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Summary

Since the 1980s, Guatemala, the most populous country in Central America with a population just over 14 million, has continued its transition from a centuries-long tradition of mostly autocratic rule toward representative government. A democratic constitution was adopted in 1985, and a democratically elected government was inaugurated in 1986. A 36-year civil war that ravaged Guatemala ended in 1996.

This report provides an overview of Guatemala’s current political and economic conditions, relations with the United States, and several issues likely to figure in future decisions by Congress and the Administration regarding Guatemala. With respect to continued cooperation and foreign assistance, these issues include security and governance; protection of human rights and human rights conditions on some U.S. military aid to Guatemala; support for the International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala; combating narcotics trafficking and organized crime; trade relations; and intercountry adoption.

In November 2011, Otto Pérez Molina won the second-round presidential election run-off with 53.8% of the vote. He took office, along with the 158-member Congress, in January 2012. A former military commander who served during the civil war period, Pérez Molina faces concerns from some regarding his role in the human rights abuses committed during that period. In a landmark case, a Guatemalan court found former dictator Efrain Rios Montt guilty of genocide and crimes against humanity on May 10, 2013, but another court overturned his conviction days later. The process remains suspended until the Constitutional Court fully resolves the appeal.

Guatemala continues to be plagued by security issues related to narcotics trafficking and the rise of organized crime, social inequality, and poverty. Upon taking office Pérez Molina announced a controversial position to decriminalize drugs as one policy initiative to address Guatemala’s many problems. Pérez Molina's proposal has failed to garner the support of other Central American leaders, but he seems willing to continue pushing the debate forward. In his view, decriminalization has to be gradual and strongly regulated, and it has to take place in the whole region, including producer and consumer countries. In the meantime, Pérez Molina vows to continue prosecuting and jailing drug-traffickers.

Economic growth fell in 2009, to 0.5%, as export demand from U.S. and other Central American markets declined and foreign investment slowed amid the global recession. The economy gradually recovered, up to 2.8% in 2010, and 3.8% in 2011, though this is expected to taper off slightly in 2012. Agriculture contributes 13% of GDP and accounts for 26% of exports from Guatemala. According to the World Bank, Guatemala has one of the most unequal income distributions in the hemisphere. Guatemala is part of the U.S.-Central America-Dominican Republic Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR).

Relations between Guatemala and the United States have traditionally been close, but they have been strained at times by human rights and civil-military issues, long of interest to the U.S. Congress. U.S. policy objectives in Guatemala include strengthening democratic institutions; encouraging respect for human rights and the rule of law; supporting broad-based economic growth, sustainable development, and mutually beneficial trade relations; combating drug trafficking; and supporting continued Central American integration.
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Guatemala: Political, Security, and Socio-Economic Conditions and U.S. Rela

Political Situation

Guatemalan national elections for president, Congress, and local officials were held on September 11, 2011. Former army general Otto Pérez Molina of the rightist Patriot Party (Partido Patriota, PP) was inaugurated as president of Guatemala on January 14, 2012. He succeeded President Álvaro Colom of the center-left National Unity of Hope (Unidad Nacional de Esperanza, UNE) coalition. Pérez Molina is a controversial figure. He commanded army troops during the violent counterinsurgency campaign of the 1980s, was director of military intelligence during the 1990s, and has been linked by international human rights groups, the press, and others to human rights violations, including death squads and major political assassinations. Pérez Molina is also known as a military moderate who opposed then-President Jorge Serrano’s autogolpe (self-coup) in 1993, and was the military’s negotiator for the Peace Accords that ended Guatemala’s 36-year civil war in 1996. As a member of the Guatemalan Congress, he has advocated for legal and security reform, but has also been accused by the banking regulatory commission of involvement in the siphoning of state funds. In March 2011, U.S. citizen Jennifer Harbury filed the first step to trigger an investigation of Pérez Molina for his alleged role in the disappearance and murder of her husband, guerrilla leader Efrain Bámaca, in 1992. Pérez Molina responded at the time that the case had gone nowhere before, and that the new effort had to be politically motivated. During his campaign, Pérez Molina pledged to combat crime with a “mano dura,” or iron fist, generally interpreted in Latin America to mean the use of repressive tactics. The party he created, the second-largest bloc in the previous legislature, generally opposed reforms proposed by the government under former President Colom, such as laws on rural development and the Law against the Illegal Accumulation of Wealth and Budget Expansion.

The Perez Molina Administration

Since taking office, Pérez Molina has taken several actions that, as one analyst put it, show “surprisingly liberal inclinations,” in their support of judicial, social, and fiscal reform. Pérez Molina kept in office Attorney General Claudia Paz y Paz, who began to pursue aggressively cases against former military officials while she served the Colom Administration, and has continued to do so under the Perez Molina Administration. On March 12, 2012, a former Kaibil special forces officer was sentenced to over 6,000 years in prison for participating in the 1982 Dos Erres massacre of 201 men, women, and children. The Kaibiles, an elite special forces unit of the army, allegedly committed extensive human rights violations during Guatemala’s civil war.

---


On March 14, 2012, the government opened the trial of four former members of the Civil Self-Defense Patrols and a military commissioner, on charges of involvement in another 1982 massacre that killed 256 Mayan Guatemalans. While human rights groups and other observers applaud such efforts, they remain concerned that efforts to prosecute former military officials for human rights abuses face opposition from powerful elements in Guatemalan society. They also are wary that efforts might eventually founder under President Pérez Molina, who has repeatedly denied that the army committed genocide, and has come under increased scrutiny as a result of the trial of former de facto leader Efrain Rios Montt.

Figure 1. Map of Guatemala

Landmark Trial of Former Dictator Rios Montt

On January 26, 2012—shortly after Pérez Molina took office—a Guatemalan judge ordered Efrain Rios Montt, dictator from the most violent civil war period from 1982 to 1983, to stand trial on charges of genocide and crimes against humanity. Within 16 months, on May 10, 2013, a Guatemalan court found the former general guilty on both charges. Rios Montt, who is 86 years old, was sentenced to 80 years in prison: 50 years for genocide and 30 years for crimes against humanity. He was sent directly from the courtroom to prison. Tried alongside him was his former head of military intelligence, Mauricio Rodriguez Sanchez. Rodriguez was acquitted of both

---

6 Robert Munks, op. cit.

7 A truth commission supported by the United Nations determined that state security forces were responsible for most of the 200,000 deaths during the civil war, and that violence targeted at the indigenous Mayan population amounted to genocide because the entire population was targeted.
charges; although he had written some of the military plans, the judges found that his command responsibility had not been proven.

Just 10 days later, however, Guatemala’s Constitutional Court overturned those verdicts. The decision invalidated everything that occurred after April 19, when another complex decision from a lower court had created confusion and suspended the trial. Although testimony presented before that date still stands, many observers believe the Constitutional Court’s decision will lead to a new trial. The Guatemalan Public Ministry and civil parties challenged the ruling; the Center for Legal Action in Human Rights (CALDH) is asking for the decision to be annulled. The process remains suspended until the Constitutional Court fully resolves the appeal by Rios Montt that led to the decision. Having been moved from prison to a military hospital in May, Rios Montt returned to house arrest on June 12, this time without the police enforcement he had before.8

The charges against Rios Montt were based on the massacre of 1,771 Mayan Ixil people, massive displacement, and subjecting the Ixil to conditions meant to eliminate them as a group. Survivors filed the complaint with the Public Ministry 13 years ago. The three-judge tribunal found that, based on the evidence presented in court, Rios Montt had ordered the plans that led to genocide, had full knowledge of the massacres and other atrocities committed, and—although he had the power to do so—did nothing to stop them.

Not all Guatemalans were happy with the trial or its original verdict. President Pérez Molina, a former general and a commander under Rios Montt, continues to insist that genocide did not occur in Guatemala. The president repeated that assertion, which he said was based on his experience, after the verdict was announced. He also stated that he respected the independence of the judiciary and the trial’s judgment, although he noted that the verdict was not final until all appeals were resolved. A powerful business association known as CACIF (the Spanish acronym for the Coordinating Committee of Agricultural, Commercial, Industrial, and Financial Associations) challenged the court’s findings and denounced “grave errors” in the process. Both the president’s spokesman and CACIF accused foreign organizations of interfering in the judicial process and contributing to polarization among various sectors of Guatemalan society.9 According to the New York Times and other reports, such pressure, based on the fear that the conviction would pave the way for further prosecutions for human rights violations, led the Constitutional Court to overturn the verdict.10

Others, usually anonymously, have threatened many people involved in the trial—Guatemalan judges and lawyers, human rights and rule of law advocates, researchers, and others—repeatedly throughout the process. The chief judge in the trial was reported to be wearing a bullet-proof vest as she left the courtroom after pronouncing the verdict.

Although diminished by the reversal of the conviction, the case is still historic both for Guatemala and globally. According to news reports, Guatemala was the first country to convict a former head

8 Gerson Ortiz, “Rios Montt sale del hospital, se va a su casa sin seguridad perimetral,” elPeriodico, June 13, 2013. Author’s translation.


of state of genocide in its own court system. Few thought Rios Montt would ever be brought to trial, much less convicted, and hundreds of procedural delays and motions from the defense threatened to derail the process before the conviction was handed down. That the Guatemalan judicial system, still fragile and subject to corruption, was able to try a senior political leader, hold him responsible for gross human rights violations and international crimes, and have him serve any time at all was widely seen as a landmark victory for the rule of law. Human rights advocates also lauded the trial as a watershed moment for the indigenous population that was targeted during the war, saying it was the first time they were able to present their case in Guatemalan courts and receive a measure of justice for the human rights violations their community suffered.

Nonetheless, many human rights advocates believe that the overturning of Rios Montt’s conviction again raises serious questions about the rule of law and the independence of the legal system in Guatemala, and, if allowed to stand, will reinforce impunity for current and former military officials. A former Human Rights Ombudsman and president of the Constitutional Court concurred with the dissenting opinions of two sitting Constitutional Court judges, who said that the legal challenge was unfounded, and the majority’s decision “improper,” “disproportionate,” and failed to take into account the rights of the victims.

When meeting with President Pérez Molina in Guatemala on June 4, Secretary of State John Kerry congratulated the president “on the enormous progress that you have made with respect to your justice system, the strengthening of your justice system, the independence of that system.”

President Pérez Molina, who was a major in the army and a field commander under Rios Montt in the Ixil region at the time the massacres occurred, emerged more vulnerable after this trial. One witness, a former army officer, stated that Pérez Molina had participated in executions during the time in question. According to the Wall Street Journal, witnesses in other investigations have accused Pérez Molina of torture and executions as well. Pérez Molina has denied the charges in the past. After delivering the verdict in the Rios Montt trial, chief judge Yassmin Barrios instructed prosecutors to continue investigations of others who may be responsible for those crimes. President Pérez Molina has immunity from prosecution through the end of his term in 2016. Some observers say that former U.S. officials who worked with the Rios Montt de facto government may also be vulnerable to charges emerging from investigations of those crimes.

Social Policies

Pérez Molina quickly created a Ministry of Social Development to implement social policy. The ministry will oversee conditional cash transfer programs such as the “My Family Progresses” (Mi

---

11 Peru’s judicial system convicted former President Alberto Fujimori of crimes against humanity in 2009.


13 Ibid.


The *Familia Progresa* program created in 2008 as the cornerstone of former President Colom’s antipoverty agenda. It includes food pantries and cash payments of $40 per month for nearly 815,000 poor families to ensure children are in school and receive vaccines regularly.16 Although those programs were popular, they were criticized for not being transparent enough; the establishment of a ministry is meant to address that issue.

In March 2012, U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton praised “the quick work that President Pérez Molina in Guatemala has shown in creating a tax system aimed at beginning to collect taxes from the elites in that country.”17 Guatemala has one of the lowest tax collection rates in Latin America (11.2% of of gross domestic product (GDP) in 2011, according to the Department of State), and the private sector has fiercely resisted fiscal reform initiatives designed to provide the government with more resources to strengthen institutions and fight corruption. The two bills proposed by President Pérez Molina and passed by the legislature in February are expected to provide 1.1% to 1.3% of GDP in additional revenue for social programs and improvements in security.18

**Illicit Drug Policy**

Perhaps most surprisingly for a politician who promotes an “iron fist” policy toward crime, President Pérez Molina said in February 2012 that the region needs to consider legalizing the use and transport of illicit drugs. Arguing that the United States has failed to curb illegal drug consumption, Pérez Molina has stated that his country has no choice but to seek alternatives to the current “war on drugs,” in order to stem violence related to drug trafficking in Guatemala and in neighboring countries. U.S. officials oppose the idea, stating that drug legalization would not stop organized criminal elements from trafficking weapons and people.19

**Land Use Conflicts**

Land use continues to be a contentious issue during this administration. In March 2012 thousands of indigenous people marched over 120 miles to the capital to meet with President Pérez Molina and to demand the government settle land conflicts. The group that organized the march, the Committee for Peasant Unity, said its principal demands included “an end to the evictions and criminal prosecution of Indians, a pardon for farm debts of more than … ($38.96 million) affecting more than 100,000 families, access to land and the end of mining in the region.”

Mining issues are especially contentious, and often violent, throughout the region. Governments often see mines as a potential source of revenue for poverty reduction and social programs. Yet indigenous populations, which might be the beneficiaries of such programs, often object to mining under current conditions because they see it as violating their ancestral land rights,

---

removing them from and/or damaging their source of livelihood, and/or excluding them from the
decision making process as to how profits from mines in their communities should be spent.

On May 2, 2013, President Pérez Molina declared a state of emergency in four southeastern
towns after protests against a silver mine turned deadly. The Canadian-owned Escobal silver mine
was given a final permit in April. Those opposing the mine say it will contaminate local water
supplies. A series of conflicts began when security guards at the mine shot and wounded six
protesters. The following day protesters kidnapped 23 police officers; when police went to free
them, one police officer and a demonstrator were killed. The government at first said the state of
emergency was related to violence at the mine, but later said it was linked to organized crime and
the Los Zetas drug cartel. The state of emergency was lifted on May 10, but a state of alert
remains in effect, which also limits some constitutional guarantees, such as the right of protest,
and covers the town in which the mine is located. The government says 2,500 security personnel
will remain in the area.20

Former Guatemalan First Lady Sandra Torres called on President Pérez Molina to suspend mining
until related legal reforms are approved. Mining reforms currently being considered include
higher royalty payments and greater social and environmental protections.21 Torres, who was
disqualified from running for president in the last elections (because of laws prohibiting relatives
of sitting presidents from running), was reelected as general secretary of the UNE (National Unity
of Hope) party in mid-May.

Security Conditions

The focus of security issues in Guatemala has shifted from the violence of civil conflict to high
levels of crime over the past quarter century. Weak institutions, remote areas with little effective
state presence, and the country’s geographic position between the drug producing nations of
South America and consumers in the United States have made Guatemala a prime target for drug
traffickers and other organized criminal groups. Crime and violence have been extremely high in
recent years, and officials estimate that up to 60% of Guatemalan territory may now be under the
effective control of drug traffickers.22 The Guatemalan government has made some progress in
addressing crime and impunity, with the help of the U.N.-supported Commission Against
Impunity in Guatemala (CICIG). The country’s fragmented political system, inconsistent political
will, and weak judicial and security institutions remain serious obstacles to addressing the
problem adequately.

In addition, Guatemala’s widespread poverty and high levels of inequality and unemployment
make much of its population especially vulnerable to crime. Almost one in four (23.3%)
Guatemalan respondents in a 2010 survey reported being the victim of a crime in the previous
year, ranking Guatemala only behind El Salvador among the Central American nations. The rate
of homicides in Guatemala in 2010 was about 41 per 100,000 inhabitants, placing Guatemala in

20 Sources for this paragraph include Business News Americas: “State of emegency not linked to anti-mining activity,
Guatemala govt says,” May 2, 2013, and “Guatemala govt lifts state of emergency in zone hit by anti-mining violence,”
May 13, 2013.
The middle of the region, at fourth of seven. Though still relatively high, the 2010 homicide rate was the lowest it had been since 2005.23

Some crime is attributed to youth gangs, ranging from localized groups to national groups with international ties, including to gangs in the United States. The regions within Guatemala evidencing the highest murder rates, however, tend to be those where organized criminal groups and drug traffickers, not gangs, are most active.24

In response to the high level of violence, a number of municipalities have asked for military troops to augment their ineffective police forces; the Guatemalan government, as under the previous four administrations, is using a constitutional clause to have the army “temporarily” support the police in combating rising crime. The day following his inauguration, the new president stated, “Today, publicly, I want to lay out for the army an important goal of collaborating, coordinating and cooperating with other security institutions, and that is to put an end to the external threats and contribute to neutralizing illegal armed groups by means of military power.”25

Many observers believe the executive branch has exhibited effective control over the military. Nonetheless, there remains concern among human rights advocates and other analysts because of the country’s ongoing dependence on the military to provide internal security, despite the peace accords’ call for the army to focus solely on external threats, “the government’s failure to investigate and punish unlawful killings committed by members of the security forces,”26 and now, Pérez Molina’s high rank in the military during the civil war.

Despite efforts to develop a comprehensive, whole-of-government approach to security, the government’s actions have often been reactive and dependent on the military. For example, former President Colom declared a “state of siege” in the northern departments of Alta Verapaz and Petén, the latter after an alleged massacre by the Mexican gang Los Zetas in 2011. The decrees effectively put the army in control, allowing security forces to perform warrantless searches, break up public meetings, and arrest suspects.27

Nonetheless, Guatemalan efforts to reduce impunity of security forces have seen enough success to inspire cautious optimism among some analysts, including some human rights advocates. Guatemalan judicial officials work with CICIG (see section on “International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala” below) to investigate and prosecute illegal groups and clandestine structures, including some through which many former and current military officers allegedly engage in human rights violations, drug trafficking, and organized crime.

23 Crime victimization rates from Americas Barometer survey data from 2010 by the Latin American Public Opinion Project of Vanderbilt University; homicide rates from U.N. Office on Drugs and Crime; for tables and further information, see CRS Report R41731, Central America Regional Security Initiative: Background and Policy Issues for Congress, by Peter J. Meyer and Clare Ribando Seelke (data on pp. 4-5).

24 Reports by Washington Office on Latin America and Instituto Tecnologico Autonomo de Mexico, and UNODC, as cited in CRS Report RL34112, Gangs in Central America, by Clare Ribando Seelke, p. 5.


For over four years, [CICIG] has spurred a series of criminal investigations compromising some of the country’s most powerful figures – despite occasional setbacks. A new head of the national prosecution service has managed to shape an extraordinary turnaround, ordering the arrest of several ‘untouchable’ druglords, as well as a former president and general accused of atrocities during the civil war. Drug interdictions have soared; the murder rate has fallen, albeit slightly; even impunity rates for serious crimes are down.

This progress cannot hide the dilapidation of the country’s security and justice institutions, … nor the acute fear of crime that is felt by many Guatemalans. But in combination with the Central American region’s determination to address its vulnerabilities to transnational crime, it does offer some reason to believe that the crisis may be contained.28

Others acknowledge the accomplishments to date, but express serious reservations. CICIG’s director, Francisco Dall’Anese, noted that CICIG can “build up the state, and create the legal conditions for the thing to function. Everything else depends on the willingness of the Guatemalans…. if you end up with the best system in Latin America and it is not used properly or is used for other purposes, there has been no progress.”29 Dall’Anese, a former attorney general of Costa Rica, also noted the limited resources of Guatemala and the other Central American nations: “Very often the budget of a country in Central America … is less than the petty cash fund of a criminal organization.”30 One way to address the inequity of resources, he suggested, might be to create regional courts, to share resources and capacity. These and other ideas are being explored by Guatemala and its neighbors.

Guatemala is part of Central America’s “Northern Triangle” region, along with El Salvador and Honduras. These countries have all felt the impact of the Mexican government’s campaign against drug-trafficking organizations, as some of those organizations move their operations into their territory and operate across borders. In response, the Northern Triangle countries have generally adopted aggressive tactics, supplanting their weak police forces with military forces. The Central American nations are also seeking to improve regional efforts. They have various organizations through which they address security, such as the Central American Integration System (known by its Spanish acronym, SICA) and the Central American Armed Forces Conference (CFAC, to which only El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Nicaragua, plus the Dominican Republic, belong, but not Belize, Costa Rica, or Panama). Translating theoretical agreement on the need to cooperate on security matters into an operational institutional framework has proved difficult, however, in the face of differing priorities and approaches, and border and other types of disputes within the region.31

---

28 Ivan Briscoe and Marlies Stappers, Breaking the Wave: Critical Steps in the Fight against Crime in Guatemala, Clingendael Institute, Impunity Watch, January 2012, p. 3.
30 Ibid.
Economic and Social Conditions

With a 2010 gross national income of $39.4 billion and a per capita income of $2,740, Guatemala is considered a lower middle income developing economy by the World Bank. The country’s agriculture sector’s relative share of the economy has fallen as manufacturing and other sectors have grown. Guatemala’s top exports now include products from the manufacturing sector, including processed foods and knit and woven apparel, in addition to the traditional agricultural products of coffee, sugar, and bananas. The country has maintained generally sound macroeconomic policies, and enjoyed annual GDP growth rates of over 5% before the economy slowed as a result of the onset of the global financial crisis and U.S. recession. Significant declines in exports, remittances, and foreign direct investment slowed growth to 3.3% in 2008 and 0.5% in 2009 (from 6.3% in 2007). The Guatemalan economy began to recover in 2010, with growth of 2.8%, reaching an estimated 3.8% growth in 2011. Economic analysts expect growth to decelerate to 3.2% in 2012 along with slower growth world-wide.

Improvements in political and macroeconomic stability in Guatemala have done little to improve levels of poverty and inequality, which are among the highest in the region. Although a World Bank study found that Guatemala reduced poverty by five points between 2000 and 2006, from 56% to 51%, extreme poverty remained roughly unchanged at 15%. Moreover, the U.N. World Food Program asserts that poverty and extreme poverty rates began to increase again in 2007 as a result of high food prices, rising to 54% and 20%, respectively. This trend likely continued in the aftermath of the global financial crisis. Guatemala’s income distribution is one of the most unequal in the hemisphere: the wealthiest 10% consume over 47% of Guatemala’s total income, while the poorest 10% account for just 1%.

Guatemala’s social development indicators often fall below those of countries with lower per capita incomes. Illiteracy is at 30%, the infant mortality rate is 25 per 1,000, and chronic child malnutrition is at about 50%, the fourth-highest rate in the world. This economic and social marginalization disproportionately affects Guatemala’s indigenous population—child malnutrition among the indigenous is almost 70%. Indigenous peoples comprise 24 different ethnolinguistic groups and account for roughly half of Guatemala’s 14.7 million people.

U.S. Relations With and Aid to Guatemala

Relations between the United States and Guatemala traditionally have been close, but there has been friction at times over human rights and civil/military issues. Addressing security and...
guarantees challenges are top bilateral issues. Related programs assist Guatemala in combating narcotics trafficking, preventing transnational crime, stabilizing and reforming the security sector, confronting the challenges of growing crime and gang violence, and building the capacity of both the government and civil society.\footnote{U.S. Department of State, \textit{Congressional Budget Justification: Foreign Operations, Fiscal Year 2012}, Annex: Regional Perspectives, 2012, http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/158268.pdf.}

Noting that “[i]nadequate health and education services, high levels of inequality and poverty, chronic malnutrition and food insecurity, and a lack of economic opportunities provide fertile ground for criminal organizations,” the Obama Administration allotted the largest portion of its FY2012 foreign assistance request for Guatemala to education, health, and economic growth programs. These priorities remain the same in the overall distribution of FY2013 funding, although education and health programs were cut by about $11 million.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. U.S. Assistance to Guatemala by Account and Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food for Peace Title II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Military Financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Health Programs - USAID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Military Education and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Congressional Budget Justification, Foreign Operations, Fiscal Year 2013

The requested total for FY2013 is $93.6 million, and includes $56 million for Development Assistance; $17 million in Food for Peace title II programs; $750,000 for Foreign Military Financing; $17.1 million for USAID Global Health Programs; $720,000 for International Military Education and Training; and $2 million for International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (see \textit{Table 1}). This represents an overall decrease of $1.6 million from FY2012 funding.

FY2013 foreign assistance is being funded through a continuing resolution (P.L. 113-6), however, which funds most accounts at the FY2012 enacted level. Furthermore, sequestration required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25), as amended by the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (P.L. 112-240/H.R. 8, signed into law January 2, 2013), is currently in effect and requires an across-the-board reduction from the FY2013 enacted funding level. Given uncertainty over the country allocations that would be used as the base line to calculate the sequestration, CRS is unable to calculate post-sequestration funding levels for Guatemala. A possible rough estimate could be reached by reducing FY2012 estimates by 5%; that would assume that all cuts would be even across the board, which they will not necessarily be.
Congressional Concerns

Protection of Human Rights and Conditions on U.S. Military Aid

During most of Guatemala’s 36-year civil war, the Guatemalan military was in power and engaged in violent repression against civil society organizations, and in gross violations of the human rights of its citizens, especially its majority indigenous population. Although Guatemala established a civilian democratic government in 1986, it took another 10 years to end the violence, during which time the military continued to engage in repression and violations of human rights. Civilians have governed Guatemala for almost 26 years now, making notable gains, such as carrying out significant military and police reforms and generally exerting effective control over the security forces. Nonetheless, democratic institutions remain fragile, and security forces continue to enjoy widespread impunity for human rights and other crimes.

The U.S. State Department’s most recent human rights report on Guatemala has a long list of “principal human rights abuses,” including:

- widespread institutional corruption, particularly in the police and judicial sectors; police and military involvement in serious crimes, including unlawful killings, kidnapping, drug trafficking, and extortion; and societal violence, including violence against women and numerous killings. Considerable violence was attributed to gangs and narcotics-trafficking organizations; however, corruption and inadequate investigation and prosecution of such crimes made factual attribution for crimes difficult.

- Human rights abuses also included abuse and mistreatment by National Civil Police (PNC) members; harsh and life-threatening prison conditions; arbitrary arrest and detention; prolonged pretrial detention; failure of the judicial system to ensure full and timely investigations and fair trials; failure to protect judicial sector officials, witnesses, and civil society representatives from intimidation; threats, intimidation, and killings of journalists and trade unionists; discrimination and abuse of persons with disabilities; sexual harassment and discrimination against women; child abuse, including commercial sexual exploitation of children; and trafficking in persons. Other problems included marginalization of indigenous communities and ineffective demarcation of their lands; discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity; and ineffective enforcement of labor and child labor laws.  

The Guatemalan government and the international community are continuing to combat military impunity for human rights violations and other crime through support of CICIG, rule of law, judicial and police reform, and other types of democracy-strengthening programs.

The U.N. High Commissioner for Human Rights visited Guatemala in March 2012. Commissioner Navi Pillay commended Guatemala for the direction it is taking to address “staggering impunity,” including, in the past two years, the first successful prosecution of cases for past human rights violations, and the ratification of the Rome Statute, the treaty establishing the International Criminal Court.  She also stated that the government must operate within the

---


framework of the Peace Accords, the rule of law, and respect for the human rights of all Guatemalans as it attacks the country’s high levels of insecurity, crime, and violence. Although indigenous people constitute the majority of the population, she said, they continue to be subject to social and economic exclusion and denial of their human rights. The U.N. official expressed particular concern over the negative impact of economic investment projects on the rights of indigenous peoples.

In August 2011, a Guatemalan court sentenced four former soldiers to over 6,000 years each in prison for a 1982 massacre of hundreds of civilians and crimes against humanity during the country’s civil war. This was only the second time a trial was held in Guatemala relating to a civil war massacre. In June 2011, the Colom Administration arrested two high level security figures for their alleged roles in civil war crimes. Guatemala’s office of public prosecutions has accused retired General Hector Mario Lopez Fuentes, the former chief of the armed forces under dictator Rios Montt, of being the intellectual author behind the murder of over 300 indigenous Mayan civilians in the Ixil region during that period. As mentioned above, since Pérez Molina took office the Public Ministry has begun to prosecute several former members of the military. In May 2012, Guatemala became the first country to find a former head of state guilty of genocide when it convicted Rios Montt for human rights crimes committed during the civil war. (See “Landmark Trial of Former Dictator Rios Montt,” above.) His conviction was overturned 10 days later, which many observers saw as a major setback for the rule of law in Guatemala.

Guatemala had acquitted another former head of state, on embezzlement charges, in 2011. The United States filed separate charges against former president Alfonso Portillo (2000-2004) for conspiring to launder $70 million in state funds through U.S. banks. On May 24, 2013, Guatemala extradited Portillo to the United States to face corruption charges.

Conditions on U.S. Military Aid to Guatemala

In 1990, President George H. W. Bush suspended overt military aid to Guatemala because of concerns over human rights abuses allegedly committed by Guatemalan security forces. In 2005, the United States began to allow Foreign Military Sales to Guatemala in recognition of progress the Guatemalan government had made in reforming the military. Since 2008, Congress has allowed Foreign Military Financing (FMF) and International Military Education and Training (IMET) to Guatemala, but only to certain components of the armed forces, and with human rights conditions attached in the foreign assistance appropriations acts.

Current conditions allow expanded IMET, for civilian personnel to be trained in defense matters such as oversight and management. Regular IMET, for military personnel, and FMF are only allowed for the Guatemalan Air Force, Navy, and the Army Corps of Engineers, and only for training to improve disaster response capabilities and to participate in international peacekeeping operations. Before IMET and FMF funds can be released to Guatemala, the Secretary of State must certify that the Air Force, Navy, and the Army Corps of Engineers are respecting internationally recognized human rights and cooperating with civilian judicial investigations and

---

prosecutions of current and retired military personnel who have been credibly alleged to have committed violations of such rights and with CICIG.

The Pérez Molina Administration, like previous Guatemalan governments, has been pressing the United States to drop those conditions and provide increased military aid to the army. The Obama Administration’s request for foreign aid for FY2013 does not include proposed funding for the Guatemalan army, except for the Army Corps of Engineering as allowed in previous years.

The Senate report accompanying the FY2013 foreign operations appropriations bill (S.Rept. 112-172, to accompany S. 3241) supports assistance for the Guatemalan coast guard, navy, and air force to enhance regional naval cooperation and maritime and border security. It says the Appropriations Committee will consider a future budget request for assistance to the Guatemalan military if the army:

- has a narrowly defined mission focused on border security and external threats, and a timetable for ending the army’s involvement in internal law enforcement; is cooperating fully with civilian investigations and prosecutions of human rights cases involving current and retired military officers of whatever rank, with the Inter-American Court on Human Rights, and with CICIG, including providing timely access for investigators to witnesses, documents, forensic evidence, and other relevant information; and is publicly disclosing all military archival documents relating to the internal armed conflict in a timely manner in response to requests by civilian judicial authorities.

The Senate committee would require the Secretary of State to submit a report within 180 days of the bill’s enactment, assessing the army’s progress in meeting the stated requirements, detailing any additional steps the army should take, and identifying the quantitative and qualitative indicators used to measure progress. The report should also include the number of human rights cases in which military personnel have been prosecuted and appropriately punished, and the extent of the army’s cooperation in such cases; the extent of military archival documents publicly disclosed by the army; and the extent of the army’s involvement in internal law enforcement.

The House report (H.Rept. 112-494, to accompany H.R. 5857) states support for the FY2013 budget request for Guatemala, noting that no funds are requested for the Army except for the Army Corps of Engineers and for IMET courses. The Appropriations Committee states that if any request for new types of military aid is requested, the Administration must submit a notification with a detailed justification describing steps made to address development of a narrowly defined mission for the army focused on border security and external threats; implementation of a reform strategy that has broad support within Guatemalan society; demonstration of respect for human rights; cooperation with civilian investigations and prosecutions of cases involving current and retired officers and with the CICIG; and public disclosure of all military archives pertaining to the internal armed conflict.

The Guatemalan government may point to the trial of Rios Montt as evidence of cooperation with investigations and prosecutions. According to the State Department’s human rights report, the “government cooperated with the UN-backed International Commission Against Impunity in Guatemala (CICIG) and took steps to prosecute officials who committed abuses. However, impunity continued to be widespread.” The report went on to note that members of both the military and the police committed unlawful killings, and that “Corruption, intimidation, and ineffectiveness within the police force, judiciary, and Public Ministry continued to prevent adequate investigation, arrest, and prosecution of perpetrators.” Human rights advocates and
others say that the Constitutional Court’s decision to overturn Rios Montt’s conviction, if it stands, would show that the military still enjoys impunity for human rights and other crimes.

The Department of Defense provides military assistance, mostly for counternarcotics programs, some of which is not subject to the human rights conditions described above, as it is authorized through the defense appropriations, rather than through the foreign assistance appropriations acts. DOD military assistance to Guatemala is subject to requirements for vetting participants to exclude those with records of human rights violations. These are known as Leahy conditions, after the Senator who incorporated them into legislation. In FY2009-FY2010, the Department of Defense spent $3.5 million on counternarcotics operations centers in Guatemala, including $754,000 for a base for the Guatemalan army’s Kaibil special forces.46 As mentioned above, the Kaibiles are alleged to have committed extensive human rights violations during Guatemala’s civil war. Four former Kaibiles were sentenced in 2011, another in March 2012, and another 12 remain fugitives from justice, for their roles in the 1982 Dos Erres massacre. In addition, many ex-Kaibiles are reported to be members of the Mexican Los Zetas criminal organization.

International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala

The United States and other donors support the International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala (CICIG), which was created in 2007 under the auspices of the United Nations. The commission’s mandate is to help Guatemala dismantle illegal groups and clandestine structures responsible for organized crime, human rights violations, and other crimes through investigations and prosecutions. After its first year of operation, CICIG noted that the Guatemalan government faced enormous challenges, but had begun to clean up the security forces and strengthen civil institutions.47 Since then, CICIG has helped Guatemala investigate and prosecute important cases; a number of former high-level officials have been charged with corruption and are facing trials.48 The Guatemalan National Civil Police (PNC) and CICIG officials arrested one of the PNC’s former directors and five other serving or retired police officers in 2010. They are charged with involvement in extrajudicial killings in 2009. CICIG has helped prevent a number of individuals with significant ties to corruption and/or organized crime from being appointed to senior positions in the Guatemalan state, and the Guatemalan government has approved CICIG-recommended legislative reforms.49

Nonetheless, CICIG and reform-minded elements of the government reportedly continue to be thwarted regularly by vested interests such as corrupt law enforcement and other public officials with alleged ties to criminal organizations. CICIG helped the Guatemalan judicial system to extradite, investigate, and prosecute former President Alfonso Portillo (2000-2004) for allegedly embezzling $15 million in government funds, only to have a panel of judges dismiss the charges

---


49 Comisión Internacional Contra la Impunidad en Guatemala (CICIG), Tercer Año de Labores, September 2010.
this past May with reasoning that CICIG said was “neither valid nor logical.”\textsuperscript{50} Cases such as this demonstrate both the progress that has been made with CICIG’s assistance, and the obstacles to reform still remaining. CICIG’s term is currently set to expire in September 2013; President Pérez Molina has proposed CICIG’s term be extended by two additional years beyond that.

Congress recommended the Obama Administration allocate $5 million in International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement funds for CICIG in FY2012.\textsuperscript{51}

Illicit Narcotics Trafficking and Other Organized Crime\textsuperscript{52}

In recent years Congress has become increasingly concerned over the increase in drug trafficking-related violence across Central America. It first appropriated higher levels of assistance for these countries to combat organized crime and drug trafficking through the Mérida Initiative, created mostly to help Mexico, then, beginning in FY2010, through the Central America Regional Security Initiative (CARSI).

The end of Guatemala’s civil war roughly coincided with the spread of drug trafficking rings throughout Central America. Many former combatants shifted into drug trafficking and other organized crime. A weak judicial system, with inadequate enforcement of laws and widespread impunity, has fostered the growth of widespread corruption and high levels of criminal activities in Guatemala. Partly as a consequence of having one of the lowest tax collection rates in Latin America, and a private sector that resists fiscal reform, the Guatemalan government has been unable to dedicate adequate resources to strengthening judicial institutions and fighting corruption. Furthermore, in part because of the human rights violations the armed forces committed during the civil war, the military was removed from remote areas such as Quiche and Izabal at the war’s end. Since that time, drug traffickers have taken advantage of the lack of a law enforcement presence in those areas to conduct their operations. Additionally, as Mexico increases its counter-narcotics efforts against them, Mexican drug cartels have expanded into Guatemala.

Guatemala is a major transshipment point for drugs trafficked from South America to the United States, an activity increasingly linked to arms trafficking, according to the U.S. Department of State. Money from narcotics trafficking and other illicit activities is laundered in Guatemala. Guatemala is a producer of opium poppy and synthetic drugs for export, and of marijuana produced for domestic consumption.

President Proposes Revision of Drug Policies. As mentioned above, President Pérez Molina said that in response to drug-trafficking related violence alternatives to the current “war on drugs”


needed to be considered, including legalizing the use and transport of certain drugs. (See “Illicit Drug Policy,” above.)

Pérez Molina invited the other Central American presidents to discuss a major revision of counternarcotics policies and laws. Pérez Molina said he hoped to have a unified regional approach at the Summit of the Americas in April. But the presidents of El Salvador, Nicaragua, and Honduras apparently cancelled their attendance at the last minute without explanation, and then issued a statement on March 30 saying they oppose legalization of drugs and continue to support regional efforts to combat narcotics trafficking. The three leaders present at the March 25 meeting, Ricardo Martinelli of Panama and Laura Chinchilla of Costa Rica, along with Pérez Molina, did not issue a policy declaration, but agreed to discuss several proposals further at a meeting of the Central American Integration System (SICA). The proposals include the decriminalization of drugs under certain conditions; creation of a regional penal court to handle drug trafficking cases; and compensation from drug-consuming nations—mainly the United States—for each shipment of drugs seized in Central America, and for the destruction of poppy and marijuana crops.

Pérez Molina has stated his government’s position as fostering a global intergovernmental dialogue based on global regulations, “which means that consumption and production should be legalized but within certain limits and conditions.” He also said that drug abuse, like alcoholism and tobacco use, “should be treated as public health problems, not criminal justice issues.”

Colombian President Juan Manuel Santos had already put drug legalization and decriminalization on the agenda of the Summit of the Americas, which President Obama and a majority of other heads of state from the Americas attended in April 2012. Some of the other leaders criticized U.S. counternarcotics policy and urged a reconsideration of the so-called war on drugs. While President Obama listened to the arguments, he said he did not agree that decriminalization was a solution to the problem, and the summit ended without any joint declaration.

Trade

Guatemala and the United States have significant trade relations. Since the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR) began to be implemented in 2006, bilateral trade has expanded by 56%—mostly on the U.S. side. Total U.S.-Guatemalan trade in 2011 was $10.3 billion. U.S. exports to Guatemala amounted to about $6.2 billion, an increase of over 38% from 2010. Oil, machinery, plastics, cereals, paper products, and automobiles and parts accounted for the majority of U.S. exports. U.S. imports from Guatemala amounted to about $4.1 billion, with knit and woven apparel, coffee, fruit, precious metals, and sugar accounting for the majority. The United States is Guatemala’s top trading partner and Guatemala is the United States’ 49th-largest trading partner. Supporters of CAFTA-DR point to

54 Otto Pérez Molina, "We Have to Find New Solutions to Latin America's Drugs Nightmare; Narcotics Should be Legally Available - in a Highly Regulated Market, Argues the President of Guatemala," The Guardian, April 7, 2012.
56 Preceding data in this paragraph from: U.S. Department of Commerce data, as presented by Global Trade Atlas, April 2012. For further information, see CRS Report R42468, The Dominican Republic-Central America-United States (continued...)
reforms it spurred in transparency, customs administration, intellectual property rights, and government regulation. Critics note that the commercial balance between the two countries previously favored Guatemala, and the balance has shifted in favor of the United States, with Guatemala registering its first trade deficit in a decade after CAFTA-DR was signed. According to U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) data, U.S. exports to Guatemala increased by 56.9% from 2005 (pre-CAFTA-DR) to 2010, while during the same period, Guatemalan exports to the United States increased by only 2.5%. This is largely because Guatemalan exports to the United States received unilateral trade preferences before CAFTA-DR was implemented.

The United States filed a case against Guatemala under CAFTA-DR in 2010, “the first labor case the United States has ever brought against a trade agreement partner,” according to the office of the USTR. In response to submissions filed by six Guatemalan unions and the AFL-CIO in 2008, the USTR conducted an investigation and found that “it appears that the Government of Guatemala is failing to meet its obligations under [CAFTA-DR] with respect to effective enforcement of Guatemalan labor laws related to the right of association, the right to organize and bargain collectively, and acceptable conditions of work.” The USTR also expressed “grave” U.S. concerns regarding labor-related violence in Guatemala, “which is serious and apparently deteriorating.” After informal discussions and formal consultations with the Guatemalan government and the Free Trade Commission failed to produce an adequate enforcement plan, the United States requested the establishment of an arbitral panel in August 2011. As of April 2012, the panel had yet to be established.

**Intercountry Adoption**

U.S. laws and policies concerning intercountry adoption are designed to ensure that all of the children put up for adoption are truly orphans, and have not been bought; kidnapped; or subjected to human trafficking, smuggling, or other illegal activities. Currently, the only cases of adoptions by U.S. citizens of Guatemalan children that are permitted are those that were already in-process in Guatemala on December 31, 2007. As of June 2012, there were approximately 460 cases still pending. The U.S. and Guatemalan governments have been working together to determine the status of these cases and to resolve the pending cases. From May 1, 2012 through December 11, 2012, the U.S. Embassy in Guatemala issued five IR-3 adoption visas, representing the only cases

(...continued)


60 This section prepared by William Kandel, Analyst in Immigration Policy, Domestic Social Policy Division.


62 Not all these cases are still active. Some have been closed and some may be cases where the prospective parents have abandoned their applications. Telephone conversation with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Office of Congressional Affairs, March 30, 2012.
which have completed all processing steps with Guatemalan authorities, USCIS Guatemala, and the Consular Section of the U.S. Embassy.

The United States is a signatory of the Hague Convention on Protection of Children and Cooperation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption (referred to hereafter as the Convention). The goal of the Convention is to eliminate confusion and delays caused by differences among the laws and practices of different countries, and to ensure transparency in adoptions to prevent human trafficking, child stealing, or child selling. As of January 29, 2013, the Convention had entered into force in 82 countries. Countries can sign and ratify the Convention, but until the country has the laws and procedures in place to implement the Convention, the Convention cannot enter into force in the country.

The Convention entered into force in the United States on April 1, 2008, and governs intercountry adoptions between the United States and other Convention countries. Guatemala is party to the Convention, but has not established regulations and procedures that meet Convention standards. As a result, the U.S. government is only processing petitions to allow an adopted child to immigrate to the United States for adoptions that were initiated in Guatemala prior to December 31, 2007, because such adoptions can be completed under the non-Convention system. The United States will not approve new adoptions from Guatemala until Guatemala’s adoption process complies with Convention standards, and there is no estimate of when that will be.

---

63 The Convention requires that: certain determinations, such as adoptability of the child, eligibility to immigrate, parent suitability and counseling be made before the adoption can proceed; every country establish a national government-level central authority to carry out certain functions that include cooperating with other central authorities, overseeing local implementation of the Convention, and providing access to information on adoption laws; a child’s welfare be protected throughout the adoption process; certified adoptions be recognized in all other countries that are party to the Convention; and every country party to the Convention establish a national government-level process for uniform screening and authorization of adoption service providers.

64 The Hague Conference on Private International Law maintains an updated list of participatory countries on its website at http://www.hcch.net/index_en.php?act=conventions.status&cid=69. Other information about the Convention is also available on this website. Adoptions from countries not party to the Convention are processed under the rules and regulations that governed all intercountry adoptions prior to the implementation of the Convention. Also, adoptions initiated prior to April 1, 2008 are processed under the non-Convention system. For an overview of that process, see CRS Report RL31769, Immigration: International Child Adoption, by Alison Siskin.

65 Although the Guatemalan Constitutional Court ruled in 2004 that the country’s signing of the Convention was unconstitutional, under international law, Guatemala is still party to the Convention and has been since March 1, 2003. Department of State, “Frequently Asked Questions: Intercountry Adoptions and the Hague Convention: Guatemala,” Oct. 12, 2008.

66 Adoptions initiated in Guatemala prior to Dec. 31, 2007 are processed by the United States under non-Convention procedures. Since Guatemala refused to allow adoption from U.S. citizens between Dec. 31, 2007 and Apr. 1, 2008, all cases being processed were initiated in Guatemala prior to Dec. 31, 2007. Department of State, Warning: Adoptions Initiated In Guatemala on or after April 1, 2008, Apr. 1, 2008.

67 Department of State, Notice: Update on Intercountry Adoptions in Guatemala, Dec. 11, 2012.
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