations process.\textsuperscript{41} The BBEDCA permits the caps to be adjusted for certain purposes, one of which is program integrity work related to SSA’s disability programs.\textsuperscript{42} Specifically, Section 251(b)(2)(B) of the BBEDCA allows the spending caps to be increased for FY2012 through FY2021 by the amount by which funds appropriated to SSA for CDRs and SSI redeterminations for a fiscal year exceed $273 million, up to a specified maximum level for that fiscal year.\textsuperscript{43} Section 815 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 (BBA 2015; P.L. 114-74) amended SSA’s cap adjustment levels in the BBEDCA to permit higher maximum adjustments for FY2017 through FY2019 and a lower maximum adjustment in FY2021 (Table 5). The FY2017 President’s budget request for $1.819 billion in program integrity funding includes the base amount of $273 million and the full cap adjustment of $1.546 billion authorized under the BBEDCA for FY2017.

\textbf{Table 5. Maximum Adjustments to Discretionary Spending Caps for SSA Program Integrity Work Under Prior Law and Under the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Cap Adjustment Under Prior Law</th>
<th>Cap Adjustment Under the BBA 2015</th>
<th>Change in Cap Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$623,000</td>
<td>$623,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>751,000</td>
<td>751,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>924,000</td>
<td>924,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,123,000</td>
<td>1,123,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,166,000</td>
<td>1,166,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,309,000</td>
<td>1,546,000</td>
<td>$237,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,309,000</td>
<td>1,462,000</td>
<td>153,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,309,000</td>
<td>1,410,000</td>
<td>101,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,309,000</td>
<td>1,309,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,309,000</td>
<td>1,302,000</td>
<td>-7,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Net Change} - - $484,000

\textbf{Source:} CRS, based on Section 101 of the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA; P.L. 112-25) and Section 815 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 (BBA 2015; P.L. 114-74).

\textbf{Notes:} Maximum annual adjustments to discretionary spending caps for SSA-related program integrity activities are set in 2 U.S.C. \textsection 901(b)(2)(B).

\textsuperscript{40} SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, p. 110.


\textsuperscript{42} For more information, see Letter from Douglas W. Elmendorf, Director of the U.S. Congressional Budget Office, to the Honorable John A. Boehner, Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives, and to the Honorable Harry Reid, Majority Leader of the U.S. Senate, August 1, 2011, https://www.cbo.gov/sites/default/files/cbofiles/ftpdocs/123xx/doc12357/budgetcontrolactaug1.pdf.

\textsuperscript{43} 2 U.S.C. \textsection 901(b)(2)(B).
In addition to modifying SSA’s cap adjustment levels in the BBEDCA, the BBA 2015 expanded the types of program integrity activities for which cap adjustment funding may be used to include cooperative disability investigation (CDI) units and fraud prosecutions by Special Assistant United States Attorneys (SAUSAs). The CDI program is a multi-agency effort between SSA, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG), state Disability Determination Services (DDS) agencies, and state and local law enforcement agencies to investigate initial disability claims and post-entitlement events involving suspected fraud.44 SAUSAs are attorneys from SSA’s Office of the General Counsel who are dedicated to prosecuting fraud cases referred by the OIG that otherwise would not be prosecuted in federal court.45

Furthermore, the BBA 2015 clarified that the term continuing disability reviews includes work CDRs, which are reviews of disabled Social Security beneficiaries to determine if their earnings and related work activity are within applicable limits and if benefits should continue.

**User Fees**

The FY2017 President’s budget includes a request for $127 million in LAE appropriations from two SSA-collected user fees for certain administrative activities. The first user fee is for SSA’s administration of SSI state supplementation programs.46 As noted earlier, some states supplement federal SSI benefits with state supplementary payments (SSPs) that are made solely with state funds. States that elect federal administration of their supplementation program reimburse SSA for the cost of the SSPs that the agency makes to eligible recipients on behalf of the state. Since FY1994, SSA has charged participating states for the cost of administering their program by assessing a user fee on each SSP made by the agency based on a fee schedule prescribed in federal law.47 The user fee is $11.56 per SSP in FY2016 and is projected to increase to $11.69 per SSP in FY2017.48 The first $5.00 of each fee is deposited into the Treasury’s general fund, and the amount of the fee above $5.00 is credited to the Treasury’s account for SSI state supplement user fees. Federal law permits the credited funds to be made available for obligation to the extent and in the amount provided in advance in appropriations acts. The FY2017 President’s budget request for SSI state supplement user fees is $126 million.

The second user fee is for SSA’s administration of the fee authorization process for non-attorney representatives. Individuals applying for Social Security or SSI benefits may appoint an attorney or qualified non-attorney to represent them through the adjudicative process.49 If a claimant is successful and is entitled to past-due benefits, the representative may be eligible to receive a fee for services rendered in pursing the claim from SSA directly. SSA charges each representative a user fee to cover the cost of administering the fee authorization process based on a schedule established in the Social Security Act.50 Section 303(c)(3) of the Social Security Protection Act of

---


45 For more information on Special Assistant U.S. Attorney and SSA fraud prosecutions, see SSA, Social Security Administration Fiscal Year 2015 Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 Section 845(a) Report, 2016, pp. 5-6, https://www.ssa.gov/budget/FY17Files/2017FCJ.pdf.

46 States may elect federal administration of mandatory state supplementary payments (SSPs), optional SSPs, or both. SSA administers SSPs for 20 states and the District of Columbia.

47 Section 1616(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. §1382e(d)) and Section 212(b)(3) of P.L. 93-66.


50 Sections 206(d) and 1631(d) of the Social Security Act; 42 U.S.C. §§406(d) and 1383(d). See SSA, OACT, (continued...)
2004 (P.L. 108-203) authorizes user fees collected from non-attorney representatives to be made available for obligation to the extent and in the amount provided in advance in appropriations acts. The FY2017 President’s budget request for non-attorney representative user fees is $1 million.

**Office of Inspector General**

The OIG investigates fraud, waste, and abuse within SSA’s programs, in addition to auditing the agency’s ability to carry out the programs effectively and efficiently. It also monitors improper receipt of federal benefits; investigates certain crimes committed by SSA employees, contractors, and program beneficiaries; and supports larger government-wide homeland security efforts. Funding for the OIG is provided through discretionary appropriations to a separate administrative account. The FY2017 President’s budget request for the OIG account is $112 million, which is $6.5 million (or 6.2%) more than the amount enacted for FY2016 (Table 6). Of this amount, $31 million is from general revenues and $81 million is from the OASI and DI trust funds, as authorized by Section 201(g)(1) of the Social Security Act for costs associated with the OASI and SSDI programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriation</th>
<th>FY2016 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2017 President's Budget Request</th>
<th>FY2016 to FY2017 Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenues</td>
<td>$29,787</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$1,213 4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASI and DI Trust Funds</td>
<td>75,713</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>5,287 7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total OIG</strong></td>
<td><strong>$105,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$112,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,500 6.2%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CRS, based on SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 4.3, p. 196.

**FY2017 Commissioner’s Budget Request**

SSA became an independent federal agency on March 31, 1995, following the enactment of the Social Security Independence and Program Improvements Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-296). Section 104(a) of the act granted the Commissioner of Social Security the authority to submit to Congress, without revision, an annual budget for SSA. This budget request is independent of the President’s budget request for the agency and generally includes a request for administrative

(...continued)


51 Note under Section 206 of the Social Security Act; 42 U.S.C. §406 note.


53 42 U.S.C. §401(g)(1).

54 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, p. 196.

55 For more information on the agency’s history, see SSA, “Social Security History: Organization History,” https://www.ssa.gov/history/orghist.html.

56 Section 704(b) of the Social Security Act; 42 U.S.C. §904(b).
expenses and a request for the OIG. The Commissioner’s budget request is included at the end of SSA’s section in the appendix to the President’s budget.57

The FY2017 Commissioner’s budget request for total administrative discretionary resources is $13.859 billion, which represents $13.610 billion for administrative expenses, $128 million for research, and $121 million for the OIG.58 Administrative expenses include $13.079 billion in LAE funding and $531 million in no-year funding for various initiatives.59 The FY2017 President’s budget request for LAE funding is $12 million (or 0.1%) less than the FY2017 Commissioner’s budget request. In addition, the FY2017 President’s budget request for the OIG is $9 million (or 7.4%) less than the FY2017 Commissioner’s budget request.

**Congressional Action on the FY2017 LHHS Appropriations Bill**60

On June 9, 2016, the Senate Committee on Appropriations approved its FY2017 LHHS appropriations bill (S. 3040) by a vote of 29 to 1.61 The Senate bill would provide $12.482 billion for SSA’s LAE account, which is $585 million (or 4.5%) less than the amount in the FY2017 President’s budget request but is $320 million (or 2.6%) more than the amount enacted for FY2016 (Table 7). Excluding the one-time cost of $150 million authorized in the FY2016 omnibus for renovating and modernizing the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building, the Senate bill would provide $470 million (or 3.9%) more than the FY2016 enacted appropriation for the LAE account. As with the President’s budget request, the Senate bill would provide the full amount of cap adjustment funding for program integrity work permitted under the BBEDCA for FY2017 (see Table 5). The Senate bill would also provide $105.5 million for the OIG account, which is the same amount that was enacted for FY2016 (Table 7).

On July 14, 2016, the House Committee on Appropriations approved its FY2017 LHHS appropriations bill (H.R. 5926) by a vote of 31 to 19.62 The House bill would provide $11.899 billion for SSA’s LAE account, which is $1.168 billion (or 8.9%) less than the amount in the FY2017 President’s budget request and is $263 million (or 2.2%) less than the amount enacted for FY2016 (Table 7). Excluding the one-time cost of $150 million authorized in the FY2016 omnibus for renovating and modernizing the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building, the House bill would provide $113 million (or 0.9%) less than the FY2016 enacted appropriation for the LAE account. In addition, the House bill would provide $393 million less in cap adjustment funding for program integrity work permitted under the BBEDCA for FY2017 (see Table 5). Lastly, the House bill would provide $105.5 million for the OIG account, which is the same amount that was enacted for FY2016 (Table 7).

---

58 Ibid.
59 The FY2017 Commissioner’s budget request for administrative expenses includes $300 million in no-year funding for IT modernization, $81 million in no-year funding for Cybersecurity, and $150 million in no-year funding for renovating and modernizing the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building. Information provided to CRS by SSA on August 26, 2016.
On September 29, 2016, President Obama signed into law the Continuing Appropriations and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, and Zika Response and Preparedness Act (H.R. 5325; P.L. 114-223), which contains the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2017 (Division C). The day before, H.R. 5325 was passed in the Senate by a vote of 72-26 and in the House by a vote of 342-85. The FY2017 continuing resolution (CR) provides continuing appropriations for 11 of the 12 annual appropriations bills (including the LHHS appropriations bill) through December 9, 2016. In general, discretionary accounts covered by the FY2017 CR are funded at the same rate and under the same conditions as they were in the FY2016 omnibus, minus an across-the-board (ATB) rescission of 0.496%. However, funding dedicated to SSA’s program integrity work is exempt from the ATB rescission, making the effective reduction to the total LAE account 0.438% (Table 7). SSA’s discretionary appropriations under the FY2017 CR are $12.109 billion for the LAE account and $105 million for the OIG account.

### Table 7. Comparison of the FY2017 President's Budget Request, FY2017 Amounts Passed by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the FY2017 Continuing Resolution, and FY2016 Enacted Appropriations for the LAE and OIG Accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriation</th>
<th>FY2016 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2017 President's Budget Request</th>
<th>FY2017 House Committee</th>
<th>FY2017 Senate Committee</th>
<th>FY2017 CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limitation on Administrative Expenses (LAE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base LAE</td>
<td>$10,598,945</td>
<td>$11,121,000</td>
<td>$10,348,945</td>
<td>$10,538,945</td>
<td>$10,546,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Integrity (total)</td>
<td>1,426,000</td>
<td>1,819,000</td>
<td>1,426,000</td>
<td>1,819,000</td>
<td>1,426,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Amount</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cap Adjustment</td>
<td>1,153,000</td>
<td>1,546,000</td>
<td>1,153,000</td>
<td>1,546,000</td>
<td>1,153,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI State Supplement</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>126,000</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td>135,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Attorney Representative User Fees</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000b</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LAE</td>
<td>$12,161,945</td>
<td>$13,067,000</td>
<td>$11,898,945</td>
<td>$12,481,945</td>
<td>$12,108,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Inspector General (OIG)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Revenues</td>
<td>$29,787</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$29,787</td>
<td>$29,787</td>
<td>$29,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASI and DI Trust Funds</td>
<td>75,713</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>75,713</td>
<td>75,713</td>
<td>$75,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OIG</td>
<td>$105,500</td>
<td>$112,000</td>
<td>$105,500</td>
<td>$105,500</td>
<td>$104,977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CRS, based on the FY2017 President’s budget request, the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017 (H.R. 5926 and S. 3040), and the Continuing Appropriations and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, and Zika Response and Preparedness Act (H.R. 5325; P.L. 114-223).

Notes: CR = Continuing Resolution. Amounts shown do not take into account scorekeeping adjustments made by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) to reflect conventions and special instructions of Congress.

a. The reports accompanying H.R. 5926 and S. 3040 apply a -$3 million CBO adjustment to this amount ($123 million total).
b. The report accompanying H.R. 5926 applies a -$1 million CBO adjustment to this amount ($0 total).

**Trends in the Budget Request and the Appropriation for SSA’s LAE Account**

As shown in Figure 3, since SSA became an independent agency, the Commissioner’s budget request has exceeded the President’s budget request in nominal (unadjusted) dollars for all but two fiscal years: FY1997 and FY1998. After taking into account all rescissions, except rescissions of no-year information technology systems funds, the Commissioner’s budget request exceeded the enacted appropriation for FY1997, FY1998, and FY2009. The President’s budget request exceeded the enacted appropriation for FY1997, FY2008, FY2009, and FY2014.

**Figure 3. Annual Budget Request and Appropriation for SSA’s LAE Account, FY1997-FY2017**

![Graph showing trends in budget request and appropriation for SSA’s LAE Account](image)

**Source:** CRS, based on data from each fiscal year’s President’s budget request, enacted appropriation for SSA’s LAE account, and information provided to CRS by SSA.

**Notes:** The “Commissioner’s Request” excludes funding for research and demonstrations, no-year funding, and funding related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-173) for FY2006. (SSA could not verify no-year funding for FY1998 and FY1999.) The “President’s Request” excludes funding associated with the legislative request to create a dedicated source of mandatory program integrity funding for FY2014. The “Enacted Appropriation” includes all rescissions, except rescissions of no-year information technology systems funds; it excludes supplemental appropriations and reductions due to sequestration. The enacted appropriation for FY2016 includes $150 million for one-time costs associated with renovating and modernizing the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building. SSA became an independent agency in 1995 and first submitted a Commissioner’s budget for FY1997.

Please note that the FY2014 President’s budget request included a legislative proposal for a dedicated source of mandatory funding for program integrity work instead of a request for discretionary base and cap adjustment funding. If the funding associated with this legislative
proposal had been included in the LAE, then the final appropriation for FY2014 would have been less than the President’s budget request.\footnote{For more information on this legislative proposal, see SSA, \textit{Justifications of Estimates for Appropriations Committees, Fiscal Year 2014}, April 2013, p. 95, http://ssa.gov/budget/FY14Files/2014AE.pdf.}

\textbf{Figure 4} shows historical trends in the annual appropriation for SSA’s LAE account using three measures: (1) nominal dollars, (2) price-indexed dollars, and (3) wage-indexed dollars. The lower line labeled “Nominal Dollars” shows a steady increase in the appropriation for the LAE account over the past 20 years, although the rate of this increase has slowed since FY2010.

\textbf{Figure 4. Annual Appropriation for SSA’s LAE Account, Adjusted for Price and Wage Growth, FY1997-FY2016}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Annual Appropriation for SSA’s LAE Account, Adjusted for Price and Wage Growth, FY1997-FY2016}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Source}: CRS, based on each fiscal year’s appropriation for SSA’s LAE account.  
\textbf{Notes}: Appropriations include all rescissions, except for rescissions of no-year information technology systems funds; they exclude supplemental appropriations as well as reductions due to sequestration. The enacted appropriation for FY2016 includes $150 million for one-time costs associated with renovating and modernizing the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building. The line labeled “Price Indexed to 2016 Dollars” is indexed to the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U). The line labeled “Wage Indexed to 2016 Dollars” is indexed to SSA’s Average Wage Index (AWI) in Table VI.G6 of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds, \textit{The 2016 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds}, June 22, 2016.

The middle line labeled “Price Indexed to 2016 Dollars” shows the trend in SSA’s LAE appropriation adjusted for changes in prices, as measured by the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U). Although the price-indexed line is flatter than the nominal line, there is a noticeable rise in the appropriation for SSA’s LAE account during the FY2000s. Between FY2010 and FY2013, however, the value of appropriation declines in real terms, only to increase slightly through FY2016.

Another method for examining historical trends in SSA’s LAE account is to adjust the annual appropriation for wage growth. The majority of SSA’s administrative budget is obligated for payroll expenses, which include pay raises due to step increases, promotions, and cost-of-living
adjustments. Because wages tend to grow faster than prices, annual increases in payroll expenses, which are largely fixed costs, can increase an agency’s administrative expenses faster than the rate of inflation. According to SSA, the agency’s fixed costs typically grow at a rate of $300-$350 million per year. The top line labeled “Wage Indexed to 2016 Dollars” shows the trend in the appropriation for SSA’s LAE account adjusted for changes in wages, as measured by SSA’s Average Wage Index (AWI). The wage-indexed line shows a steady decline in the value of the LAE appropriation in real terms from FY2010 to FY2015, followed by a slight increase in FY2016 due to an increase in funding to cover one-time costs associated with the renovations and modernization of the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building.

Recent Changes in the Composition of the LAE Appropriation and Effects on SSA’s Workloads

The recent nominal growth in the annual appropriation for SSA’s LAE account stems from increases in funding authorized specifically for program integrity work. Between FY2010 and FY2016, the amount of the LAE appropriation dedicated to program integrity work increased by 88%, from $758 million to $1.426 billion (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Dedicated Program Integrity Funding, Adjusted for Price and Wage Growth, FY2010-FY2016

Source: CRS, based on each fiscal year’s appropriation for SSA’s LAE account.
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64 SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 3.11, pp. 134-135.
Notes: Dedicated program integrity funding includes base funding provided to meet Section 251(b)(2)(B) of the BBEDCA and cap adjustment funding pursuant to annual limits specified in the act. The line labeled “Price Indexed to 2016 Dollars” is indexed to the CPI-U. The line labeled “Wage Indexed to 2016 Dollars” is indexed to SSA’s AWI.

Program integrity funding includes base funding provided to meet Section 251(b)(2)(B) of the BBEDCA and cap adjustment funding pursuant to annual limits specified in the act. When adjusted for price and wage growth over this period, SSA’s program integrity funding grew by 70% and 60%, respectively.

The FY2014 and FY2015 increases in dedicated program integrity funding allowed SSA to hire more federal and state DDS employees to conduct additional full medical CDRs. Between FY2010 and FY2015, the number of CDRs processed by SSA increased by 146%, from 324,500 to 799,000 (Figure 6).68 During this period, the CDR backlog declined by 47%, from 1.361 million pending reviews in FY2010 to about 726,000 in FY2015.69

Figure 6. Number of Full Medical CDRs Conducted by SSA and the End-of-the-Year CDR Backlog, FY2010-FY2015

![Figure 6](Image)


However, as shown in Figure 7, the amount of the LAE appropriation available for other core workloads has essentially remained flat, declining by 0.5% in nominal terms, from $10.642 billion in FY2010 to $10.586 billion in FY2016.70 General LAE funding refers to LAE funding not dedicated to program integrity work, that is, the base LAE appropriation and funding from

---


69 Ibid.

70 General LAE funding for FY2016 excludes $150 million for the renovations and modernization of the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building.
user fees paid to SSA for certain administrative activities.\(^71\) When adjusted for price and wage growth over this period, general LAE funding fell by 10% and 16%, respectively.

**Figure 7. LAE Funding Not Dedicated to Program Integrity Work, Adjusted for Price and Wage Growth, FY2010-FY2016**

![Graph showing LAE funding adjusted for price and wage growth from FY2010 to FY2016](image)

**Source:** CRS, based on each fiscal year’s appropriation for SSA’s LAE account.

**Notes:** Appropriations include all rescissions, except for rescissions of no-year information technology systems funds; they exclude supplemental appropriations as well as reductions due to sequestration. General LAE funding includes the base LAE appropriation and funding from user fees paid to SSA for certain administrative activities. General LAE funding for FY2016 excludes $150 million for the renovations and modernization of the Arthur J. Altmeyer Building. (Please note that Figure 3 and Figure 4 include the $150 million.) The line labeled “Price Indexed to 2016 Dollars” is indexed to the CPI-U. The line labeled “Wage Indexed to 2016 Dollars” is indexed to SSA’s AWI.

According to SSA and others, the declining real value of the general LAE appropriation has contributed to agency delays in processing other workloads.\(^72\) One of the most publicized issues for SSA over the past several years has been the growing number of pending disability cases at the hearing level of the administrative appeals process.\(^73\) From the end of FY2010 to the end of 71 General LAE funding includes a small amount of funding for indirect costs associated with conducting CDRs and SSI redeterminations. Related LAE funding (i.e., funding from the base LAE appropriation for program integrity work) was $102 million in FY2014 and $9 million in FY2015. SSA projects that related LAE funding for program integrity work in FY2016 will be $10 million. For more information, see SSA, FY2017 Budget Justification, Table 3.8, p. 131 and SSA, Justifications of Estimates for Appropriations Committees, Fiscal Year 2016, February 2015, Table 3.8, p. 115.


the third quarter of FY2016, the number of pending hearings grew by 59%, from 705,400 to 1.121 million (Figure 8; left axis). During that same period, the average-wait time for a hearing decision increased by 24%, from 426 days to 530 days (Figure 8; right axis).

Figure 8. Number of Pending Hearings and Average Processing Time for Hearings Decisions, FY2010-FY2016 3rd Quarter


At a hearing before the Senate Special Committee on Aging, a SSA official stated that

The House appropriations bill, if enacted, would cut the agency’s base administrative funding below the FY 2016 enacted level and result in serious degradation of service. For example, at such low funding levels, we could face up to two weeks of employee furloughs, when our field offices could be closed to the public. The Senate appropriation bill, while higher than the House bill, would still fall short of providing us the funding to serve record numbers of claimants and beneficiaries.

The reports accompanying the House and Senate bills do not provide an explicit rationale for each committee’s FY2017 proposed funding level for SSA’s general LAE appropriation. However, it would seem that the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations believe that their FY2017 proposed funding levels would be sufficient for SSA to perform its non-program integrity responsibilities.

74 SSA, “Hearings and Appeals,” July 7, 2016, https://www.ssa.gov/appeals/. SSA defines hearings backlog as the number of pending cases that push the average wait time over 270 days. Of the 1,121,267 pending hearings at the end of the third quarter of FY2016, 624,934 (or 56%) were pending for more than 270 days. For the agency’s plan to reduce the hearings backlog, see SSA, Leading the Hearings and Appeals Process into the Future: A Plan for Compassionate and Responsive Service (CARES), January 13, 2016, https://www.ssa.gov/legislation/testimony_051216_AttachmentA.pdf.

Appendix. Summary of the FY2016 Budget Request and Appropriations for the LAE and OIG Accounts

The FY2016 LHHS appropriations bill was passed as Division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-113) on December 18, 2015. The total FY2016 appropriation for SSA’s LAE account was $12.162 billion, which was $352 million (or 2.8%) less than the amount requested in the President’s budget for that year but was $356 million (or 3.0%) more than the amount enacted for FY2015 (Table A-1). The enacted appropriation for the LAE account provided $13 million less in cap adjustment funding for program integrity work than was permitted under the BBEDCA for FY2016 (see Table 5). The FY2016 appropriation for the OIG account was $4.3 million (or 3.9%) less than the FY2016 President’s budget request but was $2.2 million (or 2.1%) more than the amount enacted for FY2015 (Table A-1).

Table A-1. FY2016 Appropriations Detail for the LAE and OIG Accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriation</th>
<th>FY2015 Enacted</th>
<th>FY2016 President’s Budget Request</th>
<th>FY2016 House Committee</th>
<th>FY2016 Senate Committee</th>
<th>FY2016 Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limitation on Administrative Expenses (LAE)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base LAE</td>
<td>$10,284,945</td>
<td>$10,937,000</td>
<td>$10,284,945</td>
<td>$10,044,945</td>
<td>$10,598,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Integrity (total)</td>
<td>1,396,000</td>
<td>1,439,000</td>
<td>1,396,000</td>
<td>1,439,000</td>
<td>1,426,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Base Amount</em></td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
<td>273,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cap Adjustment</em></td>
<td>1,123,000</td>
<td>1,166,000</td>
<td>1,123,000</td>
<td>1,166,000</td>
<td>1,153,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI State Supplement User Fees</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>136,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Attorney Representative User Fees</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total LAE</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,805,945</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,513,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,817,945</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,620,945</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,161,945</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office of Inspector General (OIG)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Revenues</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$28,829</td>
<td>$29,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASI and DI Trust Funds</td>
<td>74,350</td>
<td>78,795</td>
<td>78,795</td>
<td>74,521</td>
<td>75,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total OIG</strong></td>
<td><strong>$103,350</strong></td>
<td><strong>$109,795</strong></td>
<td><strong>$108,795</strong></td>
<td><strong>$103,350</strong></td>
<td><strong>$105,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CRS, based on the FY2016 President’s budget request, the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016 (H.R. 3020 and S. 1695), and the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-113).

76 See CRS Report R44287, Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education: FY2016 Appropriations.
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