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Summary

The government of Puerto Rico faces multiple fiscal challenges in coming weeks and months. Concerns regarding the sustainability of Puerto Rico’s public finances have intensified over the past year, despite several measures taken by the island’s government to reduce spending, increase revenues, and restructure its obligations.

Puerto Rico faces several fiscal hurdles in 2015. The island’s central government and its public corporations face substantial debt service costs. The Puerto Rican government, which has faced major liquidity challenges in recent years, recently warned that it may “lack sufficient resources to fund all necessary governmental programs and services as well as meet debt service obligations for fiscal year 2016,” although it had contended that it has sufficient funds available. Much of the Puerto Rican government’s revenue stream for the first part of its fiscal year, which began on July 1, is earmarked to redeem revenue bonds. At the beginning of August 2015, Puerto Rico did not make a full interest and principal payment due on bonds issued by the Public Finance Corporation, a subsidiary of the island’s Government Development Bank.

On June 29, 2015, Puerto Rico’s governor, Alejandro García Padilla, stated during a televised address that “the debt is not payable.” García Padilla said his administration would seek concessions from the island’s creditors as part of a new fiscal strategy, which would be developed by a newly established working group on economic recovery and debt restructuring. On the same day, the Puerto Rican government released a report it had commissioned from three former International Monetary Fund economists. The report described severe short-term funding challenges as well as longstanding issues with key parts of the Puerto Rican economy and public sector.

The island’s ability to access credit markets appears highly uncertain. The Puerto Rican government, either directly or through financing arms, has been able to issue bonds, but on relatively expensive terms. On July 3, 2015, the government enacted a law to enable issuance of revenue bonds by publicly owned insurers with the aim of providing liquidity for the public sector for the first part of the fiscal year, which began on July 1. The Puerto Rican government and its electric power utility made scheduled bond payments at the beginning of July 2015. The island’s ability to meet future debt service payments depends in part on the willingness of investors to roll-over existing debt. A $2.9 billion bond sale, which the Puerto Rican government had contemplated, appears to be on hold. The island’s water and sewer authority said it plans to issue $750 million in new revenue bonds.

The precarious state of Puerto Rico’s public finances stems in part from prolonged economic weakness. Economic growth has been sluggish even before the 2007-2009 recession and official forecasts project a continuation of slow growth. Previous analyses have pointed to low employment and labor participation rates, high rates of outmigration leading to a decline in population, an economic structure shaped more by tax advantages than comparative advantages, and the effects of intensified global competition, among other factors.

This report will be updated as events warrant.
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Puerto Rico’s Weak Fiscal Situation

Since mid-2013, serious concerns emerged in municipal bond markets and among other observers regarding financial challenges facing Puerto Rico. An August 2013 Barron’s article highlighted the island’s weak fiscal position and high public debt. In February 2014, the three major credit ratings agencies downgraded Puerto Rico’s public debt to below investment grade. A second round of downgrades followed after the Puerto Rican government enacted Act 71 on June 28, 2014, which sought to establish a process for restructuring of debt issued by the island’s public corporations. Figure 1 shows trading prices for selected Puerto Rican bonds since January 2011. The first vertical line indicates when the Barron’s article appeared, and the second vertical line indicates when Act 71 was enacted.

Puerto Rico’s public debt has grown steadily since the mid-1980s, and is now slightly larger than the island’s gross national product (GNP). Figure 2 shows the accumulation of Puerto Rico’s gross public debt in inflation-adjusted terms since 1962. By that measure, total debt levels rose until the mid 1970s, then declined gradually until the mid 1980s, after which they again increased until 2013.

On June 29, 2015, Puerto Rico’s governor, Alejandro García Padilla, stated during a televised address that “the debt is not payable.” He also said his administration would seek concessions from the island’s creditors as part of a new fiscal strategy. On the same day, the Puerto Rican government released a report written by three former International Monetary Fund (IMF) economists, which described serious problems with Puerto Rico’s fiscal situation, budget execution, public administration, and tax structure. Governor García Padilla also appointed an Economic Recovery Working Group to develop plans for fiscal restructuring. The Governor’s initiatives are described in more detail below.

3 Ley para el Cumplimiento con las Deudas y para la Recuperación de las Corporaciones Públicas de Puerto Rico (Ley 71 de 2014; Act 71; Law Pursuant to the Debts and for the Recovery of the Public Corporations of Puerto Rico). The law has also been referred to as the “Recovery Act” or the “Local Bankruptcy Law” (Ley de Quiebra Criolla), even though its text states that it is not a bankruptcy act. See also Moody’s Investor’s Service, “Puerto Rico’s Debt Restructuring Law Raises Default Risk for Public Corporations and the Commonwealth,” July 3, 2013.
Figure 1. Selected Puerto Rico Bonds; Dollar Prices Since January 2011

Source: Electronic Municipal Market Access, Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

Notes: Legacy General Obligation bond is CUSIP 74514LYW1 (5.75%; 2041); NY law GO bond issued March 2014 is 74514LE86 (8.0%; 2035). COFINA Senior Note is CUSIP 74529JNX9 (5.25%; 2040); COFINA Subordinated Note is CUSIP 74529JHN8 (6.0%; 2042). Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority Revenue Bond is CUSIP 74526QVX7 (5.25%; 2040). First vertical line indicates appearance of Barron’s article (August 20, 2013); second vertical line at enactment of Act 71 (July 28, 2014). COFINA issues revenue anticipation securities to provide liquidity to the Puerto Rican government.
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Figure 2. Gross Public Debt of Puerto Rico in Billions of Constant Dollars, 1960-2014


Notes: Data represent gross public debt of Puerto Rico as of June 30 of each year, provided by the Government Development Bank of Puerto Rico. Figures for 1989 and 2014 are preliminary estimates. The Highway and Transportation Authority and the University of Puerto Rico are included in the Commonwealth Government subtotal. The U.S. GDP price index is used to adjust levels for inflation. These debt data differ from those available from other sources.

Public Corporations Incurred Much of Puerto Rico’s Public Debt

Public corporations, which have played a prominent role in the Puerto Rican economy since the 1930s, are closely linked to the island’s fiscal challenges. Some 50 public corporations serve a broad variety of purposes and activities, ranging from public infrastructure, banking, real estate, insurance, industrial development, health care, transportation, electric power, broadcasting, education, arts, and tourism, among others. For one listing of public corporations, see Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Budget Proposal for 2013-2014, Consolidated Budget by Agency for FY2011-FY2014 (Estado Libre Asociado de Puerto Rico, Presupuesto Recomendado 2013-2014, Presupuesto Consolidado por Agencia), http://www2.pr.gov/presupuestos/Presupuesto2013-2014/Tablas%20Estadisticas/04.pdf.
Puerto Rico’s public debt since 2000 (see Figure 2). Moreover, the central government’s financial support for public corporations has weakened its own fiscal situation.

The largest public corporation in Puerto Rico, the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (PREPA), entered into a restructuring and forbearance agreement in August 2014 with major creditors. PREPA issued a summary of a restructuring plan on June 1, 2015, which calls for $2.3 billion to modernize its operations and stabilize its finances. The forbearance agreement has been extended several times, most recently until September 15, 2015. The announcement of the latest extension coincided with a statement that PREPA made a scheduled bond interest payment of $415 million on July 1, 2015. PREPA's chief executive retired in late June 2015 and has been replaced on an interim basis by the former head of its power generation unit. One bloc of PREPA bondholders reportedly rejected PREPA’s restructuring plan and put forth a counterproposal. In addition, the group reportedly warned that if no final agreement were reached by the end of the current forbearance agreement on September 1, 2015, that creditors would sue PREPA.

Independent analysts argue that a few other large public corporations are insolvent. Island officials, however, have emphasized that the financial condition of various government entities differs in important ways.

**Short-Term Challenges**

The government of Puerto Rico faces multiple immediate fiscal challenges. Several large debt payments are due from the island’s central government and from public corporations that present serious liquidity challenges.

**FY2016 Budget**

The Puerto Rican legislature approved a FY2016 budget, which was then sent to the governor, reportedly set aside $1.5 billion for debt service costs. The governor signed the measure into law.

---

Governor García Padilla had submitted a FY2016 budget that called for major decreases in public spending, and aimed at achieving a balanced budget. The island’s lower chamber passed a budget on June 22, 2015, and the Senate passed its version on June 25, 2015. A measure reported by a conference committee was approved by both chambers on June 29, 2015. Senate President Eduardo Bhatia was quoted as stating that “it is one of the most difficult budgets in the history of Puerto Rico.”

Whether the FY2016 budget for Puerto Rico would actually achieve a balanced budget is unclear. The report of the ex-IMF economists stresses that the structural budget deficit, according to their estimates, is larger than other measures of budget balance used by the Puerto Rican government. A structural budget deficit excludes effects of one-time budget adjustments or cyclical economic effects. That report estimates annual interest and principal costs at $2.8 billion.

Governor García Padilla Calls for Debt Restructuring

Governor García Padilla, in a televised address on June 29, 2015, called for a comprehensive restructuring of Puerto Rico’s fiscal obligations, arguing that the public debt had grown so large that it was impeding economic growth as well as the island’s access to credit markets. The governor outlined a fiscal strategy that included:

- reestablishment of economic growth through legislation to improve competitiveness, a reform of social insurance programs, and investments in infrastructure;
- a call for a moratorium on debt payments;
- creation of a working group for economic recovery composed of financial experts and senior island policymakers; and
- a long-term fiscal adjustment plan, to be developed by the working group by August 30, 2015.

---

The fiscal adjustment plan, according to the governor, would propose reductions in public outlays, improve tax administration, privatize some publicly provided services, improve budgetary execution and controls, and create a nonpartisan fiscal commission, while guaranteeing the provision of essential services.

Debt Service and Liquidity

The Puerto Rican government now faces serious liquidity challenges. The Puerto Rican government at the beginning of August 2015 paid only $0.63 million of $58 million in interest and principal due on bonds issued by the Public Finance Corporation, a subsidiary of the island’s Government Development Bank. Puerto Rico’s failure to make full payment on those bonds, which carried a relatively weak “moral obligation” guarantee, has raised concerns about future payments on bonds backed by general obligation guarantees. Nonetheless, the island’s water and sewer authority said it plans to issue $750 million in new revenue bonds by the end of August 2015.

The island’s ability to meet debt service payments depends in part on the willingness of investors to roll over existing debt. The report of the ex-IMF economists asserted that the island was “now virtually shut off from normal [credit] market access.”

Puerto Rico had planned to issue about $2.9 billion in bonds in 2015, but those plans were put on hold. The García Padilla Administration reportedly wanted to prepare the way for that bond issue with a fiscal package consisting of a tax reform to bolster revenues, a balanced budget for FY2016, and a five-year plan to achieve fiscal sustainability.

The Puerto Rican legislature passed a measure to allow certain public corporations to issue revenue bonds, which may provide some measure of liquidity. The governor signed the measure into law on July 3, 2015, clearing the way for the issuance of about $400 million in tax and revenue anticipation notes (TRANs) by three publicly owned insurance corporations. While those notes would help the Puerto Rican government maintain access to liquidity during the first part of the fiscal year, some policymakers expressed concerns that adding to the debt load of

27 Petición de la Cámara 2542 was passed by both chambers on June 29, 2015.
those insurance companies could harm their soundness. A senior Puerto Rican official was quoted as stating that the government has sufficient liquidity to operate until November 2015, but would require additional financing afterwards.\(^{29}\)

According to recent estimates, Puerto Rico’s government debt servicing costs—apart from debt servicing costs of public corporations—are 13.8% of average annual internal revenues for the past two years, not far below the 15% limit imposed by the Commonwealth’s Constitution.\(^{30}\) If that limit became binding, maintaining operations of the government could then require either further fiscal adjustments or a constitutional amendment, which would require supermajorities in both legislative chambers and a plebiscite.

### Initiatives to Address Fiscal Challenges

Puerto Rico’s government has taken numerous steps over the past few years to realign revenues and outlays, although those efforts have not closed the structural budget deficit. Those measures include cutbacks to public pension systems, tax increases and tax administration reforms, and a reduction in public sector employment. Figure 3 shows trends in public sector employment in Puerto Rico since 1990. Since 2009, public sector employment has dropped by nearly a fifth. Some public employees retired early in 2013 and 2014 in order to mitigate effects of benefit decreases resulting from pension reforms.\(^{31}\)


Other fiscal austerity measures include reorganization of public school teaching staffs and school closings, cancellation or postponement of salary and benefit increases, and reductions in transfers to municipalities. The government has also taken steps to bolster the financial condition of its public corporations.

Puerto Rico has modified its pension systems to increase future employee and employer contributions, moved participants from defined benefit to defined contribution plans, and begun a transition to higher retirement ages. The Supreme Court of Puerto Rico held that the government’s rationale for the changes were insufficient to justify abrogation of certain contractual rights of existing or retired teachers under the Teachers Retirement System. The court, however, reaffirmed the government’s powers to modify pension programs in order to meet pressing fiscal demands.

Independent analysts note that funding ratios for the Puerto Rican retirement systems remain low.

---


34 See John Mudd, “My Short Take on the Teachers Retirement Fund Opinion,” Muddlaw website, April 12, 2014. The (continued...)

---

**Source:** Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, series SMS72000009000000001.

**Notes:** Vertical axis scaled to show variation as clearly as possible. Y-axis begins at 225,000 persons.
On May 26, 2015, the Legislative Assembly passed and sent to the governor a measure to raise the sales and use tax rate from 7% to 11.5%, which the governor signed into law on May 29, 2015. Of that rate, 1% is earmarked for local governments. In addition, the measure would establish a Consumption Tax Transformation Alternatives Commission, which would be charged with evaluating further changes in Puerto Rico’s tax system, including a possible transition to a value-added tax (VAT). Previously, a proposal to replace certain sales taxes with a value-added tax was voted down on April 30, 2015. Puerto Rico had enacted Act 1 on January 15, 2015, which raised petroleum taxes, contingent on implementation of broader tax changes, and took other measures to strengthen the financial condition of the Authority for Highways and Transportation.

Fiscal Strategy and Outmigation

The precarious state of Puerto Rico’s public finances stems in part from prolonged economic weakness. Economic growth was sluggish even before the 2007-2009 recession, and official forecasts project continued slow growth. Previous economic analyses of Puerto Rico’s economy have pointed to low employment and labor participation rates, an economic structure shaped more by tax advantages than comparative advantages, and intensified global competition.

Those trends and associated economic challenges have prompted many Puerto Ricans to move to the U.S. mainland, leading to population decline of about 1% per year over the past decade. Figure 4 shows estimated trends for Puerto Rico’s resident population since 1950.

(...continued)

decision for the case (Asociación de Maestros de PR, et al., v. Sistema de Retiro de Maestros de PR) is available here: http://www.scribd.com/doc/217729948/Sentencia-Retiro-Maestros.

35 Ismailidou and Tighe, ibid.

36 Act 72 of 2015.


38 Comisión de Alternativas para Transformar el Impuesto al Consumo (CATIC).


One study estimated that a third of those born on Puerto Rico now reside on the mainland and found that migrants tended to be younger and less well educated compared to island population averages. Economic growth depends on productivity and the availability of resources such as capital and labor. By reducing the amount of labor available to the island economy, outmigration poses risks to future economic growth. Moreover, outmigration serves as a signal that some island residents perceive that the mainland presents more attractive economic opportunities.

**Potential Issues for Congress**

Possible options for Congress to address the fiscal distress faced by the government of Puerto Rico and its constituent public corporations are framed by the island’s status as a territory—something different than a state and different from an independent sovereign country. The federal government has generally been reluctant to offer direct financial assistance to individual states in fiscal distress, although Congress at times has adjusted technical parameters of federal...
programs to provide direct or indirect support for states. The independence of state governments to set their own fiscal paths has been linked to an expectation that those governments take responsibility for the consequences of their fiscal decisions. In some other fiscal systems, a central government’s willingness to cover shortfalls by state governments has been seen as having led to less prudent fiscal behavior. Central governments in some federal systems provide subnational governments with more support, but impose more intrusive fiscal controls.

The Obama Administration has indicated that it is not contemplating a federal bailout of Puerto Rico, but has provided technical support and has sought to make existing federal resources available. The report of the former IMF economists was framed in terms familiar to typical IMF interventions, in which short-term bridge financing is provided conditional on agreements with governments to address structural economic issues over a longer term. Congressional options might thus be divided between strategies to address imminent liquidity challenges, such as providing credit support or altering bankruptcy laws, and strategies intended to promote economic growth over the longer term.

Credit Support

Central governments and international organizations have at times stepped in to backstop debts of other governments to lower those governments’ borrowing costs. Such support typically has been linked to budgetary or structural reform requirements. For example, the European Central Bank in 2011 acted to support debt offered by Eurozone countries, which dramatically lowered borrowing costs of countries that could have faced severe liquidity challenges. The U.S. government provided credit guarantees for the Mexican government in 1994-1995. The U.S. government also provided indirect credit support for many state government agencies through the Depression-era Reconstruction Finance Corporation (RFC). For instance, in 1941 the RFC acted as an intermediary to roll over $136 million in debt for the State of Arkansas.

Federal Health and Income Support Programs

Reimbursement and eligibility rules for federal entitlement programs in Puerto Rico often differ from those in effect on the mainland. For example, funding for the federal portion of Medicaid is capped for U.S. territories, but is open-ended for states. The federal matching rate for Medicaid ranges from 50% for states with the highest per capita income to 74% for the state with the lowest per capita income, while the matching rate for Puerto Rico is set at 55%. Congress could revise Medicaid matching fund formulas or eligibility standards. It could also modify reimbursement

---

50 Medicaid is a joint federal-state program that provides access to health care for low-income persons and includes some long-term health care benefits.
51 CRS Report R43847, Medicaid’s Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP), FY2016, by Alison Mitchell. The maximum statutory matching rate is 83%. Mississippi has the highest matching rate (74.17%).
rules or enrollment standards under Medicare, or adjust rules governing other federal programs, such as the income support programs. Resident Commissioner Pierluisi introduced several bills (H.R. 1225, H.R. 1417, H.R. 1418, H.R. 1822, H.R. 2635) in the 114th Congress to modify federal health and income support programs to provide additional resources to Puerto Rico.

Restructuring and Bankruptcy

Under current law, Puerto Rico is generally considered a state for most provisions of the Bankruptcy Code; but it is explicitly excluded from that definition for purposes of determining those eligible to file under chapter 9, which sets out a process for consideration of debt relief requests of instrumentalities of state governments.52 Thus, subunits of Puerto Rico, such as those public corporations, are barred from filing under chapter 9.

The restructuring law that Puerto Rico enacted in June 2014, which was in part motivated by the financial situation of PREPA and other public corporations, was established with the aim of providing an alternative to processes provided by the Bankruptcy Code. That law, however, was struck down by a U.S. District Court.53 On July 6, 2015, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 1st Circuit affirmed the lower court’s ruling that federal bankruptcy provisions54 preempted Puerto Rico’s ability to employ its own restructuring law.55

Congress could amend the Bankruptcy Code to permit Puerto Rico to allow its municipalities (which include instrumentalities and subdivisions of the territory) to enter into chapter 9 and proceed with a well-established process for restructuring public debts. To that end, Resident Commissioner Pierluisi introduced H.R. 870 on March 16, 2015.56 Senator Blumenthal introduced a similar measure (S. 1774) on July 15, 2015.

Governor García-Padilla has also called for giving Puerto Rico access to chapter 9.57 Some hedge funds and other holders of Puerto Rican bonds, however, have opposed including the island in chapter 9.58 Interests of hedge funds with major holdings of general obligation (GO) bonds, however, may differ from those of funds holding bonds of the island’s public corporations that are not secured by a general obligation of the Puerto Rican government.59

---

52 11 U.S.C. §101(52). Also see CRS Legal Sidebar CRS Legal Sidebar WSLG1289, Fiscal Distress in Puerto Rico: Two Legislative Approaches, by Carol A. Pettit, Fiscal Distress in Puerto Rico: Two Legislative Approaches, by Carol A. Pettit.


56 Resident Commissioner Pierluisi introduced H.R. 5305, a similar bill, in the 113th Congress.

57 Governor García Padilla, Mensaje del Gobernador (Message of the Governor), June 29, 2015.


Federalism and Control Board Proposals

Federal systems allow lower level governments to adapt policies to reflect local preferences, while letting the central government focus on programs of national interest and concern. A federal system of government also provides a degree of fiscal insurance to lower level governments. For example, a central government can respond to mitigate the consequences of disasters or localized economic downturns affecting specific states or regions.

The level of support for lower level governments provided by a central government is typically linked to the stringency of fiscal controls. Federal systems, by and large, can be divided into two types. Some federal governments—such as the United States—allow local governments more autonomy, but maintaining an expectation that those governments will manage any budgetary shortfalls largely on their own. Other federal systems provide more extensive support for lower level governments, but impose more restrictive central control on local decisions. The independence of U.S. state governments to set their own fiscal paths has thus been linked to an expectation that those governments take responsibility for their finances. In some other fiscal systems, the willingness of a central federal government to cover shortfalls by lower level governments has been seen as having led to less prudent fiscal behavior.

The U.S. federal system provides substantial implicit fiscal insurance to state and local governments through the automatic stabilization characteristics of income support programs, federal disaster assistance, and by a progressive federal individual income tax. The federal government, however, has been generally reluctant to offer direct financial assistance to states in difficult fiscal situations.

Some Members have called for some form of control board to oversee Puerto Rico’s finances and other aspects of public administration. Representative Jeff Duncan, chairman of the Subcommittee on the Western Hemisphere of the House Foreign Affairs Committee, called for a control board to oversee Puerto Rico’s finances along the lines of the financial control board that Congress set up in 1995 to oversee the District of Columbia’s government.

Congress established the District of Columbia Financial Responsibility and Management Assistance Authority, commonly known as the Control Board, by passing the District of Columbia Financial Responsibility and Management Assistance Act of 1995 (P.L. 104-8). That act also created a chief financial officer (CFO) position with authority to administer the District’s financial operations. The federal government also assumed certain pension and judicial obligations of the District and reduced the District’s share of Medicaid costs. After the District

---

61 Ibid.
62 One 1991 study estimated that a $1 drop in a U.S. region’s income would result in a decrease in tax collections of 34 cents and a 6-cent increase in federal transfers. See Xavier Sala-i-Martin and Jeffrey Sachs, “Fiscal Federalism and Optimum Currency Areas: Evidence for Europe From the United States,” NBER working paper 3855, October 1991.
government was able to balance its budget for four years, the Control Board became dormant after September 2001.66

State governments have also established financial control boards for other municipal governments, such as the cities of Philadelphia, Cleveland, and Detroit.67 The federal government also extended fiscal assistance directly and indirectly to New York City in 1975.68

**Structural Reforms in the Medium and Long Term**

Congress could also encourage the Puerto Rican government to pursue economic development strategies more in line with the island’s economic comparative advantages rather than its tax advantages. Puerto Rico has long relied on special provisions in the U.S. tax code and in its own tax laws to stimulate investment. Many of the tax advantages available to corporations or subsidiaries located in Puerto Rico, such as Internal Revenue Code Section 936, which until it was phased out between 1996 and 2005, essentially exempted income of U.S. firms operating in U.S. possessions, have reduced the U.S. Treasury’s receipts.69 The IRS’s unwillingness to challenge the creditability of Puerto Rico’s Act 154 taxes against U.S. tax liability provides indirect support for the island’s public finances that is nearly offset by the loss of revenues foregone by the U.S. Treasury. Puerto Rico’s Act 20 and Act 22, which provide certain tax exemptions to wealthy persons who establish residency in Puerto Rico, may also affect U.S. Treasury receipts.

Congress could also consider options that might address structural issues that may have hindered Puerto Rico’s economic growth. Congress could consider several regulatory policies, such as Jones Act restrictions on shipping between Puerto Rico and the mainland. Congress has already waived Jones Act requirements for the U.S. Virgin Islands and could extend that waiver to Puerto Rico.70 Several past studies have noted that labor participation rates for Puerto Rico are well below those on the mainland. Some have suggested that social insurance or minimum wage legislation may play a role in discouraging employment outside the underground economy.

---
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