



**Congressional  
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

---

# Legislative Actions to Repeal, Defund, or Delay the Affordable Care Act

**C. Stephen Redhead**  
Specialist in Health Policy

**Janet Kinzer**  
Information Research Specialist

April 7, 2014

**Congressional Research Service**

7-5700

[www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov)

R43289

## **Contents**

Introduction.....	1
Background on the Affordable Care Act.....	2
How ACA Implementation Affects Federal Spending.....	3

## **Tables**

Table A-1. Enacted Authorizing Legislation That Amends the ACA .....	8
Table B-1. ACA Provisions in Bills Approved by the House in the 112 <sup>th</sup> and 113 <sup>th</sup> Congresses.....	12
Table C-1. ACA-Related Provisions in Appropriations Acts, FY2011-FY2014.....	17

## **Appendixes**

Appendix A. ACA Provisions in Enacted Authorizing Legislation in the 111 <sup>th</sup> and 112 <sup>th</sup> Congresses.....	7
Appendix B. ACA Provisions in Bills Approved by the House in the 112 <sup>th</sup> and 113 <sup>th</sup> Congresses.....	11
Appendix C. ACA Provisions in Appropriations Acts (FY2011-FY2014).....	16

## **Contacts**

Author Contact Information.....	22
---------------------------------	----

## Introduction

Congress is deeply divided over implementation of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), the health reform law enacted in March 2010.<sup>1</sup> Since the ACA's enactment, lawmakers opposed to specific provisions in the ACA, or to the entire law, have debated implementation of the law on numerous occasions and considered multiple bills to repeal, defund, delay, or otherwise amend the law. Most of the legislative activity on these ACA-related bills has taken place in the House. The legislation includes stand-alone bills as well as provisions in broader, often unrelated measures that would (1) repeal the ACA in its entirety and, in some cases, replace it with new law; (2) repeal, or by amendment restrict or otherwise limit, specific provisions in the ACA; (3) eliminate appropriations provided by the ACA and rescind all unobligated funds;<sup>2</sup> (4) replace the mandatory appropriations for one or more ACA programs with authorizations of (discretionary) appropriations, and rescind all unobligated funds; and (5) block or otherwise delay implementation of specific ACA provisions. A few bills containing provisions to amend the ACA that have attracted sufficiently broad and bipartisan support have been approved in both the House and the Senate and signed into law.

Some lawmakers have used the annual appropriations process in an effort to eliminate funding for the ACA and address other concerns they have with implementation of the law. ACA-related provisions have been included in enacted appropriations acts in each of the last four years (i.e., FY2011-FY2014). In the FY2014 appropriations cycle, disagreement between the House and the Senate over the inclusion of various ACA provisions in the FY2014 continuing resolution (CR) temporarily shut down programs and activities across the federal government.

Congress took up consideration of an FY2014 CR in September 2013, having failed to complete legislative action on any of the annual appropriations acts for the new fiscal year. The House repeatedly attached provisions to the CR to defund or delay ACA implementation, which the Senate rejected. With no agreement in place at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., October 1, 2013), the resulting lapse in discretionary funding led to a partial shutdown of government operations. Lawmakers finally reached agreement on legislative language on October 16, and the President signed the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014 the following day to reopen the government.<sup>3</sup> The measure funded the federal government through January 15, 2014, and did not include any

---

<sup>1</sup> The ACA was signed into law on March 23, 2010 (P.L. 111-148, 124 Stat. 119). On March 30, 2010, the President signed the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (HCERA; P.L. 111-152, 124 Stat. 1029), which amended numerous provisions in the ACA. HCERA also included multiple new freestanding provisions related to the ACA. Several other bills that were subsequently enacted during the 111<sup>th</sup> and 112<sup>th</sup> Congresses made additional changes to selected ACA provisions. All references to the ACA in this report refer, collectively, to the law as amended and to the related HCERA provisions.

<sup>2</sup> Appropriations bills provide agencies with budget authority, which is the legal authority to incur financial obligations (e.g., hire employees, purchase services, award grants, or sign contracts) that result in immediate or future government expenditures (or outlays). Budget authority is generally made available for obligation during a specified time period, typically the upcoming fiscal year. Once budget authority reaches the end of that time period, it “expires,” meaning that it is no longer available for obligation. A rescission is a provision of law that cancels budget authority prior to when it would otherwise expire, making it unavailable for future obligation. For further explanations of these terms, see GAO, *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP, September 2005, pp. 85-86, available at <http://www.gao.gov>.

<sup>3</sup> P.L. 113-46, 127 Stat. 558. For more analysis of the various legal and procedural considerations arising from the use of the appropriations process to delay or defund the ACA, see CRS Report R43246, *Affordable Care Act (ACA) and the Appropriations Process: FAQs Regarding Potential Legislative Changes and Effects of a Government Shutdown*, coordinated by C. Stephen Redhead.

provisions to defund or delay ACA implementation. Instead, it required the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) to certify to Congress that the ACA health insurance exchanges are verifying the eligibility of individuals applying for subsidies to help cover the cost of purchasing insurance coverage. In January 2014, Congress completed action on the FY2014 appropriations process by approving the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, which included all 12 annual appropriations acts for FY2014.<sup>4</sup>

This report summarizes legislative actions taken to repeal, defund, delay, or otherwise amend the ACA since the law's enactment. The information is presented in three appendices. **Table A-1 in Appendix A** summarizes the authorizing legislation to amend the ACA that has been approved by both chambers and enacted into law. **Table B-1 in Appendix B** summarizes the ACA provisions in authorizing legislation that passed the House in the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress (2011-2012) but was not approved by the Senate. It also lists the ACA-related legislation that the House has passed to date in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress (2013-2014), but which has not been taken up by the Senate. **Table C-1 in Appendix C** summarizes the ACA-related provisions in enacted annual appropriations acts for each of FY2011 through FY2014. Also included is a brief overview of all the ACA-related provisions added to appropriations bills considered, and in most cases reported, by the House and Senate Appropriations Committees since FY2011.

To help provide context for the information presented in the appendices, the report continues with some background on the core provisions of the ACA. That is followed by an overview of the law's impact on federal spending. This report is updated periodically to reflect legislative and other developments.

## **Background on the Affordable Care Act<sup>5</sup>**

Among its many provisions, the ACA has restructured the private health insurance market and set minimum standards for health coverage. The law has created competitive private health insurance marketplaces—or exchanges—in each state through which individuals and small employers are able to shop for, select, and enroll in qualified health plans. The exchanges began open enrollment on October 1, 2013, for the 2014 benefit year. Plans offered through the exchanges, and certain other plans, must meet essential health benefit standards requiring them to cover emergency services, hospital care, physician services, preventive care, prescription drugs, and mental health and substance use disorder treatment, among other specified services.

Refundable tax credits are available to certain individuals and families with incomes between 100% and 400% of the federal poverty level (FPL) to help offset the cost of purchasing insurance coverage through the exchanges. These premium tax credits are available upon enrollment so that eligible individuals and families can choose to receive the subsidy immediately rather than wait until they file taxes the following year. In addition, certain individuals and families receiving the premium credit are eligible for a subsidy to lower their cost-sharing (i.e., out-of-pocket costs such as deductibles and co-pays).

---

<sup>4</sup> P.L. 113-76, 128 Stat. 5.

<sup>5</sup> While a detailed examination of the ACA is beyond the scope of this report, numerous CRS products that provide more in-depth information on the many new programs and activities authorized and funded by the law are available at <http://www.crs.gov/pages/subissue.aspx?cliid=3746&parentid=13&preview=False>.

The ACA also established new federal requirements for private health insurance. Health plans are required to sell and renew policies to all individuals and may not deny coverage for preexisting conditions or otherwise discriminate based on health status. Premiums may vary by limited amounts, but only based on age, family size, geographic area, and tobacco use. Also, plans must cover preventive services and immunizations recommended by various specified entities without any cost-sharing. Finally, young adults up to age 26 generally must be allowed to remain on their parents' health plans.<sup>6</sup>

Beginning in 2014, most U.S. citizens and legal residents are required to have health insurance. Those who remain uninsured may have to pay a penalty unless they qualify for an exemption. As plans will no longer be able to restrict coverage of individuals with health problems, the ACA's individual insurance mandate is intended to ensure that healthy individuals participate in the insurance market rather than waiting until they need health care services. Increasing the number of healthy persons in the risk pool helps spread the risk and reduce premiums.

In addition to expanding access to private health insurance coverage, the ACA, as enacted, requires state Medicaid programs to expand coverage to all eligible nonelderly, non-pregnant individuals under age 65 with incomes up to 133% of the FPL. The federal government will initially cover 100% of the expansion costs, phasing down to 90% of the costs by 2020.

Medicaid law authorizes the HHS Secretary to withhold existing federal Medicaid matching funds if states refuse to comply with the expansion. However, in *National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius*, the U.S. Supreme Court found that the Medicaid expansion unconstitutionally coerced the states by threatening them with the loss of their existing federal Medicaid matching funds.<sup>7</sup> The Court precluded the HHS Secretary from penalizing states that choose not to participate in the Medicaid expansion, a decision that effectively makes Medicaid expansion an option for states.<sup>8</sup>

## How ACA Implementation Affects Federal Spending

Implementation of the ACA is having an impact on both mandatory and discretionary spending. Mandatory spending—also referred to as direct spending—is controlled through authorizing laws.<sup>9</sup> It includes spending on entitlement programs such as Medicare and Social Security. Such spending may be funded through provisions in the authorizing law that provide temporary or permanent appropriations for that purpose. Alternatively, when the authorizing law contains no appropriations, such mandatory programs are funded through the annual appropriations process.

---

<sup>6</sup> For more information, see CRS Report R42069, *Private Health Insurance Market Reforms in the Affordable Care Act (ACA)*, by Annie L. Mach and Bernadette Fernandez.

<sup>7</sup> *NFIB v. Sebelius*, No. 11-393, slip op. (June 28, 2012), <http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/11pdf/11-393c3a2.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> For more information, see CRS Report R42367, *Medicaid and Federal Grant Conditions After NFIB v. Sebelius: Constitutional Issues and Analysis*, by Kenneth R. Thomas.

<sup>9</sup> An authorization may generally be described as a statutory provision that defines the authority of the government to act. It can establish or continue a federal agency, program, policy, project, or activity. Further, it may establish policies and restrictions and deal with organizational and administrative matters. It may also explicitly authorize subsequent congressional action to provide appropriations. For further information, see CRS Report R42098, *Authorization of Appropriations: Procedural and Legal Issues*, by Jessica Tollestrup and Brian T. Yeh.

This is sometimes referred to as “appropriated mandatory” or “appropriated entitlement” spending.<sup>10</sup> Discretionary spending is both controlled and funded through the annual appropriations process. It typically covers the routine costs of running federal agencies and offices, including wages and salaries.<sup>11</sup>

Federal spending on ACA implementation can be grouped into three categories: (1) mandatory spending to expand insurance coverage through the exchanges and Medicaid, (2) mandatory spending provided in the ACA for other programs and activities, and (3) discretionary spending on new grant programs authorized by the ACA and on administration and enforcement of the law. Each of these three spending categories is briefly discussed below.

## **Mandatory Spending on Expanding Insurance Coverage**

The first category, which accounts for most of the federal spending under the ACA, includes the exchange subsidies (i.e., premium tax credits and cost-sharing subsidies), the federal government’s share of the costs of Medicaid expansion, and tax credits for small employers. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimates that the ACA’s mandatory spending, both on insurance coverage expansion and on other programs (see below), will be fully offset by revenues from new taxes and fees established in the law, and by savings from the law’s changes to Medicare payments that are designed to slow the growth in future spending on this program.<sup>12</sup>

## **Mandatory Spending for Other Programs**

The ACA included numerous appropriations that provide billions of dollars of mandatory funding to support grant programs and other activities authorized by the law.<sup>13</sup> For example, the ACA provided funding for several temporary insurance programs for targeted groups, including a temporary high-risk pool for uninsured individuals with preexisting conditions, and a reinsurance program to reimburse employers for a portion of the health insurance claims’ costs for their 55- to 64-year-old retirees. It provided funding for grants to states to plan and establish health insurance exchanges. The ACA also provided a permanent appropriation, available for 10-year periods, for the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMI), within the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), to test and implement innovative health care payment and service delivery models.

In addition, the ACA created four special funds and appropriated amounts to each one. First, the Community Health Center Fund (CHCF) is providing \$11 billion over five years (FY2011-FY2015) to help support community health center operations and the National Health Service Corps. Second, the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Trust Fund (PCORTF) is supporting

---

<sup>10</sup> For further information on direct spending, see CRS Report RS20129, *Entitlements and Appropriated Entitlements in the Federal Budget Process*, by Bill Heniff Jr.

<sup>11</sup> For further information on discretionary spending, see CRS Report R42388, *The Congressional Appropriations Process: An Introduction*, by Jessica Tollestrup.

<sup>12</sup> For more analysis of the ACA’s projected impact on federal direct spending and revenues, including details of CBO’s budgetary estimates, see CRS Report R42051, *Budget Control Act: Potential Impact of Sequestration on Health Reform Spending*, by C. Stephen Redhead.

<sup>13</sup> For a summary of all the ACA’s mandatory appropriations, and the status of obligation of those funds, see CRS Report R41301, *Appropriations and Fund Transfers in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA)*, by C. Stephen Redhead.

comparative effectiveness research through FY2019 with a mix of appropriations, fees on health plans, and transfers from the Medicare trust funds. Third, the Prevention and Public Health Fund (PPHF), for which the ACA provided a permanent annual appropriation, is intended to support prevention, wellness, and other public health-related programs and activities. Finally, the Health Insurance Reform Implementation Fund (HIRIF), for which the ACA appropriated \$1 billion, is helping cover the administrative costs of implementing the law.

## Discretionary Spending

In addition to the ACA's substantial impact on mandatory spending, implementation of the law is having an effect on discretionary spending, which is controlled through the annual appropriations process. The ACA is affecting discretionary spending in two ways. First, the law created numerous new discretionary grant programs and provided each of them with an authorization of appropriations. To date, however, few of these programs have received discretionary funding, though several of them have been supported with mandatory funds from the PPHF.<sup>14</sup>

Second, the two agencies largely responsible for the ACA's implementation—CMS and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS)—are incurring significant costs in connection with administering and enforcing the law. CMS is responsible for the operations of the federally facilitated exchanges (FfEs) and is providing technical assistance to states running their own exchanges. It operates information technology systems that control various functions of the FfEs including eligibility and appeals, certification and oversight of qualified health plans, and payment and financial management. It also operates the data services hub, which routes information about exchange applicants to and from trusted data sources at other federal agencies (e.g., IRS) in order to verify eligibility. In addition, CMS provides consumer assistance and education.

CMS requested a significant increase in funding for its Program Management account in both the FY2013 and the FY2014 budgets to pay for ACA implementation and other activities. However, congressional appropriators did not provide CMS with any additional discretionary funds for ACA implementation in either fiscal year. CMS has instead relied on funding from other sources to help support exchange operations. The agency provided a detailed breakdown of the sources and amounts of funding used for exchange operations in its FY2015 budget.<sup>15</sup>

According to that breakdown, CMS will have spent an estimated \$3.391 billion on exchange operations through the end of FY2014. Of that total, \$2.435 billion is discretionary funding, including transfers from other HHS accounts (\$223 million)<sup>16</sup> and expired discretionary funds

---

<sup>14</sup> The ACA also reauthorized funding for many *existing* discretionary grant programs authorized under the PHSA; notably, the federal health workforce programs administered by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). The authorizations of appropriations for many of these programs expired prior to the ACA's enactment, though most of them were still receiving annual appropriations. The ACA also permanently reauthorized appropriations for the federal health centers program and for programs and services provided by the Indian Health Service (IHS). Congressional appropriators have in general continued to provide discretionary funding for these long-standing programs, though typically at funding levels below the amounts authorized by the ACA. For more details on all the authorizations (and reauthorizations) of discretionary funding in ACA, including the FY2011-FY2014 funding levels for programs that received an appropriation, see CRS Report R41390, *Discretionary Spending in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA)*, coordinated by C. Stephen Redhead.

<sup>15</sup> A provision in the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2014 instructed the HHS Secretary to provide the funding data; see **Table C-1** in **Appendix C**.

<sup>16</sup> Each year, the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act provides the HHS Secretary with limited authority to transfer funds between appropriation accounts. No more than (continued...)

from the Nonrecurring Expenses Fund.<sup>17</sup> The remaining \$957 million includes ACA mandatory funding from the HIRIF (\$303 million) and the PPHF (\$454 million) as well as FFE user fees (\$200 million).<sup>18</sup>

CMS has budgeted \$1.8 billion for exchange operations in FY2015. Most of that funding (\$1.2 billion) is projected to come from FFE user fees.<sup>19</sup>

The IRS, which is responsible for administering and enforcing the ACA's tax provisions including the premium tax credit, also has requested additional discretionary funding for ACA implementation. But, as with CMS, Congress has not appropriated any additional amounts for such purposes. While the IRS has not provided a complete breakdown of funding for its ACA-related activities by source and amount, the agency has received a significant amount of HIRIF funding from the HHS Secretary.

---

(...continued)

1% of the funds in any given account may be transferred, and recipient accounts may not be increased by more than 3%. Congressional appropriators must be notified in advance of any transfer.

<sup>17</sup> The non-recurring expenses fund, within the Department of the Treasury, was established by Division G, Section 223 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-161, 121 Stat. 2188). The HHS Secretary may transfer to the NEF unobligated balances of expired annual discretionary funds up to five years after the fiscal year in which those funds were available for obligation. The amounts transferred to the fund are available until expended for use by HHS for "capital acquisition necessary for the operation of the Department, including facilities infrastructure and information technology infrastructure." Congressional appropriators must be notified in advance of any planned use of funds.

<sup>18</sup> The ACA requires each exchange to be self-sustaining beginning January 1, 2015. Exchanges are permitted to assess a user fee on participating health insurers to generate revenue to sustain their operations. HHS is collecting monthly user fees from all insurers that sell plans through an FFE.

<sup>19</sup> See CRS Report R43066, *Federal Funding for Health Insurance Exchanges*, by Annie L. Mach and C. Stephen Redhead, for a more detailed discussion of the sources and amounts of funding that CMS has used and plans to use to support FFE operations.



## Appendix A. ACA Provisions in Enacted Authorizing Legislation in the 111<sup>th</sup> and 112<sup>th</sup> Congresses

**Table A-1** summarizes the *authorizing* legislation enacted to date to amend the ACA. Each table entry includes the public law number and date of enactment, the original bill number and sponsor, and a brief description and explanation of the change(s) made to the ACA. The laws are listed in reverse chronological order beginning with the most recently enacted legislation and extending back to the first measure signed into law following enactment of the ACA and the accompanying package of amendments in the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act.<sup>20</sup> In compiling the table, CRS made decisions about which laws—or specific provisions in a particular law—to include, and which ones to leave out. Generally, CRS included only those laws that amend, or make changes that relate to, *new* programs and activities that were established under the ACA. CRS excluded laws that amend or extend *established* programs and activities that predate the ACA and were amended or extended by it. For example, the ACA extended multiple existing Medicare and Medicaid program payments and activities that have since been further extended and/or modified by more recently enacted laws. None of those laws are included in **Table A-1**.

The following laws are referred to in **Table A-1** by their acronym:

- Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (HCERA; P.L. 111-152)
- Internal Revenue Code (IRC)
- Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act (MIPPA; P.L. 110-275)
- Social Security Act (SSA)

---

<sup>20</sup> See footnote 1.

**Table A-1. Enacted Authorizing Legislation That Amends the ACA**

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Bill (Sponsor)	Summary of ACA Provisions
<b>113<sup>th</sup> Congress</b>		
<b>P.L. 113-93</b> Apr. 1, 2014	H.R. 4302 (Pitts)	<p><b>Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014.</b> Among its many provisions, P.L. 113-93:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eliminated paragraph (2) of ACA Section 1302(c), which capped deductibles for small group health plans at \$2,000 for singles and \$4,000 for families (indexed after 2014 to average per capita premium costs). [Insurers were finding it difficult staying within the deductible cap while covering all essential health benefits and meeting the 60% actuarial value (AV) level for bronze plans. Indeed, CMS had already agreed to waive the deductible cap if a plan could not “reasonably reach” the AV level without exceeding the cap.]</li> </ul>
<b>112<sup>th</sup> Congress</b>		
<b>P.L. 112-240</b> Jan. 2, 2013	H.R. 8 (Camp)	<p><b>American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012.</b> Among its many provisions, P.L. 112-240:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amended MIPAA Section 119 to provide a total of \$25 million for FY2013 for the four outreach and assistance programs, which ACA Section 3306 funded through FY2012.</li> <li>• Amended SSA Section 501(c)(1)(A) to provide \$5 million for FY2013 for the family-to-family information centers, which ACA Section 5507(b) funded through FY2012.</li> <li>• Transferred 10% of the remaining unobligated Consumer Operated and Oriented Plan (CO-OP) program funds to a new CO-OP contingency fund (to provide assistance and oversight to CO-OP loan recipients) and rescinded the other 90% of those funds (see entries for P.L. 112-10 and P.L. 112-74, which predate this act, in <b>Table C-1</b>).<sup>a</sup></li> <li>• Repealed ACA Title VIII, the Community Living Assistance Services and Supports (CLASS) Act.</li> <li>• Repealed the ACA’s appropriations for the National Clearinghouse for Long-Term Care Information and rescinded all unobligated funds.</li> </ul>
<b>P.L. 112-141</b> July 6, 2012	H.R. 4348 (Mica)	<p><b>Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act, or “MAP-21”.</b> Among its many provisions, P.L. 112-141 further modified the Medicaid disaster-recovery FMAP adjustment (see entry for P.L. 112-96, below) by changing the adjustment factor and the effective date.</p>

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Bill (Sponsor)	Summary of ACA Provisions
P.L. 112-96 Feb. 22, 2012	H.R. 3630 (Camp)	<p><b>Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012.</b> Among its many provisions, P.L. 112-96:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amended ACA Section 4002 to reduce the Prevention and Public Health Fund (PPHF) annual appropriations over the period FY2013-FY2021 by a total of \$6.25 billion to help offset the cost of extending the payroll tax cut and other programs in P.L. 112-96.</li> <li>Amended SSA Section 1923(f) to extend by one year the disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotment reduction imposed by ACA Section 3203.</li> <li>Amended SSA Section 1905(aa), as added by ACA Section 2006, to make a technical correction to the formula to phase down the Medicaid disaster-recovery Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) adjustment as originally intended. [The purpose of the adjustment was to help Louisiana avoid a significant reduction in its federal Medicaid match (i.e., FMAP) in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. As written in ACA Section 2006, the formula for the disaster-recovery FMAP adjustment unintentionally caused the FMAP adjustment to increase, rather than phase down, each year the state qualifies for the adjustment.]</li> </ul>
P.L. 112-56 Nov. 21, 2011	H.R. 674 (Herger)	<p><b>3% Withholding Repeal and Job Creation Act.</b> Among its many provisions, P.L. 112-56 amended IRC Section 36B, as added by ACA Section 1401(a) (as amended), by modifying the calculation of Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) to include Social Security benefits. MAGI will be used to determine eligibility for exchange subsidies and Medicaid, beginning in 2014.</p>
P.L. 112-9 Apr. 14, 2011	H.R. 4 (Lungren)	<p><b>Comprehensive 1099 Taxpayer Protection and Repayment of Exchange Subsidy Overpayments Act of 2011.</b> Amended IRC Section 6041, as amended by ACA Section 9006, to repeal the requirement that businesses file an information report (IRS Form 1099) whenever they pay a vendor more than \$600 for goods in a single year. To pay for the 1099 repeal, P.L. 112-9 further amended IRC Section 36B, as added by ACA Section 1401(a), by modifying the amount of excess premium tax credits that individuals would have to repay based on household income (see entry for P.L. 111-309, below).</p>
<b>111<sup>th</sup> Congress</b>		
P.L. 111-383 Jan. 7, 2011	H.R. 6523 (Skelton)	<p><b>Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.</b> Extended TRICARE coverage to dependent adult children up to age 26, to conform to the private health insurance requirements under the ACA.</p>
P.L. 111-312 Dec. 17, 2010	H.R. 4853 (Oberstar)	<p><b>Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010.</b> Amended ACA Section 10909 to extend the nonrefundable adoption tax credit through tax year 2012. The adoption tax credit helps offset the cost of qualified adoption expenses. [Subsequently, P.L. 112-240 made the nonrefundable adoption tax credit permanent.]</p>
P.L. 111-309 Dec. 15, 2010	H.R. 4994 (Lewis)	<p><b>Medicare and Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010.</b> To help offset the costs of the Medicare and Medicaid program extensions and the postponement of cuts in Medicare physician payments, P.L. 111-309 amended IRC Section 36B (as added by ACA Section 1401(a)) to modify the amount of excess premium tax credits that individuals would have to repay. The law created a sliding scale for such repayments based on household income. [Under the ACA, the amount received in premium credits is based on income as reported on tax returns. These amounts are reconciled the following year, which could result in an overpayment of credits if income increases. The ACA placed limits on the amount of any premium credit overpayment that had to be repaid to the government.]</p>

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Bill (Sponsor)	Summary of ACA Provisions
<b>P.L. 111-226</b> Aug. 10, 2010	H.R. 1586 (Rangel)	<b>FAA Air Transportation Modernization and Safety Improvement Act.</b> Among its many provisions, P.L. 111-226 amended SSA Section 1927(k)(1)(B)(i)(IV) (as added by ACA Section 2503(a)(2)(B), as amended by HCERA Section 1101(c)) by modifying the definition of average manufacturer price (AMP) to include inhalation, infusion, implanted, or injectable drugs that are not generally dispensed through a retail community pharmacy.
<b>P.L. 111-173</b> May 27, 2010	H.R. 5014 (Filner)	<b>[No title.]</b> Amended IRC Section 5000A(f)(1)(A), as added by ACA Section 5101(b), to clarify that health care provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs constitutes minimal essential health care coverage as required by the ACA. [Beginning in 2014, the ACA requires most U.S. citizens and legal residents to have minimal essential health care coverage or pay a penalty.]
<b>P.L. 111-159</b> Apr. 26, 2010	H.R. 4887 (Skelton)	<b>TRICARE Affirmation Act.</b> Amended IRC Section 5000A(f)(1)(A), as added by ACA Section 5101(b), to clarify that health care provided under TRICARE, TRICARE for Life, and the Nonappropriated Fund Health Benefits program constitutes minimal essential health care coverage as required by the ACA. [Beginning in 2014, the ACA requires most U.S. citizens and legal residents to have minimal essential health care coverage or pay a penalty.]

**Source:** Prepared by the Congressional Research Service based on the text of the public laws listed in the table.

- a. P.L. 112-10 and P.L. 112-74 rescinded a total of \$2.6 billion of the ACA’s original \$6 billion appropriation for the CO-OP program (see **Table C-1**). At the time P.L. 112-240 was enacted, according to HHS budget documents, the CO-OP program had an unobligated balance of \$2.532 billion. P.L. 112-240 rescinded 90% of that amount (i.e., \$2.279 billion), and transferred the remaining funds (i.e., \$253 million) to the contingency fund. In all, Congress has rescinded \$4.879 billion of the \$6 billion CO-OP program appropriation.

## Appendix B. ACA Provisions in Bills Approved by the House in the 112<sup>th</sup> and 113<sup>th</sup> Congresses

As noted earlier in this report, lawmakers opposed to specific provisions in the ACA, or to the entire law, have debated implementation of the law on numerous occasions and considered multiple bills to repeal, defund, delay, or otherwise amend the law. Most of this legislative activity has taken place in the House. However, a few bills containing provisions to amend the ACA that have attracted sufficiently broad and bipartisan support have been approved in both the House and the Senate and signed into law. Those laws are summarized in **Table A-1** in **Appendix A**.

**Table B-1** below summarizes the ACA provisions in authorizing legislation that the House has passed to date in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress, but which has not been taken up by the Senate. It also lists the ACA-related legislation that passed the House in the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress, but was not approved by the Senate. The bills are listed in reverse chronological order beginning with the most recently passed one. **Table B-1** includes only legislation that, if enacted, would have a direct impact on the ACA and its implementation; measures that would not have such an effect are not included. Thus, budget resolutions, which are concurrent resolutions and not eligible to become public law, are not included.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>21</sup> Both the House and the Senate have taken multiple votes on amendments to, and passage of, budget resolutions that expressed support for a full repeal of the ACA, or the repeal or amendment of specific provisions in the law. However, budget resolutions are concurrent resolutions that apply only to the Congress. They are not presented to the President for his signature and do not have the force of law. In the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress, for example, the House voted on several ACA-related amendments to, and passage of, the FY2012 and FY2013 budget resolutions (H.Con.Res. 34 and H.Con.Res. 112, respectively).

**Table B-1. ACA Provisions in Bills Approved by the House in the 112<sup>th</sup> and 113<sup>th</sup> Congresses**

Bill (Sponsor)	Bill Title, House Vote, Summary of ACA Provisions
113 <sup>th</sup> Congress	
H.R. 2575 (Young, T.)	<b>Save American Workers Act of 2014.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 248-179 on April 3, 2014. H.R. 2575 would amend the ACA’s definition of full-time employees to those who work on average at least 40 hours a week. [Note: The ACA requires employers with at least 50 full-time equivalent employees (FTEs) to offer affordable health coverage or risk paying a penalty if at least one full-time worker gets a premium tax credit for coverage purchased at an exchange. Full-time employees are defined as those who work on average at least 30 hours a week.]
H.R. 4015 (Burgess)	<b>SGR Repeal and Medicare Provider Payment Modernization Act of 2014.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 238-181 on March 14, 2014. H.R. 4015 would replace the Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR) formula, which determines the annual updates to Medicare’s payment rates for physician services, with new systems for establishing those payment rates. To help pay for its cost, H.R. 4015 would delay enforcement of the ACA’s individual mandate by five years by shifting the schedule of penalties for individuals who do not comply with the mandate (or obtain an exemption) to begin in 2019. CBO estimated that this would result in 13 million fewer Americans with health insurance coverage in 2018 relative to current-law projections.
H.R. 3979 (Barletta)	<b>Protecting Volunteer Firefighters and Emergency Responders Act of 2014.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 410-0 on March 11, 2014. H.R. 3979 would exclude the hours worked by volunteer firefighters and emergency medical responders from being counted towards the ACA’s 30-hour-a-week benchmark that determines whether an employee is classified as full-time. [Note: The ACA requires employers with at least 50 FTEs to offer affordable health coverage or risk paying a penalty if at least one full-time worker gets a premium tax credit for coverage purchased at an exchange. Prior to passage of H.R. 3979, the IRS ruled that that it will not require volunteer emergency responders to count towards these ACA requirements.]
H.R. 3474 (Davis, R.)	<b>Hire More Heroes Act of 2014.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 406-1 on March 11, 2014. H.R. 3474 would permit an employer to exclude employees who receive health care through the Department of Veterans Affairs or TRICARE from its FTE count.
H.R. 1814 (Schock)	<b>Equitable Access to Care and Health (EACH) Act.</b> Passed the House by voice vote on March 11, 2014. H.R. 1814 would expand the religious exemption in the ACA by exempting from the law’s insurance mandate any individual who objects to purchasing health coverage because of sincerely held religious beliefs. [Note: The ACA’s religious exemption applies only to religious sects that are recognized by the Social Security Administration as being conscientiously opposed to accepting insurance benefits (e.g., Amish).]
H.R. 4118 (Jenkins)	<b>Suspending the Individual Mandate Penalty Law Equals (SIMPLE) Fairness Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 250-160 on March 5, 2014. H.R. 4118 would delay enforcement of the ACA’s individual mandate by one year by shifting the schedule of penalties for individuals who do not comply with the mandate (or obtain an exemption) to begin in 2015. [Note: The House passed similar legislation in 2013; see H.R. 2668 below.]
H.R. 7 (Smith)	<b>No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion and Abortion Insurance Full Disclosure Act of 2014.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 227-188 on January 28, 2014. H.R. 7 would prohibit exchange applicants from obtaining premium tax credits or cost-sharing subsidies to help purchase health plans that cover elective abortions, and would prohibit tax credits for health plans offered by an employer that include elective abortion coverage. Individuals would still be able to purchase separate abortion coverage, but would not be able to receive a tax credit or cost-sharing subsidy. H.R. 7 also would prohibit OPM-contracted multi-state plans from including elective abortion coverage. [Note: The ACA permits exchange applicants to obtain premium tax credits and cost-sharing subsidies to help purchase health plans that cover elective abortions; however, the law prohibits the use of those federal funds to pay for abortion services and requires plans to collect an abortion surcharge from enrollees to pay for such services. The ACA also specifies that at least one multi-state plan offered in an exchange must not include elective abortion coverage.]

Bill (Sponsor)	Bill Title, House Vote, Summary of ACA Provisions
H.R. 3362 (Lee)	<b>Exchange Information Disclosure Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 259-154 on January 16, 2014. H.R. 3362 would require the HHS Secretary to submit to Congress and make public a detailed weekly report, through March 2015, on (1) consumer interactions with healthcare.gov (or subsequent sites) and efforts undertaken to remedy problems that impact consumers; and (2) calls to the federal consumer service call center, including the number of calls received by the call center, problems identified by users, and referrals of those calls. The Secretary also would be required to make public a list (with contact information) of all navigators and certified application counselors trained and certified by exchanges, and a list of all agents and brokers trained and certified by the federally facilitated exchange. Both lists would have to be updated weekly through March 2015.
H.R. 3811 (Pitts)	<b>Health Exchange Security and Transparency Act of 2014.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 291-122 on January 10, 2014. H.R. 3811 would require the HHS Secretary to notify affected individuals within two business days of a breach of their personally identifiable information maintained by an exchange.
H.R. 3350 (Upton)	<b>Keep Your Health Plan Act of 2013.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 261-157 on November 15, 2013. H.R. 3350 would permit health insurance companies to continue selling policies in 2014 that were in existence in the individual market on January 1, 2013, even though such plans may not meet the ACA's essential health benefit standards and other market reforms that take effect in 2014. [Note: This legislation was prompted by the decision of insurers to send cancellation notices to individuals and small businesses with health plans in the individual and small group markets. The Administration also has taken steps to address this issue. On November 14, 2013, it announced a transitional policy under which insurers may choose, subject to the approval of state insurance regulators, to renew noncompliant health plans that have been cancelled, or are slated for cancellation. Under the ACA, insurers are not permitted to sell noncompliant coverage to new enrollees. H.R. 3350, however, would allow insurers to sell such coverage in the individual market during 2014.]
H.R. 2009 (Price)	<b>Keep the IRS Off Your Health Care Act of 2013.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 232-185 on August 2, 2013. H.R. 2009 would prohibit the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) from implementing or enforcing any provisions of the ACA.
H.R. 2668 (Young)	<b>Fairness for American Families Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 251-174 on July 17, 2013. H.R. 2668 would delay enforcement of the ACA's individual mandate by one year by shifting the schedule of penalties for individuals who do not comply with the mandate (or obtain an exemption) to begin in 2015. It also incorporated the provisions in H.R. 2667 (see above) to delay the employer mandate and related reporting requirements.
H.R. 2667 (Griffin)	<b>Authority for Mandate Delay Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 264-161 on July 17, 2013. H.R. 2667 would delay for one year certain ACA reporting requirements for insurers and employers as well as the penalties for employers who do not offer affordable coverage. [Note: H.R. 2667 would essentially codify the Administration's announcement on July 2, 2013, that it was delaying the ACA employer mandate and related reporting requirements.]
H.R. 45 (Bachmann)	<b>A bill to repeal the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 229-195 on May 16, 2013. H.R. 45 would repeal the ACA in its entirety and restore the provisions of law amended or repealed by the ACA as if it had not been enacted.

#### 112<sup>th</sup> Congress

H.R. 6684 (Cantor)	<b>Spending Reduction Act of 2012.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 215-209 on December 20, 2012. H.R. 6684 would have eliminated the FY2013 sequestration of direct defense spending (as required under the Budget Control Act of 2011), reduced the FY2013 overall discretionary cap by \$19 billion, and implemented numerous other mandatory spending reductions. Among its provisions, H.R. 6684 would have (1) repealed the authority and appropriations for the exchange planning and establishment grants and rescinded all unobligated funds; (2) repealed the authority and appropriations for the PPHF and rescinded all unobligated funds; and (3) rescinded all remaining unobligated funds for the Consumer Operated and Oriented Plan (CO-OP) program.
H.R. 6079 (Cantor)	<b>Repeal of Obamacare Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 244-185 on July 11, 2012. H.R. 6079 would have repealed the ACA in its entirety and restored the provisions of law amended or repealed by the ACA as if it had not been enacted.

Bill (Sponsor)	Bill Title, House Vote, Summary of ACA Provisions
H.R. 436 (Paulsen)	<b>Health Care Cost Reduction Act of 2012.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 270-146 on June 7, 2012. H.R. 436 would have (1) repealed ACA's 2.3% excise tax on medical devices; (2) repealed the law's restrictions on using tax-preferred accounts to pay for over-the-counter drugs; (3) allowed individuals to recoup up to \$500 of unused funds remaining in their flexible spending account (FSA) after the end of the plan year; and (4) eliminated all limits on repayment of any premium credit overpayment, making individuals liable for the full amount.
H.R. 5652 (Ryan)	<b>Sequester Replacement Reconciliation Act of 2012.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 218-199 on May 10, 2012. H.R. 5652, which was introduced pursuant to the reconciliation instructions in the House FY2013 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 112), would have eliminated the FY2013 sequestration of direct defense spending (as required under the Budget Control Act of 2011), reduced the FY2013 overall discretionary cap by \$19 billion, and implemented a series of mandatory program savings recommended by six House committees. Among its many provisions, H.R. 5652 would have (1) eliminated all limits on repayment of any premium credit overpayment, making individuals liable for the full amount; (2) repealed the authority and appropriations for the exchange planning and establishment grants and rescinded all unobligated funds; (3) repealed the authority and appropriations for the PPHF and rescinded all unobligated funds; (4) rescinded all remaining unobligated funds for the CO-OP program; (5) extended by one year the disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotment reduction imposed by the ACA; and (6) repealed the ACA's Medicaid maintenance of effort requirements.
H.R. 4628 (Biggert)	<b>Interest Rate Reduction Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 215-195 on April 27, 2012. H.R. 4628 would have postponed by one year a scheduled increase in Stafford education loan rates and, to offset the costs of that adjustment, repealed the authority and appropriations for the PPHF and rescinded all unobligated funds. [Note: The one-year Stafford loan rate extension was incorporated as Division F, Title III of MAP-21, the surface transportation reauthorization bill (see entry for P.L. 112-141 in <b>Table A-1</b> in <b>Appendix A</b> ). The provision in H.R. 4628 to repeal the PPHF and rescind all unobligated funds was not included in MAP-21.]
H.R. 5 (Gingrey)	<b>Protecting Access to Healthcare Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 223-181 on March 22, 2012. Title II of H.R. 5 would have repealed the authority and appropriations for the Independent Payment Advisory Board (IPAB).
H.R. 1173 (Boustany)	<b>Fiscal Responsibility and Retirement Security Act of 2012.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 267-159 on February 1, 2012. H.R. 1173 would have repealed Title VIII of the ACA, the Community Living Assistance Services and Supports (CLASS) Act. [Note: P.L. 112-240, enacted January 2, 2013, included a repeal of the CLASS Act; see <b>Table A-1</b> in <b>Appendix A</b> .]
H.R. 358 (Pitts)	<b>Protect Life Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 251-172 on October 13, 2011. H.R. 358 would have prohibited using any funds authorized or appropriated by the ACA to pay for an abortion or to pay for any part of the costs of a health plan that covers abortions, except if the pregnancy is the result of rape or incest, or the life of the pregnant female is at risk unless an abortion is performed. It would have required insurers that offer plans through the exchanges that cover abortion services to offer identical plans that do not cover abortion services. It also would have prohibited federal, state, or local government programs that receive ACA funding from discriminating against health care entities that refuse to provide abortion services or abortion training.
H.R. 1216 (Guthrie)	<b>A bill to convert funding for graduate medical education (GME) in qualified teaching health centers (THCs) to an authorization of appropriations.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 234-185 on May 25, 2011. H.R. 1216 would have replaced the appropriation for GME payments to THCs with an authorization of appropriations for each of FY2012 through FY2015, and rescinded all unobligated funds. It would have prohibited the GME funds from being used to provide abortions, except in cases of rape or incest or when the woman's life is in danger.
H.R. 1214 (Burgess)	<b>A bill to repeal ACA funding for school-based health center (SBHC) construction.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 235-191 on May 4, 2011. H.R. 1214 would have repealed the authority and appropriations for SBHC construction grants and rescinded all unobligated funds.
H.R. 1213 (Upton)	<b>A bill to repeal ACA funding for health insurance exchanges.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 238-183 on May 3, 2011. H.R. 1213 would have repealed the authority and appropriations for state exchange planning and establishment grants and rescinded all unobligated funds.



Bill (Sponsor)	Bill Title, House Vote, Summary of ACA Provisions
H.R. 1217 (Pitts)	<b>A bill to repeal the Prevention and Public Health Fund (PPHF).</b> Passed the House by a vote of 236-183 on April 13, 2011. H.R. 1217 would have repealed the authority and appropriations for the PPHF and rescinded all unobligated funds.
H.R. 2 (Cantor)	<b>Repealing the Job-Killing Health Care Law Act.</b> Passed the House by a vote of 245-189 on January 19, 2011. It was offered as an amendment during Senate floor debate on an unrelated bill (S. 223) and rejected on a procedural motion by a vote of 47-51. H.R. 2 would have repealed the ACA in its entirety and restored the provisions of law amended or repealed by the ACA as if it had not been enacted.

**Source:** Prepared by the Congressional Research Service based on the text of the bills listed in the table.

## **Appendix C. ACA Provisions in Appropriations Acts (FY2011-FY2014)**

Numerous ACA-related provisions were added to appropriations bills considered, and in some instances reported, by the House and Senate Appropriations Committees for FY2011-FY2014. These provisions were incorporated in the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies (“Labor-HHS-ED”) Appropriations Act, which funds the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), and the Financial Services and General Government (“Financial Services”) Appropriations Act, which funds the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). They include language prohibiting the use of discretionary funds provided in the bill to implement specific ACA provisions or the entire law, as well as broader legislative language that would, for example, repeal, restrict, or rescind mandatory spending for specific ACA provisions. While none of the discretionary funding prohibitions survived, a few of the other provisions were incorporated into the final appropriations legislation agreed to by both chambers and enacted into law. They include provisions that rescind specific ACA mandatory funds, or place restrictions on their use, and language requiring the HHS Secretary to provide a detailed accounting of certain ACA-related spending.

**Table C-1** summarizes the ACA-related provisions in enacted annual appropriations acts for each of FY2011 through FY2014. It also provides a brief summary of the legislative actions taken by the House and Senate Appropriations Committees on both the Labor-HHS-ED and the Financial Services appropriations acts each year, prior to agreement on the final version of the legislation, and lists the ACA-related provisions included in these committee bills.

**Table C-1. ACA-Related Provisions in Appropriations Acts, FY2011-FY2014**

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Summary of Provisions
FY2014	
P.L. 113-76 Jan. 17, 2014	<p><b>Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014.</b> Division H of P.L. 113-76—the FY2014 L-HHS-ED Appropriations Act—includes the following ACA-related provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rescinds \$10 million of the FY2014 appropriation for the Independent Payment Advisory Board (IPAB), which was authorized and funded by ACA Section 3403. [Note: The same rescission was included in both the FY2012 and FY2013 appropriations acts; see below.]</li> <li>• Requires the HHS Secretary to transfer the FY2014 PPHF funds to the accounts specified, in the amounts specified, and for the activities specified in a table included in the explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 113-76 (Congressional Record, January 15, 2014, p. H1041). Prohibits the Secretary from making further transfers. [Note: The requirement to transfer PPHF funds in accordance with the allocations specified in an accompanying table was included in each of the FY2011, FY2012, and FY2013 L-HHS-ED appropriations bills reported by the Senate Appropriations Committee, but these provisions were not included in the final enacted appropriations legislation; see below.]</li> <li>• Requires the HHS Secretary to establish a website with detailed information on the allocation and use of PPHF funds, organized by program and by state. [Note: A similar, but less detailed, provision was included in the FY2012 appropriations act and remained in effect in FY2013 under P.L. 113-6; see below.]</li> <li>• Prohibits the use of PPHF funds for lobbying, publicity, or propaganda purposes. [Note: This provision first appeared in the FY2012 appropriations act and remained in effect in FY2013 under P.L. 113-6; see below.]</li> <li>• Authorizes the HHS Secretary to transfer up to \$305 million from the Medicare trust funds to the CMS Program Management account for Medicare operations, but prohibits the use of such transferred funds for ACA implementation.</li> <li>• Requires the HHS Secretary to include in the FY2015 budget justification and on the HHS website a detailed breakdown of the ACA programs and activities receiving funds appropriated to implement the law, including the number of full-time equivalents (FTEs), for FY2014 and for each of the past four fiscal years (i.e., FY2010-FY2013).</li> <li>• Requires the HHS Secretary to include in the FY2015 budget justification a detailed breakdown of all funds used to date by CMS for the exchanges, including the proposed use of such funds in FY2015.</li> <li>• Requires the HHS Secretary to include in the FY2016 budget justification an analysis of how the ACA requirement that health plans cover recommended immunizations and other preventive services without any cost-sharing will impact eligibility for HHS discretionary programs.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, the explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 113-76, submitted by the House Appropriations Committee Chairman (Congressional Record, January 15, 2014, p. H1034), instructs HHS to include in the FY2015 budget justification the amount of expired unobligated balances available for transfer to the non-recurring expenses fund (NEF), and the amount of any such balances transferred to the NEF. Section 4 of P.L. 113-76 states that the explanatory statement is to be treated as if it were a joint explanatory statement of the conference committee.</p> <p>Division E of P.L. 113-76—the FY2014 Financial Services Appropriations Act—includes the following ACA-related provision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires the IRS Commissioner to allocate \$92 million in general program funds among the agency’s appropriations accounts for various specified activities (e.g., improve delivery of services to taxpayers), but prohibits the use of such funds for ACA implementation.</li> </ul>

**Public Law and  
Date of Enactment**

**Summary of Provisions**

**P.L. 113-46**  
Oct. 17, 2013

**Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014.** P.L. 113-46 provided continuing appropriations for the federal government through January 15, 2014, generally at FY2013 post-sequestration funding levels. It included the following ACA-related provisions:

- Required the HHS Secretary to certify in a report to Congress, due by January 1, 2014, that the health exchanges are verifying the eligibility of individuals applying for premium tax credits and cost-sharing subsidies consistent with the requirements of the ACA.
- Required the HHS Inspector General to report to Congress not later than July 1, 2014, on the effectiveness of procedures and safeguards provided under the ACA for preventing exchange applicants from submitting inaccurate or fraudulent information.

**Legislative activity prior to enactment of P.L. 113-76.** On September 20, 2013, in the absence of any enacted appropriations bills for FY2014, the House approved a continuing resolution (CR; H.J.Res. 59) to provide temporary funding for the federal government through December 15. H.J.Res. 59, as passed by the House, incorporated language that would have prohibited the use of any federal funds—mandatory or discretionary—to carry out the ACA. The Senate amendment to H.J.Res. 59 did not incorporate the House ACA defunding language. On September 29, the House amended the Senate amendment with language that would have (1) repealed the ACA's medical device tax, and (2) delayed the law's implementation by one year, but the Senate tabled both of these amendments. On September 30, the House further amended the Senate amendment by adding language to (1) delay the ACA's individual insurance mandate by one year, and (2) expand the ACA's requirement for Members of Congress and their staff to obtain health coverage through the exchanges to include the President, Vice President, and political appointees, and prohibit any premium contribution by the government. Once again, the Senate tabled the House amendments. With the House and Senate unable to agree on the CR, the Administration on October 1, 2013, commenced a partial shutdown of the federal government. The government resumed full operations on October 17, 2013, after House and Senate lawmakers reached an agreement on a temporary funding measure, and the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014, was signed into law (see above).

Earlier in the summer of 2013, the House and Senate Appropriations Committees took the following actions on FY2014 appropriations. The Senate Appropriations Committee reported its FY2014 Labor-HHS-ED appropriations bill (S. 1284) on July 11, 2013. For the fourth year in a row, the Senate's L-HHS-ED appropriations bill would have instructed the HHS Secretary to allocate the PPHF funds to the programs specified, and in the amounts specified in a table included in the accompanying committee report (S.Rept. 113-71). S. 1284 also would have prohibited the Secretary from making any further transfers of PPHF funds. In addition, the bill would have required the HHS Secretary to establish a website with detailed information on the allocation and use of PPHF funds. S. 1284 would have provided CMS with its requested \$1.4 billion increase in discretionary funds for ACA implementation in FY2014.

The Senate Appropriations Committee reported its FY2014 Financial Services appropriations bill (S. 1371, S.Rept. 113-80) on July 25, 2013. S. 1371 would have provided some but not all of the requested \$440 million increase in IRS funding for ACA implementation.

The House Appropriations Committee reported its version of the FY2014 Financial Services appropriations bill (H.R. 2786, H.Rept. 113-172) on July 23, 2013. The measure did not provide any of the new IRS funds requested in the President's FY2014 budget for ACA implementation. H.R. 2786, as reported, would have prohibited the IRS from using any of the discretionary funds provided in the bill to implement the individual mandate, and would have prohibited transfers from HHS to IRS to implement the ACA. The House Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor-HHS-ED did not report a FY2014 appropriations bill.

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Summary of Provisions
<b>FY2013</b>	
<b>P.L. 113-6</b> Mar. 26, 2013	<p><b>Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013.</b> Division F, Title V of P.L. 113-6 provided full-year continuing appropriations for Labor-HHS-ED for FY2013 generally at FY2012 levels, but with some spending adjustments—reductions and increases—for specified programs. It included the following ACA-related provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rescinded \$200 million of the \$500 million transfer from the Medicare Part A and Part B trust funds for the 5-year Community-Based Care Transition Program, which was established and funded by ACA Section 3026.</li> <li>• Rescinded \$10 million of IPAB’s FY2013 appropriation. [Note: The same rescission was included in the FY2012 appropriations act; see below.]</li> <li>• Required the HHS Secretary to establish a website with detailed information on the allocation and use of PPHF funds. [Note: This provision first appeared in the FY2012 appropriations act and remained in effect in FY2013 under P.L. 113-6; see below.]</li> <li>• Prohibited the use of PPHF funds for lobbying, publicity, or propaganda purposes. [Note: This provision first appeared in the FY2012 appropriations act and remained in effect in FY2013 under P.L. 113-6; see below.]</li> </ul>
<p><b>Legislative activity prior to enactment of P.L. 113-6.</b> The House Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor-HHS-ED approved a draft bill for FY2013 on July 18, 2012, but no further action was taken. The measure did not provide CMS with any of the requested \$1.0 billion increase in funding for FY2013 to help pay for ACA implementation and related activities, and it would have prohibited using any of the discretionary funding provided in the bill to support CMS’s Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIO). The draft bill also included the following ACA-related provisions that would have (1) rescinded the entire FY2013 appropriations for PPHF and IPAB, and rescinded the FY2013 base appropriation of \$150 million for the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Trust Fund (PCORTF); (2) rescinded \$3 billion of the remaining \$3.4 billion for the CO-OP funds (see P.L. 112-74, above); (3) rescinded \$1.590 billion of the \$10 billion appropriation for CMI for the period FY2011-FY2019; (4) rescinded \$300 million of the \$1.5 billion CHCF appropriation in FY2013 for community health centers; (5) prohibited using any of the discretionary funds provided in the bill to implement and administer the ACA; (6) instructed the HHS Secretary to establish a website with detailed information on the allocation and use of FY2013 PPHF funds; and (7) prohibited the use of PPHF funds for lobbying, publicity, or propaganda purposes.</p> <p>The House Appropriations Committee reported its FY2013 Financial Services appropriations bill (H.R. 6020, H.Rept. 112-550) on June 26, 2012. The measure did not include the IRS’s requested funding increase of \$360 million for FY2013 for ACA implementation. Moreover, H.R. 6020 would have prohibited the IRS from using any of the discretionary funds provided in the bill to carry out the transfer of ACA funds to the agency.</p> <p>The Senate Appropriations Committee reported its version of the FY2013 Labor-HHS-ED appropriations bill (S. 3295) on June 14, 2012. The measure included about half of the funding increase requested by CMS for ACA implementation. As with the Senate’s Labor-HHS-ED appropriations bills for the previous two fiscal years, S. 3295 would have instructed the HHS Secretary to allocate the PPHF funds for FY2013 to the programs specified, and in the amounts specified, in a table included in the accompanying committee report (S.Rept. 112-176). In addition, the bill would have directed the HHS Secretary to establish a website with detailed information on the allocation and use of PPHF funds.</p> <p>The Senate Appropriations Committee reported the FY2013 Financial Services appropriations bill (S. 3301) on June 14, 2012. The measure did not include any ACA-related provisions. However, the accompanying committee report (S.Rept. 112-177) directed the IRS to submit a detailed table itemizing each fund transfer from the Health Insurance Reform Implementation Fund (HIRIF) to the IRS for the purpose of ACA implementation.</p>	

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Summary of Provisions
<b>FY2012</b>	
<b>P.L. 112-74</b> Dec. 23, 2011	<p data-bbox="430 284 1890 341"><b>Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012.</b> Division F of P.L. 112-74—the FY2012 Labor-HHS-ED Appropriations Act—included the following ACA-related provisions:</p> <ul data-bbox="430 357 1890 527" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rescinded \$400 million of the remaining \$3.8 billion for the Consumer Operated and Oriented Plan (CO-OP) program; see P.L. 112-10, below.</li> <li>• Rescinded \$10 million of IPAB’s FY2012 appropriation.</li> <li>• Required the HHS Secretary to establish a website with detailed information on the allocation and use of PPHF funds.</li> <li>• Prohibited the use of PPHF funds for lobbying, publicity, or propaganda purposes.</li> </ul>
<p data-bbox="178 535 1890 738"><b>Legislative activity prior to enactment of P.L. 112-74.</b> The chairman of the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor-HHS-Education introduced a chairman’s bill (H.R. 3070) on September 29, 2011, but the subcommittee did not mark up or report the measure to the full committee. The bill received no full committee action. H.R. 3070, as introduced, included the following ACA-related provisions that would have (1) rescinded the entire FY2012 appropriations for CHCF, PPHF, IPAB, the pregnancy assistance grants, the home visitation program, state Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRCs), and the health workforce demonstration grants; (2) rescinded all the remaining CO-OP funds (i.e., \$3.8 billion); (3) rescinded \$1.862 billion of the \$10 billion appropriation for the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation (CMI) for the period FY2011-FY2019; and (4) prohibited using any of the discretionary funds provided in the bill to implement and administer the ACA until 90 days after all ACA legal challenges are complete.</p> <p data-bbox="178 747 1890 836">The House Appropriations Committee reported the FY2012 Financial Services appropriations bill (H.R. 2434, H.Rept. 112-136) on July 7, 2011. The measure included the following ACA-related provisions that would have (1) prohibited the IRS from using any of the discretionary funds provided in the bill to implement the ACA individual mandate; and (2) prohibited the transfer of any ACA funds to the IRS.</p> <p data-bbox="178 844 1890 958">The Senate Appropriations Committee reported its version of the FY2012 Labor-HHS-ED appropriations bill (S. 1599) on September 22, 2011. Similar to the previous year’s bill, S. 1599 would have instructed the HHS Secretary to allocate the PPHF funds for FY2012 to the programs specified, and in the amounts specified, in a table included in the accompanying committee report (S.Rept. 112-84). In addition, S.Rept. 112-84 included language directing the HHS Secretary to submit a detailed report on all the recipients of PPHF funding.</p> <p data-bbox="178 966 1890 1055">The Senate Appropriations Committee reported its FY2012 Financial Services appropriations bill (S. 1573) on September 15, 2011. The measure did not include any ACA provisions. However, the accompanying committee report (S.Rept. 112-79) directed the IRS to submit a detailed table itemizing each fund transfer from HHS to the IRS for the purpose of ACA implementation.</p>	

Public Law and Date of Enactment	Summary of Provisions
<b>FY2011</b>	
<b>P.L. 112-10</b> Apr. 15, 2011	<p data-bbox="441 284 1890 373"><b>Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011.</b> Division B, Title VIII of P.L. 112-10 provided full-year continuing appropriations for Labor-HHS-ED for FY2011 generally at FY2010 levels, but with numerous spending reductions for specified agencies and programs. It included the following ACA-related provisions:</p> <ul data-bbox="441 381 1890 722" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="441 381 1890 430">• Permanently canceled \$2.2 billion of the \$6 billion appropriation for CO-OP program, which was established and funded by ACA Section 1322.</li> <li data-bbox="441 430 1890 495">• Repealed the free choice voucher program, established by ACA Section 10108, which would have required certain employers to provide vouchers to qualified employees for purchasing coverage through a health insurance exchange.</li> <li data-bbox="441 495 1890 560">• Prohibited transfers from the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund to support the U.S. Public Health Sciences Track, pursuant to ACA Section 5315.</li> <li data-bbox="441 560 1890 625">• Removed the maintenance of effort requirement for use of monies in the Community Health Center Fund (CHCF), which was established and funded by ACA Section 10503 (as amended by HCERA Section 2303).</li> <li data-bbox="441 625 1890 722">• Mandated a Government Accountability Office (GAO) study of the costs and processes of ACA implementation, and a Medicare actuarial analysis of the impact of the ACA's private insurance reforms on employer-sponsored health insurance premiums.</li> </ul>
<p data-bbox="178 722 1890 836"><b>Legislative activity prior to enactment of P.L. 112-10.</b> The Senate Appropriations Committee reported its version of the FY2011 Labor-HHS-ED appropriations bill (S. 3686) on August 2, 2010. The measure would have instructed the HHS Secretary to allocate the PPHF funds for FY2011 to the programs specified, and in the amounts specified, in a table included in the accompanying committee report (S.Rept. 111-243). The House Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor-HHS-ED also approved a draft FY2011 bill, but the full committee took no further action on it.</p> <p data-bbox="178 836 1890 943">On February 19, 2011, the House by a vote of 235-189 passed its version of a full-year continuing resolution for FY2011 (H.R. 1). The bill included nine separate but overlapping provisions that would have prohibited using any of the discretionary funds provided in the bill to implement specific ACA provisions or the entire law. The Senate subsequently rejected H.R. 1 by a vote of 44-56 on March 9, 2011.</p>	

**Source:** Prepared by the Congressional Research Service based on the text of the public laws listed in the table.

## **Author Contact Information**

C. Stephen Redhead  
Specialist in Health Policy  
credhead@crs.loc.gov, 7-2261

Janet Kinzer  
Information Research Specialist  
jkinzer@crs.loc.gov, 7-7561