



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

## **FY 2011 Preparedness Grant Programs Overview**

**Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)  
Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG) Program  
Tribal Homeland Security Grant Program (THSGP)  
UASI Nonprofit Security Grant Program (UASI NSGP)  
Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)  
Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Grant Program  
Driver's License Security Grant Program (DLSGP)  
Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)  
Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) Program  
Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)  
Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)  
Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)**

**May 2011**



**Grant Programs Directorate**

## Homeland Security Preparedness Grant Programs Overview

One of the core missions of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is to enhance the ability of state, territory, local, and tribal governments to prevent, protect against, respond to, and recover from terrorist attacks and other disasters. DHS' comprehensive suite of homeland security preparedness grant programs are an important part of the Administration's larger, coordinated effort to strengthen homeland security preparedness. These programs implement objectives addressed in a series of post-9/11 laws, strategy documents, plans, and Homeland Security Presidential Directives (HSPDs).

DHS grant programs fund a wide range of preparedness activities including planning, organization, equipment purchase, training, exercises, and grant management and administration costs. These programs support key Administration priorities and objectives, as well as objectives outlined in the *National Preparedness Guidelines* and related national preparedness doctrine, such as the *National Incident Management System*, the *National Response Framework*, and the *National Infrastructure Protection Plan*.

Following is a summary of the DHS grant programs which are being announced on May 19, 2011. The following pages outline greater details and background information with respect to these programs.

### Funding Distribution – FY 2010 and FY 2011

Program	FY 2010	FY 2011
Homeland Security Grant Program	\$1,786,359,956	\$1,289,296,132
State Homeland Security Program	\$842,000,000	\$526,874,100
Urban Areas Security Initiative	\$832,520,000	\$662,622,100
Operation Stonegarden	\$60,000,000	\$54,890,000
Metropolitan Medical Response System	\$39,359,956	\$34,929,932
Citizen Corps Program	\$12,480,000	\$9,980,000
Emergency Management Performance Grants Program	\$329,799,991	\$329,140,400
Tribal Homeland Security Grant Program	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
Nonprofit Security Grant Program	\$19,000,000	\$18,962,000
Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program	\$33,600,000	\$14,101,736
Emergency Operations Center Grant Program	\$57,600,000	\$14,101,740
Driver's License Security Grant Program	\$48,000,000	\$44,910,000
Freight Rail Security Grant Program	\$14,562,403	\$10,000,000
Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) Program	\$20,000,000	\$19,960,000
Port Security Grant Program	\$288,000,000	\$235,029,000
Intercity Bus Security Grant Program	\$11,520,000	\$4,990,000
Transit Security Grant Program	\$253,437,597	\$200,079,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,871,879,947</b>	<b>\$2,190,570,008</b>

## Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) provides a primary funding mechanism for building and sustaining national preparedness capabilities. HSGP is comprised of five interconnected grant programs:

- State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)
- Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI)
- Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)
- Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS)
- Citizen Corps Program (CCP)

Together, these grant programs fund a range of preparedness activities, including planning, organization, equipment purchase, training, exercises, and management and administration.

### State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$526,874,100

**Purpose:** SHSP provides funding to support the implementation of State Homeland Security Strategies to address the identified planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs at the state and local levels to prevent, protect against, respond to, and recover from acts of terrorism and other catastrophic events. SHSP also provides funding to implement initiatives in the State Preparedness Report. Consistent with the *Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Act of 2007* (Public Law 110-53) ("hereafter "9/11 Act"), states are required to ensure that at least 25 percent (25%) of SHSP appropriated funds are dedicated towards law enforcement terrorism prevention-oriented planning, organization, training, exercise, and equipment activities, including those activities which support the development of fusion center capabilities.

**Eligible Applicants:** The State Administrative Agency (SAA) is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for SHSP funds. Recipients include all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 SHSP allocations will be made based on three factors: minimum amounts as legislatively mandated, DHS' risk methodology, and effectiveness. Each state and territory will receive a minimum allocation under SHSP using the thresholds established in the 9/11 Act. All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico will receive 0.355 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Section 2004 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002* (6 U.S.C. §101 *et seq.*), as amended by the 9/11 Act. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Section 2004 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended by the 9/11 Act. Per the 9/11 Act, states are required

to ensure that at least 25 percent (25%) of SHSP appropriated funds are dedicated towards law enforcement terrorism prevention activities.

### **Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Program**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$662,622,100

**Purpose:** The UASI Program provides funding to address the unique planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs of high-threat, high-density urban areas, and assists them in building an enhanced and sustainable capacity to prevent, protect against, respond to, and recover from acts of terrorism. Per the 9/11 Act, states are required to ensure that at least 25 percent (25%) of UASI appropriated funds are dedicated towards law enforcement terrorism prevention activities.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for UASI funds. The 31 high risk urban areas eligible for funding under the FY 2011 UASI program are the only urban areas that may apply.

**Program Awards:** The 11 highest risk urban areas, designated Tier I urban areas, will be eligible for \$540,696,100. The remaining 20 high risk urban Areas, designated Tier II urban areas, will be eligible for \$121,926,000. Funds will be allocated based on DHS' risk methodology and effectiveness.

### **Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$54,890,000

**Purpose:** The OPSG Program provides funding to enhance cooperation and coordination among local, tribal, territorial, state, and federal law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the United States' borders along routes of ingress from international borders to include travel corridors in states bordering Mexico and Canada, as well as states and territories with international water borders.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for OPSG funds. Local units of government at the county level and federally-recognized tribal governments in the states bordering Canada (including Alaska), southern states bordering Mexico, and states and territories with International water borders may apply for FY 2011 OPSG funds through their SAA.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 OPSG allocations will be made competitively to designated localities within U.S. border states based on risk analysis and the anticipated feasibility and effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

### **Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) Program**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$34,929,932

**Purpose:** The MMRS Program provides funding to support the integration of emergency management, health, and medical systems into a coordinated response to

mass casualty incidents caused by any hazard. Successful MMRS grantees reduce the consequences of a mass casualty incident during the initial period of a response by having augmented existing local operational response systems before an incident occurs.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for MMRS funds. The 124 MMRS jurisdictions eligible for funding under the FY 2011 MMRS Program are the only jurisdictions that may apply.

**Program Awards:** Each of the 124 MMRS jurisdictions will receive \$281,693 to establish or sustain local capabilities.

### **Citizen Corps Program (CCP)**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$9,980,000

**Purpose:** CCP provides funding to bring community and government leaders together to coordinate the involvement of community members and organizations in emergency preparedness, planning, mitigation, response, and recovery.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for CCP funds. Recipients for the CCP include all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 CCP allocations will be determined using a formula, which specifies that all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a minimum of 0.75 percent (.75%) of the total available grant funding, and that four territories (American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum of 0.25 percent (.25%) of the total available grant funding. The balance of CCP funds will be distributed on a population-match basis. In addition to CCP allocations, states and urban areas are encouraged to fully leverage HSGP resources to accomplish the Citizen Corps mission.

## **Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG) Program**

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$329,140,400

**Purpose:** The purpose of the FY 2011 EMPG Program is to make grants to states to assist state, local, tribal and territorial governments in preparing for all hazards, as authorized by the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act* (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.). Title VI of the *Stafford Act* authorizes FEMA to make grants for the purpose of providing a system of emergency preparedness for the protection of life and property in the United States from hazards and to vest responsibility for emergency preparedness jointly in the federal government and the states and their political subdivisions. The federal government, through the EMPG Program, provides necessary direction, coordination, and guidance, and provides necessary assistance, as authorized in this title so that a comprehensive emergency preparedness system exists for all hazards.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA or the state's Emergency Management Agency (EMA) is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for EMPG Program funds on behalf of state and local emergency management agencies, however only one application will be accepted from each state or territory. All 56 states and territories, as well as the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the Federated States of Micronesia, are eligible to apply for FY 2011 EMPG Program funds.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 EMPG Program allocation methodology dictates that all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a base amount of 0.75 percent (.75%) of the total available grant funding. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a base amount of 0.25 percent (.25%) of the total available grant funding. The balance of EMPG Program funds will be distributed on a population-match basis. Pursuant to Article X of the Federal Programs and Services Agreement of the Compact of Free Association authorized by Public Law 108-188, funds are available for the Federated States of Micronesia, and for the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

EMPG has a 50 percent federal and 50 percent state cost share, cash or in-kind match requirement

## Tribal Homeland Security Grant Program (THSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$10,000,000

**Purpose:** THSGP provides supplemental funding directly to eligible tribes to help strengthen the nation against risks associated with potential terrorist attacks.

**Eligible Applicants:** Per the 9/11 Act, a "directly eligible tribe" is defined as —

(A) any Indian tribe—

- (i) that is located in the continental United States;
- (ii) that operates a law enforcement or emergency response agency with the capacity to respond to calls for law enforcement or emergency services;
- (iii)
  - (I) that is located on or near an international border or a coastline bordering an ocean (including the Gulf of Mexico) or international waters;
  - (II) that is located within 10 miles of a system or asset included on the prioritized critical infrastructure list established under section 210E(a)(2) or has such a system or asset within its territory;
  - (III) that is located within or contiguous to 1 of the 50 most populous metropolitan statistical areas in the United States; or
  - (IV) the jurisdiction of which includes not less than 1,000 square miles of Indian country, as that term is defined in section 1151 of title 18, United States Code; and
- (iv) that certifies to the Secretary that a state has not provided funds under section 2003 or 2004 to the Indian tribe or consortium of Indian tribes for the purpose for which direct funding is sought; and

(B) a consortium of Indian tribes, if each tribe satisfies the requirements of subparagraph (A).

In summary, eligible tribes must meet the requirements set forth in (A) (i), and (A) (ii), and (A) (iv). Tribes must also meet one of the requirements set forth in (A) (iii); either (A) (iii) (I), or (A) (iii) (II), or (A) (iii) (III), or (A) (iii) (IV). Finally, (B) may also be satisfied, if each tribe satisfies the requirements of subparagraph (A).

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 THSGP funds will be allocated based on two factors: tribal eligibility per the 9/11 Act (self-certified) and the effectiveness of the applicant's THSGP Investment Justification (as determined through a peer review process).

## Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$18,962,000

**Purpose:** NSGP provides funding support for target hardening activities to nonprofit organizations that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and located within one of the specific FY 2011 UASI-eligible urban areas.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for NSGP funds. Eligible nonprofit organizations (as described under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code) that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and are located within one of the specific FY 2011 UASI-eligible urban areas must apply for funding through their SAA.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 NSGP funds will be allocated based on risk analysis, effectiveness, and integration with broader state and local preparedness efforts. Each nonprofit organization may apply through their SAA for up to a \$75,000 grant award.

## Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$14,101,736

**Purpose:** RCPGP provides funding to enhance catastrophic incident preparedness in selected RCPGP sites. RCPGP is intended to support coordination of regional all-hazard planning for catastrophic events, including the development of integrated planning communities, plans, protocols, and procedures to manage a catastrophic event. In FY 2011, RCPGP will focus on demonstrating the progress made by the 10 RCPGP sites, identifying remaining gaps, and implementing the Whole Community Philosophy, which ensures the engagement of the entire community in the planning process. Grantees will also be encouraged to focus on improving catastrophic plans already under development through the use of a "meta-scenario" that draws from the largest planning factors contained within the hazards previously identified by the site as the basis for their planning efforts. Finally, these funds will be used to complete efforts initiated under previous RCPGP awards. The program deliverables submitted from RCPGP grantees will be made available throughout the country to enhance national resilience.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for RCPGP funds. The Governor of each state and territory is required to designate a SAA to apply for and administer the funds awarded under RCPGP. Eligible applicants under the FY 2011 RCPGP include the existing Regional Catastrophic Planning Teams and associated sites.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 RCPGP funds will be allocated through a single non-competitive award to each of the existing Regional Catastrophic Planning Teams and associated sites, provided their applications meet the minimum standards specified for FY 2011.

RCPGP has a 75 percent (75%) Federal and 25 percent (25%) grantee cost share cash- or in-kind match requirement.

## Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Grant Program

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$14,101,740

**Purpose:** EOC Grant Program provides funding to improve emergency management and preparedness capabilities by supporting flexible, sustainable, secure, strategically located, and fully interoperable EOCs with a focus on addressing identified deficiencies and needs. This program provides funding for construction or renovation of a state, local, or tribal government's primary EOC. Fully capable emergency operations facilities at the state and local levels are an essential element of a comprehensive national emergency management system and are necessary to ensure continuity of operations and continuity of government in major disasters caused by any hazard.

**Eligible Applicants:** All 56 states and territories are eligible to apply for FY 2011 EOC Grant Program funds through their SAA. The Governor of each state and territory is required to designate a SAA to apply for and administer the funds awarded under the FY 2011 EOC Grant Program. The SAA is the only eligible entity able to apply for the available funding on behalf of each eligible state, local, and tribal government's primary EOC.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 EOC Grant Program funds will be allocated competitively for construction or renovation of a state, local or tribal government's primary EOC.

The EOC Grant Program has a 75 percent (75%) Federal and 25 percent (25%) grantee cash- or in-kind cost match requirement.

## Driver's License Security Grant Program (DLSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$44,910,000

**Purpose:** The DLSGP provides funding to prevent terrorism, reduce fraud and improve the reliability and accuracy of personal identification documents that states<sup>1</sup> issue. The DLSGP is intended to address a key recommendation of the 9/11 Commission to improve the integrity and security of state-issued driver's licenses (DLs) and identification cards (IDs). States are encouraged to work collectively to develop more secure systems to verify an applicant's identity before issuing a DL or ID that can be accepted for official purposes.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible applicants under the FY 2011 DLSGP are State Driver's License Agencies (SDLAs), also known as state Departments of Motor Vehicles (DMVs) or Motor Vehicle Administrations (MVAs). Other eligible applicants include state Public Safety Agencies or Departments with overall responsibility for drivers' license issuance in the state. These agencies may apply on behalf of the state DMV/MVA.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 DLSGP funds will be allocated according to all 56 states and territories receiving a base amount with the balance of funds distributed according to the total number of DLs/IDs issued in each state and territory. For the purposes of this grant, states are grouped into four categories based upon the number of DLs/IDs issued. The available target funding allocations may be adjusted if:

- (1) Some states do not apply;
- (2) Some states ask for less than the amount allocated; or
- (3) Individual state applications are inconsistent with program requirements.

If the total of all state awards is less than \$44,910,000 for any of these reasons, remaining program funds will be redistributed to applicant states and territories based on the formula.

## Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$10,000,000

**Purpose:** FRSGP provides funding to freight railroad carriers, owners and offerors of railroad cars, and owners of rail bridges to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure from acts of terrorism and to increase the resilience of the freight rail system.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible applicants for the FY 2011 FRSGP are determined by DHS as Class I, II, and III freight railroad carriers that transport Rail Security-Sensitive Materials (RSSM), owners and offerors of railroad cars that transport toxic inhalation hazardous (TIH) materials, and owners of rail bridges that have a volume exceeding 4.9

---

<sup>1</sup> For purposes of the *REAL ID Act*, "State" is defined at sec. 201(5) as "a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, and any other territory or possession of the United States." This package will refer to all 56 States, the District of Columbia and territories as "States."

MGTM. For purposes of this grant program, “offerors” are entities that lease rail cars in order to ship TIH materials by railroad.

**Program Awards:** FY 2011 FRSGP funds will be allocated competitively based on their ability to deliver protection to underground rail and other high-risk assets, provide counter-terrorism training, or develop security plans and vulnerability assessments.

FRSGP has a 75 percent (75%) federal and 25 percent (25%) grantee cost match (cash- or in-kind) requirement. Vulnerability assessments and security plans are exempt from this cost match requirement.

## Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) Program

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$19,960,000

**Purpose:** The IPR Program creates a sustainable, risk-based effort to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism and increase the resilience of the Amtrak rail system.

**Eligible Applicants:** The eligible applicant under the FY 2011 IPR Program is the National Passenger Railroad Corporation (Amtrak). Amtrak is the only entity eligible to apply for funding under FY 2011 IPR. DHS will partner with Amtrak to develop security enhancements for eligible intercity passenger rail operations in all eligible UASI areas Amtrak passes through or services.

## Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$235,029,000

**Purpose:** PSPG provides funding for transportation infrastructure security activities to implement Area Maritime Transportation Security Plans and facility security plans among port authorities, facility operators, and state and local government agencies required to provide port security services. The purpose of the FY 2011 PSGP is to support increased port-wide risk management; enhanced domain awareness; training and exercises; expansion of port recovery and resiliency capabilities; and further capabilities to prevent, detect, respond to, and recover from attacks involving improvised explosive devices (IEDs) and other non-conventional weapons.

**Eligible Applicants:** Seven port areas have been selected as Group I (highest risk) and 48 port areas have been selected as Group II. Ports not identified in Group I or II are eligible to apply as a Group III or “All Other Port Areas” applicant. There is no designated ferry appropriation. Ferry Systems in Group I and II can apply through the designated Fiduciary Agent (FA). All other Ferry Systems should apply with the Group III and “All Other Port Areas.” Ports that qualify under the “All Other Port Areas” category that are located within Group I, II or III areas are allowed to receive grant funds from their geographically proximate higher group if the project has regional impact across the entire port area, but not from both funding groups for the same project.

**Program Awards:** Each Group I and Group II port area has been designated a specific amount of money based upon the FY 2011 risk analysis. Group III and “All Other Port Areas” will compete for the funding identified in their corresponding group.

## Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$4,990,000

**Purpose:** IBSGP provides funding to create a sustainable program for the protection of intercity bus systems and the traveling public from terrorism. The program seeks to assist operators of fixed-route intercity and charter bus services in obtaining the resources required to support security measures such as enhanced planning, facility security upgrades and vehicle and driver protection.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible applicants under the FY 2011 IBSGP are owners and operators of fixed route intercity and charter buses that serve FY2011 UASI-eligible urban areas. Charter companies must make a minimum of 50 trips annually to one or more UASI jurisdictions to be eligible. The Tier I/Tier II designation used in prior years is not being used for FY 2011 applicants; all owner/operators that meet the basic eligibility criteria may apply.

**Program Awards:** Bus companies will compete for funds irrespective of their size and without the use of a tier system. Recipients will be selected through a competitive process based on the ratings of the National Review Panel.

IBSGP has a 75 percent (75%) federal and 25 percent (25%) grantee cost match (cash- or in-kind) requirement.

## Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2011:** \$200,079,000

**Purpose:** TSGP provides funds to owners and operators of transit systems (which include intracity bus, commuter bus, ferries, and all forms of passenger rail) to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism and to increase the resilience of transit infrastructure.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible transit agencies are determined based on daily unlinked passenger trips (ridership) and transit systems that serve historically eligible Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) jurisdictions. Certain ferry systems are eligible to participate in the FY 2011 TSGP and receive funds. However, any ferry system electing to participate and receive funds under the FY 2011 TSGP cannot participate in the FY 2011 Port Security Grant Program (PSGP), and will not be considered for funding under the FY 2011 PSGP. Likewise, any ferry system that participates in the PSGP cannot be considered for funding under the TSGP.

**Program Awards:** DHS intends to focus its available transit security grant dollars on the highest-risk systems through a competitive process.

DHS has identified critical infrastructure assets of national concern through the Top Transit Asset List (TTAL). Critical infrastructure assets are those vital to the functionality and continuity of a major transit system that their incapacitation or destruction would have a debilitating effect on security, national economic security, public health or safety, or any combination thereof. With the creation of the TTAL, DHS can now target funding to the remediation of those assets on the list in an informed and risk-based approach,

DHS has also identified priority project types and placed them into groups based on their effectiveness to reduce risk. Certain types of projects that are effective at addressing risk will be given priority consideration for funding. These groups have been prioritized based upon departmental priorities and their ability to elevate security on a system-wide level, to elevate security to critical infrastructure assets, and to reduce the risk of catastrophic events and consequences.

## FY 2011 Funding Tables

**Table 1. FY 2011 SHSP Allocations**

State/Territory	FY 2011 Allocation	Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum
Alabama	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Alaska	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
American Samoa	\$1,157,680	\$391,964
Arizona	\$6,608,683	\$2,237,549
Arkansas	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
California	\$72,983,062	\$24,710,393
Colorado	\$5,489,930	\$1,858,764
Connecticut	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Delaware	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
District of Columbia	\$5,285,216	\$1,789,453
Florida	\$16,505,787	\$5,588,481
Georgia	\$9,614,953	\$3,255,403
Guam	\$1,157,680	\$391,964
Hawaii	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Idaho	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Illinois	\$20,212,506	\$6,843,491
Indiana	\$5,663,221	\$1,917,437
Iowa	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Kansas	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Kentucky	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Louisiana	\$6,902,499	\$2,337,028
Maine	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Maryland	\$7,909,769	\$2,678,066
Massachusetts	\$7,787,858	\$2,636,790
Michigan	\$9,652,690	\$3,268,180
Minnesota	\$5,394,708	\$1,826,524
Mississippi	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Missouri	\$5,528,978	\$1,871,985
Montana	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Nebraska	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Nevada	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
New Hampshire	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
New Jersey	\$11,902,274	\$4,029,837
New Mexico	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
New York	\$91,192,861	\$30,875,814
North Carolina	\$7,709,831	\$2,610,372
North Dakota	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Northern Mariana Islands	\$1,157,680	\$391,964
Ohio	\$10,775,036	\$3,648,180
Oklahoma	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Oregon	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Pennsylvania	\$13,545,257	\$4,586,114
Puerto Rico	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Rhode Island	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
South Carolina	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
South Dakota	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Tennessee	\$5,518,319	\$1,868,376

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>FY 2011 Allocation</b>	<b>Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum</b>
Texas	\$28,562,145	\$9,670,488
U.S. Virgin Islands	\$1,157,680	\$391,964
Utah	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Vermont	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Virginia	\$9,340,306	\$3,162,414
Washington	\$9,178,546	\$3,107,645
West Virginia	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Wisconsin	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
Wyoming	\$5,137,205	\$1,739,340
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$526,874,100</b>	<b>\$178,387,500</b>

**Table 2. FY 2011 UASI Allocations**

<b>Tier</b>	<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Urban Area</b>	<b>FY 2011 Allocation</b>	<b>Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities Minimum</b>
Tier I	California	Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	\$69,922,146	\$19,087,867
		Bay Area	\$42,827,663	\$11,691,413
		San Diego Area	\$16,208,500	\$4,424,717
	District of Columbia	National Capital Region	\$59,392,477	\$16,213,399
	Illinois	Chicago Area	\$54,653,862	\$14,919,817
	Massachusetts	Boston Area	\$18,933,980	\$5,168,739
	New Jersey	Jersey City/Newark Area	\$37,292,205	\$10,180,303
	New York	New York City Area	\$151,579,096	\$41,379,187
	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Area	\$23,335,845	\$6,370,392
		Texas	Houston Area	\$41,452,916
		Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area	\$25,097,410	\$6,851,277
Tier II	Arizona	Phoenix Area	\$7,755,447	\$2,117,140
	California	Anaheim/Santa Ana Area	\$8,941,135	\$2,440,817
		Riverside Area	\$3,700,465	\$1,010,180
	Colorado	Denver Area	\$4,968,954	\$1,356,462
	Florida	Miami/Fort Lauderdale Area	\$9,646,226	\$2,633,298
		Tampa Area	\$5,470,535	\$1,493,387
		Orlando Area	\$3,696,674	\$1,009,146
	Georgia	Atlanta Area	\$9,750,733	\$2,661,828
	Maryland	Baltimore Area	\$7,813,444	\$2,132,972
	Michigan	Detroit Area	\$9,437,120	\$2,576,215
	Minnesota	Twin Cities Area	\$6,116,913	\$1,669,840
	Missouri	St. Louis Area	\$5,973,100	\$1,630,581
	Nevada	Las Vegas Area	\$5,705,105	\$1,557,422
	North Carolina	Charlotte Area	\$3,244,400	\$885,680
	Ohio	Cleveland Area	\$3,590,432	\$980,143
		Cincinnati Area	\$3,491,256	\$953,069
	Oregon	Portland Area	\$5,025,160	\$1,371,806
	Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh Area	\$4,479,093	\$1,222,736
Virginia	Norfolk Area	\$5,160,470	\$1,408,743	
Washington	Seattle Area	\$7,959,338	\$2,172,799	
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$662,622,100</b>	<b>\$ 180,887,500</b>

**Table 3. FY 2011 MMRS Allocations**

<b>State</b>	<b>MMRS Jurisdictions</b>	<b>Allocation</b>
Alabama	Birmingham, Huntsville, Mobile, and Montgomery	\$1,126,772
Alaska	Anchorage and Juneau	\$563,386
Arizona	Glendale, Mesa, Phoenix, and Tucson	\$1,126,772
Arkansas	Little Rock	\$281,693
California	Anaheim, Bakersfield, Fremont, Fresno, Glendale, Huntington Beach, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Modesto, Oakland, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Jose, Santa Ana, and Stockton	\$5,070,474
Colorado	Aurora, Colorado Springs, and Denver	\$845,079
Connecticut	Hartford	\$281,693
Florida	Fort Lauderdale, Hialeah, Jacksonville, Miami, Orlando, St. Petersburg, and Tampa	\$1,971,851
Georgia	Atlanta and Columbus	\$563,386
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$281,693
Illinois	Chicago	\$281,693
Indiana	Ft. Wayne and Indianapolis	\$563,386
Iowa	Des Moines	\$281,693
Kansas	Kansas City and Wichita	\$563,386
Kentucky	Lexington/Fayette and Louisville	\$563,386
Louisiana	Baton Rouge, Jefferson Parish, New Orleans, and Shreveport	\$1,126,772
Maryland	Baltimore	\$281,693
Massachusetts	Boston, Springfield, and Worcester	\$845,079
Michigan	Detroit, Grand Rapids, and Warren	\$845,079
Minnesota	Minneapolis and St. Paul	\$563,386
Mississippi	Jackson	\$281,693
Missouri	Kansas City and St. Louis	\$563,386
Nebraska	Lincoln and Omaha	\$563,386
Nevada	Las Vegas	\$281,693
New Hampshire	Northern New England MMRS	\$281,693
New Jersey	Jersey City and Newark	\$563,386
New Mexico	Albuquerque	\$281,693
New York	Buffalo, New York City, Rochester, Syracuse, and Yonkers	\$1,408,465
North Carolina	Charlotte, Greensboro, and Raleigh	\$845,079
Ohio	Akron, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, and Toledo	\$1,690,158
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City and Tulsa	\$563,386
Oregon	Portland	\$281,693
Pennsylvania	Allegheny County and Philadelphia	\$563,386
Rhode Island	Providence	\$281,693
South Carolina	Columbia	\$281,693
Tennessee	Chattanooga, Knoxville, Memphis, and Nashville	\$1,126,772
Texas	Amarillo, Arlington, Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, El Paso, Fort Worth, Garland, Houston, Irving, Lubbock, San Antonio, and Southern Rio Grande	\$3,662,009
Utah	Salt Lake City	\$281,693
Virginia	Arlington County, Chesapeake, Newport News, Norfolk, Richmond, and Virginia Beach	\$1,690,158
Washington	Seattle, Spokane, and Tacoma	\$845,079
Wisconsin	Madison and Milwaukee	\$563,386
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$34,929,932</b>

**Table 4. FY 2011 CCP Allocations**

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Allocation</b>	<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Allocation</b>
Alabama	\$165,261	Nevada	\$125,598
Alaska	\$88,400	New Hampshire	\$100,150
Arizona	\$202,479	New Jersey	\$241,785
Arkansas	\$130,482	New Mexico	\$113,729
California	\$787,232	New York	\$449,095
Colorado	\$172,251	North Carolina	\$255,665
Connecticut	\$142,270	North Dakota	\$87,348
Delaware	\$91,891	Ohio	\$295,296
District of Columbia	\$86,522	Oklahoma	\$146,046
Florida	\$431,897	Oregon	\$148,552
Georgia	\$264,257	Pennsylvania	\$316,336
Hawaii	\$99,702	Rhode Island	\$95,053
Idaho	\$104,667	South Carolina	\$162,725
Illinois	\$322,293	South Dakota	\$90,526
Indiana	\$198,057	Tennessee	\$196,008
Iowa	\$132,639	Texas	\$556,826
Kansas	\$129,160	Utah	\$128,962
Kentucky	\$157,802	Vermont	\$86,748
Louisiana	\$161,434	Virginia	\$226,861
Maine	\$99,948	Washington	\$203,809
Maryland	\$184,523	West Virginia	\$109,746
Massachusetts	\$201,612	Wisconsin	\$183,208
Michigan	\$264,694	Wyoming	\$85,319
Minnesota	\$175,981	Puerto Rico	\$147,336
Mississippi	\$131,442	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$27,048
Missouri	\$189,769	American Samoa	\$26,220
Montana	\$93,586	Guam	\$28,407
Nebraska	\$109,470	Northern Mariana Islands	\$25,877
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$9,980,000</b>

**Table 5. FY 2011 EMPG Program Allocations**

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Allocation</b>	<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Allocation</b>
Alabama	\$5,448,809	New Hampshire	\$3,302,538
Alaska	\$2,915,222	New Jersey	\$7,971,282
Arizona	\$6,675,637	New Mexico	\$3,750,141
Arkansas	\$4,302,354	New York	\$14,804,894
California	\$25,951,025	North Carolina	\$8,428,798
Colorado	\$5,679,215	North Dakota	\$2,880,512
Connecticut	\$4,690,951	Ohio	\$9,735,180
Delaware	\$3,030,283	Oklahoma	\$4,815,406
District of Columbia	\$2,853,298	Oregon	\$4,898,008
Florida	\$14,237,986	Pennsylvania	\$10,428,735
Georgia	\$8,712,018	Rhode Island	\$3,134,509
Hawaii	\$3,287,765	South Carolina	\$5,365,193
Idaho	\$3,451,413	South Dakota	\$2,985,301
Illinois	\$10,625,099	Tennessee	\$6,462,331
Indiana	\$6,529,870	Texas	\$18,356,077
Iowa	\$4,373,460	Utah	\$4,252,270
Kansas	\$4,258,803	Vermont	\$2,860,761
Kentucky	\$5,202,923	Virginia	\$7,479,351
Louisiana	\$5,322,640	Washington	\$6,719,475
Maine	\$3,295,864	West Virginia	\$3,618,847
Maryland	\$6,083,731	Wisconsin	\$6,040,407
Massachusetts	\$6,647,063	Wyoming	\$2,813,631
Michigan	\$8,726,434	Puerto Rico	\$4,857,917
Minnesota	\$5,802,175	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$892,007
Mississippi	\$4,334,006	American Samoa	\$864,711
Missouri	\$6,256,678	Guam	\$936,818
Montana	\$3,086,167	Northern Mariana Islands	\$853,295
Nebraska	\$3,609,748	Republic of the Marshall Islands	\$50,000
Nevada	\$4,141,368	Federated States of Micronesia	\$50,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$329,140,400</b>

**Table 6. FY 2011 RCPGP Allocations**

<b>FY 2011 RCPGP Sites</b>	
<b>RCPGP Site</b>	<b>FY 2011 Allocation</b>
Bay Area (to include 11 counties and 23 principal cities spanning central western CA)	\$1,281,976
Boston Area (to include 17 counties and 17 principal cities spanning most of eastern MA, southern NH, and all of RI)	\$1,281,976
Chicago Area (to include 16 counties and 15 principal cities spanning northeastern IL, northwestern IN, and southeastern WI)	\$1,281,976
Houston Area (to include 13 counties and six principal cities in eastern, TX as defined for the FY 2008 grant cycle)	\$1,281,976
Los Angeles / Long Beach Area (to include five counties and 38 principal cities spanning southwestern CA)	\$1,281,976
National Capital Region (to include 26 counties and 16 principal cities spanning Washington, D.C., northern VA, central and southern MD, eastern WV, and representatives from DE and PA)	\$1,281,976
New York City / Northern New Jersey Area (to include 30 counties and 21 principal cities that span eastern CT, northern NJ, southeastern NY and northeastern PA)	\$2,563,952 <sup>2</sup>
Honolulu Area (to include the four counties of HI, including the principal city of Honolulu)	\$1,281,976
Norfolk Area (to include 15 counties and nine principal cities, as defined for the FY 2008 grant cycle, spanning central eastern and southeastern VA as well as northeastern NC)	\$1,281,976
Seattle Area (to include 8 counties and 12 principal cities spanning central WA)	\$1,281,976
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$14,101,736</b>

<sup>2</sup> Two sites elected to combine financials to form one Regional Catastrophic Planning Team. New York City and Jersey City/Newark are expected to continue to work together as the New York/Northern New Jersey Area RCPGP Site to carry out the program goals and objectives.

**Table 7. FY 2011 DLSGP Allocations**

Category	State	FY 2011 Award	Category	State	FY 2011 Award
Category 1	California	\$1,512,900	Category 3 (cont.)	Minnesota	\$701,062
	Florida	\$1,512,900		Missouri	\$701,062
	Illinois	\$1,512,900		Mississippi	\$701,062
	New York	\$1,512,900		Nebraska	\$701,063
	Texas	\$1,512,900		New Hampshire	\$701,063
Category 2	Alabama	\$979,269		New Mexico	\$701,063
	Arizona	\$979,269		Nevada	\$701,063
	Georgia	\$979,269		Oklahoma	\$701,063
	Indiana	\$979,269		Oregon	\$701,063
	Louisiana	\$979,269		South Carolina	\$701,063
	Massachusetts	\$979,269		Tennessee	\$701,063
	Michigan	\$979,269		Utah	\$701,063
	North Carolina	\$979,269		Wisconsin	\$701,063
	New Jersey	\$979,269		West Virginia	\$701,063
	Ohio	\$979,269		Category 4	Alaska
	Pennsylvania	\$979,269	American Samoa		\$556,393
	Virginia	\$979,270	District of Columbia		\$556,393
	Washington	\$979,270	Delaware		\$556,393
	Category 3	Arkansas	\$701,062		Guam
Colorado		\$701,062	Northern Mariana Islands		\$556,393
Connecticut		\$701,062	Montana		\$556,393
Hawaii		\$701,062	North Dakota		\$556,393
Iowa		\$701,062	Puerto Rico		\$556,393
Idaho		\$701,062	Rhode Island		\$556,393
Kansas		\$701,062	South Dakota		\$556,393
Kentucky		\$701,062	U.S. Virgin Islands		\$556,393
Maryland		\$701,062	Vermont		\$556,393
Maine		\$701,062	Wyoming		\$556,393
<b>Total</b>					<b>\$44,910,000</b>

**Table 8. FY 2011 PSGP Target Allocations**

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2011 Target Allocation
I	California	Los Angeles-Long Beach Long Beach Los Angeles	\$24,535,511
		San Francisco Bay Carquinez Strait Martinez Oakland Richmond San Francisco Stockton	\$16,987,452
	Louisiana	New Orleans Baton Rouge Gramercy New Orleans Plaquemines, Port of South Louisiana, Port of St. Rose	\$17,115,720
	New Jersey / Pennsylvania / Delaware	Delaware Bay Camden-Gloucester, NJ Chester, PA Marcus Hook, PA New Castle, DE Paulsboro, NJ Philadelphia, PA Trenton, NJ Wilmington, DE	\$11,985,963
	New York / New Jersey	New York, NY and NJ	\$30,191,410
	Texas	Houston-Galveston Galveston Houston Texas City	\$25,048,496
	Washington	Puget Sound Anacortes Bellingham Everett Olympia Port Angeles Seattle Tacoma	\$15,152,848
	II	Alabama	Mobile
Alaska		Anchorage	\$1,000,000
California		San Diego	\$3,063,166
		Port Hueneme	\$1,000,000
Connecticut		Long Island Sound Bridgeport New Haven New London	\$1,402,443
Florida		Jacksonville	\$1,576,810
		Port Everglades	\$1,241,524
		Miami	\$2,176,413
		Tampa Bay	\$2,575,864
	Port Manatee		

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2011 Target Allocation
		Tampa	
		Port Canaveral	\$1,000,000
		Panama City	\$1,000,000
		Pensacola	\$1,000,000
	Georgia	Savannah	\$1,430,137
	Guam	Apra Harbor	\$1,128,750
	Hawaii	Honolulu Barbers Point, Oahu Honolulu, Oahu	\$2,762,408
	Indiana/ Illinois	Southern Tip Lake Michigan Burns Waterway Harbor, IN Chicago, IL Gary, IN Indiana Harbor, IN	\$3,453,295
	Kentucky	Louisville	\$1,000,000
	Louisiana	Lake Charles	\$1,130,308
		Port Fourchon/The LOOP	\$1,000,000
		Morgan City	\$1,000,000
		Boston	\$2,608,606
	Maryland	Baltimore	\$1,611,108
	Maine	Portland	\$1,000,000
	Michigan	Detroit	\$1,000,000
	Minnesota	Minneapolis-St. Paul Minneapolis St. Paul	\$1,367,755
	Minnesota/ Wisconsin	Duluth-Superior, MN and WI	\$1,000,000
	Missouri	Kansas City	\$1,246,121
	Missouri/ Illinois	St. Louis, MO and IL	\$1,774,033
	Mississippi	Pascagoula	\$1,000,000
	North Carolina	Wilmington	\$1,416,831
		Morehead City	\$1,000,000
	New York	Albany	\$1,000,000
		Buffalo	\$1,455,521
	Ohio	Cincinnati	\$1,000,000
		Cleveland	\$1,000,000
Toledo		\$1,026,792	
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh	\$1,000,000	
Puerto Rico	San Juan	\$1,102,390	
	Ponce	\$1,000,000	
South Carolina	Charleston	\$1,398,123	
Tennessee	Memphis	\$1,681,812	
	Nashville	\$1,000,000	
Texas	Sabine-Neches River Beaumont Port Arthur	\$2,765,422	
	Corpus Christi	\$2,112,660	
	Freeport	\$1,354,561	
	Hampton Roads Newport News	\$3,102,229	
Virginia			

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2011 Target Allocation
		Norfolk Harbor	
	Washington/ Oregon/ Idaho	Columbia-Snake River System Kalama, WA Longview, WA Portland, OR Vancouver, WA Benton, WA Clarkston, WA Ilwaco, WA Kennewick, WA Pasco, WA Walla Walla, WA Whitman County, WA Astoria, OR Boardman, OR The Dalles, OR Hood River, OR St. Helens, OR Umatilla, OR Lewiston, ID	\$1,543,618
	West Virginia	Huntington - TriState	\$1,000,000
III	Alaska	Valdez	\$11,751,450
	Alabama	Guntersville	
	Arkansas	Helena	
	California	El Segundo	
		Sacramento	
	Florida	Fort Pierce	
		West Palm Beach	
	Georgia	Brunswick	
	Illinois	Peoria	
	Indiana	Mount Vernon	
	Massachusetts / Rhode Island	Narragansett/Mt. Hope Bays	
		Fall River, MA	
		Newport, RI Providence, RI	
	Michigan	Port Huron	
		Sault Ste Marie	
		Marine City	
		Muskegon	
		Monroe	
Minnesota	Two Harbors		
Mississippi	Vicksburg		
	Gulfport		
	Greenville		
New Hampshire	Portsmouth		
Ohio	Lorain		
Oklahoma	Tulsa, Port of Catoosa		
Oregon	Coos Bay		

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2011 Target Allocation	
	Pennsylvania	Erie		
		Guayanilla		
	Puerto Rico	Humacao		
		Jobos		
	Tennessee	Chattanooga		
	Texas	Port Lavaca-Point Comfort		
		Victoria		
		Brownsville		
	Virginia	Richmond		
	Wisconsin	Green Bay		
Milwaukee				
All Other Port Areas	Eligible entities not located within one of the port areas identified above, but operating under an AMSP, are eligible to compete for funding within "All Other Port Areas" Group		\$11,751,450	
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$235,029,000</b>	