

Contents

Report of the Director.....	5
Reporting Requirements of the Statute.....	6
Regulations.....	6
Summary and Analysis of Reports by Judges	7
Intercept Orders, Extensions, and Locations.....	7
Criminal Offenses.....	8
Summary of Analysis and Reports by Prosecuting Officials.....	8
Lengths and Numbers of Intercepts	9
Costs of Intercepts	9
Methods of Surveillance	9
Arrests and Convictions	10
Summary of Reports for Years Ending December 31, 2000 Through 2010	10
Supplementary Reports	11

Text Tables

Table 1	
Jurisdictions with Statutes Authorizing the Interception of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications	12
Table 2	
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010	13
Table 3	
Major Offenses for which Court-Authorized Intercepts Were Granted	17
Table 4	
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications	20
Table 5	
Average Cost per Order	24
Table 6	
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed	27
Table 7	
Authorized Intercepts Granted Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519.....	31
Table 8	
Summary of Supplementary Reports for Intercepts Terminated in Calendar Years 2001 Through 2009	32
Table 9	
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Intercepts Installed in Calendar Years 2000 Through 2010.....	37
Table 10	
Summary of Intercept Orders Issued by Federal Judges January 1 Through December 31, 2010.....	38

Appendix Tables

Table A-1: United States District Courts	
Report by Judges.....	40
Table A-2: United States District Courts	
Supplementary Report by Prosecutors	106
Table B-1: State Courts	
Report by Judges.....	130
Table B-2: State Courts	
Supplementary Report by Prosecutors	322

Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts

on Applications for Orders Authorizing or Approving the Interception of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications

The Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 requires the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO) to report to Congress the number and nature of federal and state applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. The statute requires that specific information be provided to the AO, including the offense(s) under investigation, the location of the intercept, the cost of the surveillance, and the number of arrests, trials, and convictions that directly result from the surveillance. This report covers intercepts concluded between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2010, and provides supplementary information on arrests and convictions resulting from intercepts concluded in prior years.

A total of 3,194 intercepts authorized by federal and state courts were completed in 2010, an increase of 34 percent compared to the number reported in 2009. The number of applications for orders reported by federal authorities was 1,207. The number of applications reported by state prosecuting officials was 1,987, with 25 states providing reports. Installed wiretaps were in operation an average of 40 days per wiretap in 2010, compared to 42 days in 2009. The average number of persons whose communications were intercepted rose from 113 per wiretap order in 2009 to 118 per wiretap order in 2010. Twenty-six percent of intercepted communications in 2010 were incriminating.

Public Law 106-197 amended 18 U.S.C. § 2519(2)(b) to require that reporting should reflect the number of wiretap applications granted for which encryption was encountered and whether such encryption prevented law enforcement officials from obtaining the plain text of communications intercepted pursuant to the court orders. In 2010, six instances were reported of encryption encountered during a state wiretap; however, this did not prevent officials from obtaining the plain text of the communications.

The appendix tables of this report list all intercepts reported by judges and prosecuting officials for 2010. Appendix Table A-1 shows reports filed by federal judges and federal prosecuting officials. Appendix Table B-1 presents the same information for state judges and state prosecuting officials. Appendix Tables A-2 and B-2 contain information from the supplementary reports submitted by prosecuting officials about additional arrests and trials in 2010 arising from intercepts initially reported in prior years.

Public Law 111-174 amended 18 U.S.C. § 2519 to change the deadlines for federal and state judges to submit reports to the AO on approved or denied orders for wiretaps and for prosecutors to submit data to the AO on wiretap orders. Judges now must submit reports to the AO by January 31 on all wiretap orders they acted on during the previous calendar year. Prosecutors now must submit reports to the AO by March 31 on wiretap orders they applied for during the previous calendar year. In addition, the Director of the AO now must submit this annual report of wiretap activity to Congress in June.

This office, as is customary, sends a letter to the appropriate officials every year reminding them of the statutory mandate to report wiretap data. Nevertheless, each year reports are received after the deadline has passed, and the filing of some reports may be delayed to avoid jeopardizing ongoing investigations. A total of 566 federal prosecutors' reports and 267 state and local prosecutors' reports on wiretap activity in 2010 were not submitted. Information received after the deadline will be included in next year's *Wiretap Report*. The AO is grateful for the cooperation and the prompt response we received from many officials around the nation.


James C. Duff
Director

Applications for Orders Authorizing or Approving the Interception of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications

Reporting Requirements of the Statute

Each federal and state judge is required to file a separate written report with the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO) on each application for a court order authorizing the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication (18 U.S.C. § 2519(1)). The reports for all wiretap orders must be submitted to the AO by January 31 of the subsequent year after expiration of the court order (after all extensions have expired) or after the denial of the application. The report must include the name of the prosecuting official who applied for the order, the criminal offense under investigation, the type of interception device, the physical location of the device, and the duration of the intercept.

Prosecuting officials who applied for interception orders, including the Attorney General of the United States or his or her designee at the federal level and any prosecuting attorneys with statutory authority at the state level, are required to submit reports to the AO by March 31 on all orders that expired during the previous calendar year. These reports contain information related to the cost of the intercept, the number of days the intercept device was in operation, the total number of intercepts, and the number of incriminating intercepts recorded. Results of the interception orders such as arrests, trials, convictions, and the number of motions to suppress evidence are also noted in these reports. Neither the judges' reports nor the prosecuting officials' reports, however, include the names, addresses, or phone numbers of parties investigated. The AO is **not** authorized by statute to collect this information.

This document tabulates the number of applications for interceptions that were granted or denied, as reported by judges, as well as the number of authorizations for which devices were installed, as reported by prosecuting officials. No statistics are collected on the number of devices used in conjunction with each order. This document does not reflect interceptions regulated by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (FISA).

No report to the AO is needed when an order is issued with the consent of one of the principal parties to

the communication. Also, no report to the AO is required for the use of a pen register (a device attached to a telephone line that records or decodes impulses identifying the numbers dialed from that line) unless the pen register is used in conjunction with any wiretap devices whose use must be recorded.

On May 27, 2010, Public Law 111-174 adjusted the deadlines for the submission of wiretap reports. Previously, 18 U.S.C. § 2519(1) required federal and state judges to submit reports to the AO no later than 30 days after the expiration of an approved order or the denial of an order for a wiretap, and 18 U.S.C. § 2519(2) required prosecutors to submit information to the AO no later than January on wiretap orders they had applied for during the preceding calendar year. According to the amended provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 2519, judges must now submit reports to the AO no later than January 31 on all wiretap orders they acted on during the previous calendar year, and prosecutors must submit reports to the AO on wiretap orders applied for during the previous calendar year no later than March 31. In addition, the statute now provides that the Director of the AO shall submit this annual report of wiretap activity to Congress in June (previously, this report was due to Congress in April).

Regulations

The Director of the AO is empowered to develop and revise the reporting regulations and reporting forms for collecting information on intercepts. Copies of the regulations, the reporting forms, and the federal wiretap statute may be obtained by writing to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Statistics Division, Washington, DC 20544.

Table 1 reveals that 47 jurisdictions (the federal government, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and 44 states) currently have laws that authorize courts to issue orders permitting wire, oral, or electronic surveillance. During 2010, a total of 26 jurisdictions reported using at least one of these types of surveillance as an investigative tool.

Summary and Analysis of Reports by Judges

Data on applications for wiretaps terminated during calendar year 2010 appear in Appendix Tables A-1 (federal) and B-1 (state). The reporting numbers used in the appendix tables are reference numbers assigned by the AO; these numbers do not correspond to the authorization or application numbers used by the reporting jurisdictions. The same AO-assigned reporting number is required for any supplemental information submitted for an intercept that appears in subsequent volumes of the *Wiretap Report*.

The number of federal and state wiretaps reported in 2010 increased 34 percent. A total of 3,194 wiretaps were reported as authorized in 2010, with 1,207 authorized by federal judges and 1,987 authorized by state judges. One application was denied. Compared to the numbers approved during 2009, the number of applications reported as approved by federal judges rose 82 percent in 2010, and the number of applications approved by state judges increased 16 percent. These increases were due, at least in part, to enhanced AO efforts to ensure that federal and state authorities were aware of their reporting responsibilities under 18 U.S.C. § 2519. In August 2009, the AO revised the wiretap form by separating Part 1 (completed by judges) from Part 2 (completed by federal or state prosecutors) of the form. This enabled judges to submit Part 1 of the wiretap form independently of Part 2, thereby enhancing the accuracy of the AO reports. The impact of the form revision was reflected to some degree in the *2009 Wiretap Report*, but is reflected more fully in this *2010 Wiretap Report*.

Wiretap applications in California, New York, and New Jersey accounted for 68 percent of all applications approved by state judges (see table below). In 2010, a to-

tal of 106 separate state jurisdictions (including counties, cities, and judicial districts) submitted reports, compared to 108 in 2009.

Intercept Orders, Extensions, and Locations

Table 2 presents the number of intercept orders issued in each jurisdiction that provided reports, the number of extensions granted, the average lengths of the original periods authorized and any extensions, the total number of days in operation, and the locations of the communications intercepted. Most state laws limit the period of surveillance under an original order to 30 days. This period, however, can be lengthened by one or more extensions if the authorizing judge determines that additional time is justified.

During 2010, the average length of an original authorization was 29 days, the same average length as in 2009. In total, 1,925 extensions were requested and authorized in 2010, an increase of 18 percent. The average length of an extension was 29 days. For federal intercepts terminated in 2010, the longest intercept occurred in the Southern District of California, where the original order was extended six times to complete a 210-day wiretap used in a narcotics investigation. A report for another federal wiretap that was submitted in 2010 for a previous reporting period indicated that an order in the District of Alaska was extended 330 days for a corruption investigation. The longest state wiretap, which was used in a narcotics investigation conducted by Queens County, New York, was employed for a total of 559 days. The second-longest state wiretap, which also was performed in Queens County, New York, was used in a corruption investigation for a total of 540 days.

The most frequently noted location in wiretap applications was “portable device,” a category that includes

States With Largest Numbers of Applications Approved by State Judges		
State	Number of Applications	Percent of Total
California	657	33
New York	480	24
New Jersey	215	11

cellular telephones and digital pagers. In recent years, the number of wiretaps involving fixed locations has declined as the use of mobile communications, including text messaging from cellular telephones, has become increasingly widespread. In 2010, a total of 96 percent (3,053 wiretaps) of all authorized wiretaps were designated as portable devices.

The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (18 U.S.C. § 2518(11)) and the Intelligence Authorization Act of 1999 (18 U.S.C. § 2518(11)(b)) provide that prosecutors, upon showing probable cause to believe that the party being investigated is avoiding intercepts at a particular site, may use relaxed specification or “roving” wiretaps to target specific persons by using electronic devices at multiple locations rather than a specific telephone or location. For 2010, one federal wiretap was designated as roving. Seventeen state authorizations were approved as roving wiretaps.


Criminal Offenses

Drug offenses were the most prevalent type of criminal offenses investigated using wiretaps. Homicide was the second-most frequently cited crime, followed by racketeering. Table 3 indicates that 84 percent of all applications for intercepts (2,675 wiretaps) in 2010 cited illegal drugs as the most serious offense under investigation. Many applications for court orders revealed that multiple criminal offenses were under investigation, but Table 3 includes only the most serious criminal offense listed on the application.


Many wiretaps were requested to conduct federal drug investigations in the Central District of California (61 applications), the Southern District of Texas (51 applications), and the Northern District of Illinois (42 applications). On the state level, the largest numbers of drug-related wiretaps were reported by Los Angeles County of California (182 applications), Queens County of New York (173 applications), and San Bernardino County of California (110 applications). Nationally, homicide was specified as the most serious offense in 5 percent of applications; racketeering was specified in less than 4 percent.

Summary of Analysis and Reports by Prosecuting Officials

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519(2), prosecuting officials must submit reports to the AO no later than March 31 of each year for wiretaps terminated during the previous calendar year. Appendix Tables A-1 and B-1 contain information from all prosecutors’ reports submitted for 2010. Federal and state judges submitted 566 reports and 267 reports, respectively, for which the AO received no corresponding reports from prosecuting officials. Table 10 shows the total number of intercept orders authorized by federal judges, by jurisdiction, through December 31, 2010. For state authorizations, the entry “NP” (no prosecutor’s report) appears in the appendix tables. Some of the prosecutors’ reports were received



Drugs as the Major Offense



too late to include in this document, and some prosecutors delayed filing reports to avoid jeopardizing ongoing investigations; information from these reports should appear in future volumes of the *Wiretap Report*.

Lengths and Numbers of Intercepts

In 2010, installed wiretaps were in operation for an average of 40 days, 2 days less than in 2009. The federal wiretap with the most intercepts occurred in the Southern District of California, where a narcotics investigation involving cellular telephones resulted in the interception of 74,715 messages over 210 days. The second-highest number of intercepts stemmed from a cellular telephone wiretap in the Western District of Missouri for a narcotics investigation; this wiretap was active for 118 days and resulted in a total of 74,144 interceptions.

The state wiretap with the most intercepts was conducted in Queens County, New York, where a 62-day wiretap in a corruption investigation involving cell phone interceptions resulted in the interception of 134,410 messages. A wiretap installed in Gwinnett County, Georgia, lasted 415 days and generated 88,518 cellular telephone and text message interceptions.

Public Law 106-197 amended 18 U.S.C. § 2519(2)(b) in 2001 to require that reporting should reflect the number of wiretap applications granted in which encryption was encountered and whether such encryption prevented law enforcement officials from obtaining the plain text of the communications intercepted pursuant to the court orders. In 2010, encryption was reported

during six state wiretaps, but did not prevent officials from obtaining the plain text of the communications.


Costs of Intercepts

Table 5 provides a summary of expenses related to wiretaps in 2010. The expenditures noted reflect the cost of installing intercept devices and monitoring communications for the 2,211 authorizations for which reports included cost data. The average cost of intercept devices in 2010 was \$50,085, down 4 percent from the average cost in 2009. For federal wiretaps for which expenses were reported in 2010, the average cost was \$63,566, a 2 percent increase from 2009. The cost of a state wiretap ranged from a low of \$68 in Morris County, New Jersey, to a high of \$1,697,030 for a murder investigation in Cape & Islands, Massachusetts.

Methods of Surveillance

The three major categories of surveillance are wire, oral, and electronic communications. For many years, nearly all intercepts involved telephone (wire) surveillance, primarily communications made via conventional telephone lines; the remainder involved microphone (oral) surveillance. A third category was added for reporting electronic communications with the passage of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986. These communications usually are made through digital-display paging devices, fax machines, text messaging, and computer transmissions.

Table 6 presents the type of surveillance method used for each intercept installed. The most common



method reported was wire surveillance that used a telephone (land line, cellular, cordless, or mobile). Telephone wiretaps accounted for 97 percent (2,253 cases) of the intercepts installed in 2010, the majority of them involving cellular telephones.

Arrests and Convictions

Data on individuals arrested and convicted as a result of interceptions reported as terminated are presented in Table 6. As of December 31, 2010, a total of 4,711 persons had been arrested (up 4 percent from 2009), and 800 persons had been convicted (up 18 percent from 2009). Federal wiretaps were responsible for 48 percent of the arrests and 37 percent of the convictions arising from wiretaps for this period. The Southern District of Florida reported the most arrests for a wiretap; a wiretap used in a narcotics investigation in that district in 2010 yielded the arrest of 71 individuals with 35 convictions. A narcotics investigation in the District of Connecticut for 2009 resulted in the arrest of 52 individuals with 50 convictions. The table on page 11 presents the three state wiretaps for which the most arrests were reported.

Federal and state prosecutors often note the importance of wiretap surveillance in obtaining arrests and convictions. A wiretap in a federal narcotics investigation in the Northern District of Georgia uncovered incriminating cellular telephone communications that led to the seizure of \$3,304,711 in cash, 48 kilos of cocaine, 60 pounds of crystal methamphetamine, and 600 pounds of marijuana.

In the Western District of Kentucky, the reporting officials stated that a narcotics investigation identified illegal activity that resulted in the arrests of 22 individuals and the seizure of \$4,000,000 in cash, 13 vehicles, 16 firearms, 42 kilos of cocaine, and 3 pounds of marijuana. At the state level, a wiretap in a murder investigation in Los Angeles County, California, revealed “a series of approximately 3 murders, 9 attempted murders, and 4 shootings (11 separate incidents)” involving members of a gang. Several separate state jurisdictions reported that interceptions were instrumental for identifying and investigating sophisticated drug-trafficking organizations that were operating in the United States.

Summary of Reports for Years Ending December 31, 2000 Through 2010

Table 7 presents data on interceptions reported each year from 2000 to 2010. The number of authorized intercept applications reported by year increased 168 percent between 2000 and 2010. The majority of the wiretaps have consistently been used for drug crime investigations, which accounted for 75 percent of intercepts in 2000 (894 applications) and 84 percent (2,678 applications) in 2010. Table 9 presents the total numbers of arrests and convictions resulting from intercepts terminated in calendar years 2000 through 2010.

State Wiretaps Resulting in the Most Arrests		
County and State	Type of Offense	Number of Arrests
Marion County, IN	Narcotics	125
Queens, NY	Narcotics	90
Queens, NY	Narcotics	54

Supplementary Reports

Under 18 U.S.C. § 2519(2), prosecuting officials must file supplementary reports on additional court or police activity occurring as a result of intercepts reported in prior years. Because many wiretap orders are related to large-scale criminal investigations that cross county and state boundaries, supplemental reports are necessary to fulfill reporting requirements. Arrests, trials, and convictions resulting from these interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was

first reported. Appendix Tables A-2 and B-2 provide detailed data from the supplementary reports submitted.

During 2010, a total of 2,852 arrests, 2,504 convictions, and additional costs of \$39,259,171 arose from and were reported for wiretaps completed in previous years. Seventy percent of the supplemental reports of additional activity in 2010 involved wiretaps terminated in 2009. Interceptions concluded in 2009 led to 66 percent of arrests, 49 percent of convictions, and 82 percent of expenditures noted in the supplementary reports.

Table 1
Jurisdictions with Statutes Authorizing the Interception
of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
Effective During the Period January 1 Through December 31, 2010*

Jurisdiction	Statutory Citation**	Reported Use of Wiretap in 2010	Number of Orders Authorized in 2010
Federal	18:2510 - 2520	Yes	1,207
Alaska	12.37	No	-
Arizona	ARS 13-3010 - 13-3018	Yes	28
California	Penal Code Sections 629.50-629.98	Yes	657
Colorado	16-15-102	Yes	102
Connecticut	54-41a - 54-41t	Yes	9
Delaware	11 Del.C.Chap.24	No	-
District of Columbia	23-541 - 23-556	No	-
Florida	934.01 - 934.10	Yes	143
Georgia	16-11-64	Yes	33
Hawaii	803-41 - 803-48	No	-
Idaho	18-6701 - 18-6710	Yes	1
Illinois	725 ILCS SEC.5/108B	Yes	28
Indiana	35-33.5-3-1	Yes	1
Iowa	808B.1 - 808B.9	No	-
Kansas	22-2514 - 22-2516	Yes	8
Louisiana	Act No. 121 3B No.233 15:1308(A)(2)	No	-
Maine	15 M.R.S.A. Sec 709 et seq.	No	-
Maryland	10-401 - 10-411	Yes	84
Massachusetts	272:99	Yes	6
Minnesota	626A.01 - 626A.21	No	-
Mississippi	41-29-501	Yes	8
Missouri	33-542.400 - 542.422	No	-
Nebraska	86-290 - 86-294	No	-
Nevada	NRS 179.410 - 179.515, 199.540, 200.610 - 200.690	Yes	43
New Hampshire	570-A:1 - A:11	No	-
New Jersey	2A-156A-1 - 156A-34	Yes	215
New Mexico	30-12-2 - 30-12-11	No	-
New York	CPL Article 700	Yes	480
North Carolina	N.C.G.S. 15A-286	Yes	1
North Dakota	29-29.2	No	-
Ohio	2933.51 - 2933.66	Yes	4
Oklahoma	13 O.S. 176.1 - 176.14	Yes	14
Oregon	ORS 133.721 - 133.739	Yes	29
Pennsylvania	18 Pa.C.S. Sec 5701-5728	Yes	49
Rhode Island	12-5.1-1 - 12-5.1-16	No	-
South Carolina	SC Code Section 17-30-10 et. seq.	No	-
South Dakota	23A - 35A	No	-
Tennessee	40-6-301 - 40-6-311	Yes	35
Texas	Crim. Proc. Sec. 18.20	Yes	2
Utah	77-23a-1 - 77-23a-16	No	-
Virgin Islands	5 V.I.C. Sec 4101-4107	No	-
Virginia	19.2-61	Yes	2
Washington	9.73	No	-
West Virginia	62-1D-11	No	-
Wisconsin	968.27 - 968.33	No	-
Wyoming	7-3-701 - 7-3-712	Yes	5

* Pursuant to provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, 18 U.S.C. § 2519.

** Includes only those jurisdictions that enacted legislation during or before calendar year 2010.

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
TOTAL	3,194	142	833	50	2,311	1,925	29	29	93,078	13	7	3,053	37	64	18	2
FEDERAL	1,207	14	566	-	641	543	30	30	23,487	4	1	1,198	1	-	1	2
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	15	3	-	1	14	39	30	24	1,046	-	-	13	2	-	-	-
PIMA	13	-	1	-	12	5	30	30	450	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
IMPERIAL	17	-	5	-	12	2	30	30	315	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
KERN	13	-	-	-	13	-	30	-	272	-	-	12	1	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	206	-	-	7	199	74	30	30	7,099	1	-	199	6	-	-	-
MERCED	2	-	-	-	2	3	18	18	90	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	12	-	-	-	12	4	30	30	323	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	37	-	-	2	35	-	30	-	919	-	-	37	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	74	-	-	1	73	13	30	30	2,154	-	-	74	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	31	-	-	-	31	10	29	24	815	-	-	31	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	116	-	1	13	102	48	30	29	3,851	-	-	116	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	77	-	8	-	69	43	29	30	2,413	-	-	77	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	55	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	14	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	19	-	-	1	18	1	23	28	421	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5	-	-	-	5	1	30	30	138	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
SONOMA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	14	-	-	-	14	6	30	30	410	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
SUTTER	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	14	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TULARE	7	-	7	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	18	-	-	-	18	4	30	30	321	-	-	17	1	-	-	-
COLORADO																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	19	-	-	-	19	14	30	30	861	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	2	-	-	-	2	-	22	-	17	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	33	8	14	2	17	9	30	30	451	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	5	-	-	-	5	-	24	-	86	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	9	-	9	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	13	-	1	-	12	1	30	30	299	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
ADAMS	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	30	131	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	-	7	-	10	-	30	-	253	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT																
HARTFORD	7	-	4	-	3	3	15	15	34	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	1	-	1	-	15	-	7	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	37	-	-	-	37	14	30	30	1,196	-	-	37	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)																
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	3	-	-	10	1	30	30	247	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	33	-	-	-	33	10	30	30	1,187	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	34	3	16	-	18	12	30	30	553	-	-	34	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	3	-	-	28	2	30	30	624	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA																
CLAYTON	20	-	-	-	20	1	30	30	586	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	13	12	10	-	3	38	30	29	684	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
IDAHO																
BONNER	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																
COOK	23	-	1	2	20	1	30	30	541	-	-	23	-	-	-	-
DUPAGE	5	-	-	-	5	6	30	30	255	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
INDIANA																
MARION	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	37	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
KANSAS																
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEDGWICK)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	7	1	7	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	31	-	-	2	29	15	30	30	849	-	-	30	-	-	1	-
BALTIMORE CITY	22	1	15	-	7	16	30	30	267	-	-	22	-	-	-	-
FREDERICK	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	27	-	11	1	15	13	30	30	395	-	-	27	-	-	-	-
WICOMICO	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	81	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
BRISTOL	1	-	-	-	1	2	15	15	43	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CAPE & ISLANDS	3	2	-	-	3	3	15	15	90	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	2	-	-	-	2	2	15	15	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	-	-	-	8	2	30	30	218	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
NEVADA																
CLARK	42	2	1	3	38	6	30	30	1,149	-	-	42	-	-	-	-
LYON	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
ATLANTIC	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	108	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	12	-	-	-	12	2	27	10	181	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	1	-	1	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)																
CAPE MAY	4	2	2	-	2	-	19	-	26	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	13	3	8	1	4	-	13	-	38	-	-	8	1	4	-	-
ESSEX	16	-	-	-	16	-	20	-	282	-	-	3	-	13	-	-
GLOUCESTER	13	4	4	1	8	10	19	30	92	1	-	8	-	3	1	-
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	5	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	5	2	-	-	5	1	30	30	140	-	-	3	-	-	2	-
MORRIS	28	-	7	-	21	4	15	25	250	-	-	15	-	13	-	-
OCEAN	15	3	1	3	11	4	22	15	186	-	-	11	-	3	1	-
SALEM	11	-	1	-	10	1	16	10	122	-	-	4	-	7	-	-
SOMERSET	16	-	7	-	9	7	20	10	163	-	-	14	2	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	67	5	52	2	13	29	23	25	388	2	-	51	1	4	9	-
UNION	11	1	8	-	3	-	24	-	60	-	-	6	-	5	-	-
NEW YORK																
MONROE	20	5	6	1	13	10	30	30	384	-	-	15	-	5	-	-
NASSAU	3	2	1	-	2	23	30	25	274	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
NEW YORK	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	30	161	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	16	10	6	-	10	44	30	24	936	-	-	12	4	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	90	9	1	-	89	41	30	31	2,844	2	-	85	-	-	3	-
ONONDAGA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	4	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	261	14	2	6	253	607	28	29	22,975	1	3	257	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	1	1	-	-	1	2	30	12	54	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
RICHMOND	7	1	-	-	7	16	30	26	629	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	8	-	-	-	8	70	30	25	1,860	-	2	6	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	57	20	10	-	47	54	30	30	2,152	-	1	49	6	1	-	-
WAYNE	11	2	8	-	3	1	27	30	81	1	-	9	-	1	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA																
WAKE	1	-	1	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
OHIO																
HAMILTON	4	-	1	-	3	1	30	30	101	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	-	-	-	14	-	30	-	353	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
OREGON																
LANE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	-	-	1	27	-	30	-	510	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BERKS	3	1	-	-	3	-	30	-	42	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	175	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
LAWRENCE	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	18	-	6	-	12	2	27	30	216	-	-	15	1	2	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
PENNSYLVANIA (CONTINUED)																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	-	5	-	16	-	27	-	323	-	-	19	-	2	-	-
TENNESSEE																
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	33	2	-	-	33	11	30	30	854	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
HARRIS	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	43	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
WYOMING																
CAMPBELL	4	-	-	-	4	-	23	-	91	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
LARAMIE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	15	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.

Table 3
Major Offenses for Which Court-Authorized Intercepts Were Granted
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519
January 1 Through December 31, 2010

Reporting Jurisdiction	Total	Conspiracy	Corruption	Gambling	Homicide and Assault	Kidnapping	Larceny, Theft, and Robbery	Narcotics	Racketeering	Other
TOTAL	3,194	4	53	31	174	2	63	2,675	117	75
FEDERAL	1,207	3	3	1	10	-	1	1,128	25	36
ARIZONA										
MARICOPA	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	1	-
PIMA	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-
CALIFORNIA										
IMPERIAL	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-
KERN	13	-	-	-	1	-	-	10	-	2
LOS ANGELES	206	-	-	-	23	-	-	182	-	1
MERCED	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
MONTEREY	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-
ORANGE	37	-	-	-	1	-	-	36	-	-
RIVERSIDE	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	74	-	-
SACRAMENTO	31	-	-	-	12	-	-	19	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	116	-	-	-	3	2	-	110	-	1
SAN DIEGO	77	-	-	-	11	-	-	66	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2
SANTA BARBARA	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
SONOMA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
STANISLAUS	14	-	-	-	9	-	-	5	-	-
SUTTER	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	7	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	18	-	-	-	8	-	-	9	-	1
COLORADO										
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	33	-	-	-	5	-	-	28	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-
ADAMS	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-
CONNECTICUT										
HARTFORD	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
FLORIDA										
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	37	-	-	-	1	-	-	35	-	1
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-

Table 3
Major Offenses for Which Court-Authorized Intercepts Were Granted
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Total	Conspiracy	Corruption	Gambling	Homicide and Assault	Kidnapping	Larceny, Theft, and Robbery	Narcotics	Racketeering	Other
GEORGIA										
CLAYTON	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	-
GWINNETT	13	-	-	-	-	-	1	12	-	-
IDAHO										
BONNER	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS										
COOK	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	-
DUPAGE	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
INDIANA										
MARION	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
KANSAS										
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEDGWICK)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
SHAWNEE	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
MARYLAND										
BALTIMORE	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-
FREDERICK	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
HARFORD	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-
WICOMICO	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS										
BRISTOL	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAPE & ISLANDS	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
MISSISSIPPI										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
NEVADA										
CLARK	42	-	-	-	1	-	-	41	-	-
LYON	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
NEW JERSEY										
ATLANTIC	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
BERGEN	12	-	-	2	-	-	2	8	-	-
BURLINGTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
CUMBERLAND	13	-	-	-	3	-	3	1	-	6
ESSEX	16	-	-	-	2	-	-	14	-	-
GLOUCESTER	13	-	-	-	10	-	2	-	-	1
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	3	-
MORRIS	28	-	-	-	12	-	-	16	-	-
OCEAN	15	-	-	-	3	-	-	12	-	-
SALEM	11	-	-	-	6	-	-	4	1	-
SOMERSET	16	-	-	-	1	-	-	13	-	2
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	67	-	-	-	1	-	-	46	16	4
UNION	11	-	-	-	5	-	-	1	5	-

Table 3
Major Offenses for Which Court-Authorized Intercepts Were Granted
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2519
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Total	Conspiracy	Corruption	Gambling	Homicide and Assault	Kidnapping	Larceny, Theft, and Robbery	Narcotics	Racketeering	Other
NEW YORK										
MONROE	20	-	-	3	5	-	-	12	-	-
NASSAU	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
NEW YORK	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	16	-	2	-	2	-	1	9	-	2
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	90	-	-
ONONDAGA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
QUEENS	261	-	47	4	-	-	36	173	-	1
RENSSELAER	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	7	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	8	-	-	7	-	-	-	1	-	-
SARATOGA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
SUFFOLK	57	1	-	5	-	-	5	39	-	7
WAYNE	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA										
WAKE	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
OHIO										
HAMILTON	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	3
OKLAHOMA										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	-	-	-	2	-	-	12	-	-
OREGON										
LANE	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-
PENNSYLVANIA										
BERKS	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
CHESTER	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	18	-	-	9	3	-	-	6	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	-	1	-	-	-	-	16	1	3
TENNESSEE										
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	33	-	-	-	5	-	-	28	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS										
HARRIS	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
VIRGINIA										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING										
CAMPBELL	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
LARAMIE	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2010*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TOTAL	3,194	2,311	118	3,199	603
FEDERAL	1,207	641	121	3,463	655
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	15	14	170	11,622	2,765
PIMA	13	12	4	3,439	338
CALIFORNIA					
IMPERIAL	17	12	215	4,272	278
KERN	13	13	40	1,052	270
LOS ANGELES	206	199	307	3,239	415
MERCED	2	2	59	1,089	355
MONTEREY	12	12	112	2,348	711
ORANGE	37	35	55	1,547	274
RIVERSIDE	74	73	88	2,048	304
SACRAMENTO	31	31	25	1,671	138
SAN BERNARDINO	116	102	103	2,619	259
SAN DIEGO	77	69	52	3,196	558
SAN JOAQUIN	4	4	107	1,664	79
SAN MATEO	3	3	3	1,122	148
SANTA BARBARA	19	18	37	2,562	285
SANTA CLARA	5	5	60	5,225	261
SONOMA	1	1	125	2,581	260
STANISLAUS	14	14	84	2,054	329
SUTTER	1	1	55	7,866	155
TULARE	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
VENTURA	18	18	69	2,525	433
COLORADO					
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	19	19	NR	2,351	401
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	2	2	6	1,293	227
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	33	17	48	1,395	305
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	5	5	21	1,620	215
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	9	NP	NP	NP	NP
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	13	12	12	1,220	180
ADAMS	3	3	26	6,055	824
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	10	NR	954	106
CONNECTICUT					
HARTFORD	7	3	52	865	206
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	1	37	790	25

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**			
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	
FLORIDA						
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	37	37	116	2,892	261	
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	10	8	3,575	238	
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	56	1,376	1,376	
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOL)	33	33	93	3,515	127	
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	34	18	79	1,808	217	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	28	27	1,323	275	
GEORGIA						
CLAYTON	20	20	100	2,947	451	
GWINNETT	13	3	1,386	53,077	8,660	
IDAHO						
BONNER	1	1	1	380	10	
ILLINOIS						
COOK	23	20	20	1,772	407	
DUPAGE	5	5	28	1,340	402	
INDIANA						
MARION	1	1	100	9,630	2,979	
KANSAS						
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEDGWICK)	1	NP	NP	NP	NP	
SHAWNEE	7	NP	NP	NP	NP	
MARYLAND						
BALTIMORE	31	29	34	989	115	
BALTIMORE CITY	22	7	204	4,420	280	
FREDERICK	2	1	227	10,512	420	
HARFORD	27	15	292	1,869	424	
WICOMICO	2	2	139	3,990	426	
MASSACHUSETTS						
BRISTOL	1	1	39	16,632	NR	
CAPE & ISLANDS	3	3	22	303	45	
HAMPTON	2	2	10	2,945	164	
MISSISSIPPI						
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	148	2,709	379	
NEVADA						
CLARK	42	38	109	1,394	312	
LYON	1	NP	NP	NP	NP	
NEW JERSEY						
ATLANTIC	2	2	485	6,963	765	
BERGEN	12	12	23	645	79	

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)					
BURLINGTON	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
CAPE MAY	4	2	63	1,197	307
CUMBERLAND	13	4	29	454	18
ESSEX	16	16	3	42	40
GLOUCESTER	13	8	40	531	17
MIDDLESEX	1	1	1	49	1
MONMOUTH	5	5	34	8,041	856
MORRIS	28	21	33	852	108
OCEAN	15	11	6	1,687	525
SALEM	11	10	31	733	79
SOMERSET	16	9	127	2,366	273
STATE ATTORNEY	67	13	59	4,341	197
GENERAL					
UNION	11	3	13	1,409	131
NEW YORK					
MONROE	20	13	237	2,417	322
NASSAU	3	2	516	37,616	9,955
NEW YORK	3	3	93	8,680	1,018
NY ORGANIZED CRIME	16	10	112	25,951	2,679
TASK FORCE					
NYC SPECIAL	90	89	25	1,810	355
NARCOTICS BUREAU					
ONONDAGA	1	1	10	337	109
QUEENS	261	253	165	4,324	1,533
RENSSELAER	1	1	1	2,558	66
RICHMOND	7	7	50	9,337	234
ROCKLAND	8	8	56	14,243	3,185
SARATOGA	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
SUFFOLK	57	47	70	3,669	416
WAYNE	11	3	18	656	50
WESTCHESTER	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
NORTH CAROLINA					
WAKE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
OHIO					
HAMILTON	4	3	58	830	193
OKLAHOMA					
STATE ATTORNEY	14	14	59	2,433	515
GENERAL					
OREGON					
LANE	1	1	4	6	1
STATE ATTORNEY	28	27	50	804	156
GENERAL					
PENNSYLVANIA					
BERKS	3	3	39	1,271	217
CHESTER	5	5	213	4,622	552
CUMBERLAND	1	1	2	1	1
LAWRENCE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
PENNSYLVANIA (CONTINUED)					
MONTGOMERY	18	12	50	711	121
STATE ATTORNEY	21	16	46	1,489	399
GENERAL					
TENNESSEE					
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	33	33	13	1,633	443
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS					
HARRIS	2	1	119	1,747	581
VIRGINIA					
STATE ATTORNEY	2	2	64	1,404	26
GENERAL					
WYOMING					
CAMPBELL	4	4	61	1,519	161
LARAMIE	1	1	9	4,654	1,712

* NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

** Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2010*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
TOTAL	2,311	2,211	50,085
FEDERAL	641	637	63,566
ARIZONA			
MARICOPA	14	14	210,510
PIMA	12	12	26,252
CALIFORNIA			
IMPERIAL	12	5	55,638
KERN	13	12	35,397
LOS ANGELES	199	195	38,837
MERCED	2	2	151,900
MONTEREY	12	12	89,375
ORANGE	35	35	30,438
RIVERSIDE	73	67	38,833
SACRAMENTO	31	31	20,390
SAN BERNARDINO	102	99	28,997
SAN DIEGO	69	67	29,217
SAN JOAQUIN	4	4	23,639
SAN MATEO	3	3	12,054
SANTA BARBARA	18	18	22,925
SANTA CLARA	5	5	33,344
SONOMA	1	1	39,806
STANISLAUS	14	13	31,375
SUTTER	1	1	21,398
TULARE	NP	NP	NP
VENTURA	18	17	61,880
COLORADO			
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	19	19	16,344
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	2	2	8,061
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	17	17	23,719
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	NP	NP	NP
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	5	5	28,989
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	NP	NP	NP
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	12	12	13,346
ADAMS	3	3	2,211
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	30,959
CONNECTICUT			
HARTFORD	3	3	73,000
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	12,983
FLORIDA			
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	37	37	23,484
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	49,048
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	33	33	11,661
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	18	18	35,694
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	28	19,394

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
GEORGIA			
CLAYTON	20	20	81,191
GWINNETT	3	3	795,437
IDAHO			
BONNER	1	-	-
ILLINOIS			
COOK	20	20	24,622
DUPAGE	5	5	26,600
INDIANA			
MARION	1	1	36,300
KANSAS			
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEDGWICK)	NP	NP	NP
SHAWNEE	NP	NP	NP
MARYLAND			
BALTIMORE	29	26	19,731
BALTIMORE CITY	7	7	7,584
FREDERICK	1	1	27,100
HARFORD	15	13	34,021
WICOMICO	2	2	46,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
BRISTOL	1	1	121,102
CAPE & ISLANDS	3	3	565,677
HAMPTON	2	2	22,004
MISSISSIPPI			
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	7	33,000
NEVADA			
CLARK	38	37	7,239
LYON	NP	NP	NP
NEW JERSEY			
ATLANTIC	2	2	42,500
BERGEN	12	12	9,783
BURLINGTON	NP	NP	NP
CAPE MAY	2	2	8,382
CUMBERLAND	4	3	60,679
ESSEX	16	1	32,759
GLOUCESTER	8	5	36,408
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-
MONMOUTH	5	4	196,977
MORRIS	21	15	75,734
OCEAN	11	8	35,715
SALEM	10	9	12,444
SOMERSET	9	9	5,789
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	10	162,790
UNION	3	-	-

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
NEW YORK			
MONROE	13	13	76,533
NASSAU	2	2	454,170
NEW YORK	3	3	68,702
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	10	10	285,063
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	89	88	1,148
ONONDAGA	1	1	8,000
QUEENS	253	251	18,210
RENSSELAER	1	1	20,230
RICHMOND	7	7	-
ROCKLAND	8	8	143,438
SARATOGA	NP	NP	NP
SUFFOLK	47	46	323,134
WAYNE	3	-	-
WESTCHESTER	NP	NP	NP
NORTH CAROLINA			
WAKE	NP	NP	NP
OHIO			
HAMILTON	3	3	98,232
OKLAHOMA			
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	14	60,386
OREGON			
LANE	1	1	500
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	27	27	6,889
PENNSYLVANIA			
BERKS	3	3	23,788
CHESTER	5	5	58,374
CUMBERLAND	1	1	4,010
LAWRENCE	NP	NP	NP
MONTGOMERY	12	11	9,114
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	16	11	29,357
TENNESSEE			
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	33	31	30,610
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS			
HARRIS	1	1	141,181
VIRGINIA			
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	1	114,204
WYOMING			
CAMPBELL	4	4	28,690
LARAMIE	1	1	16,473

* NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

** Includes costs for orders for which intercepts were installed but not used.

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2010

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	2,311	2,253	15	16	27	4,711	800
FEDERAL	641	635	-	5	1	2,252	296
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	14	12	-	-	2	107	43
PIMA	12	12	-	-	-	55	34
CALIFORNIA							
IMPERIAL	12	12	-	-	-	2	-
KERN	13	13	-	-	-	19	5
LOS ANGELES	199	199	-	-	-	129	7
MERCED	2	2	-	-	-	7	-
MONTEREY	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	35	35	-	-	-	52	4
RIVERSIDE	73	73	-	-	-	52	11
SACRAMENTO	31	31	-	-	-	44	19
SAN BERNARDINO	102	102	-	-	-	6	1
SAN DIEGO	69	69	-	-	-	87	23
SAN JOAQUIN	4	4	-	-	-	4	-
SAN MATEO	3	3	-	-	-	2	-
SANTA BARBARA	18	18	-	-	-	118	47
SANTA CLARA	5	5	-	-	-	11	-
SONOMA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	14	14	-	-	-	2	1
SUTTER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	18	18	-	-	-	16	5
COLORADO							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	17	3	-	1	13	40	12
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	5	5	-	-	-	19	1
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	12	12	-	-	-	39	20
ADAMS	3	3	-	-	-	16	1
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT							
HARTFORD	3	3	-	-	-	6	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	37	37	-	-	-	46	8
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	10	-	-	-	34	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)								
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	33	33	-	-	-	67	-	
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	18	18	-	-	-	27	1	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	24	-	-	4	26	1	
GEORGIA								
CLAYTON	20	20	-	-	-	36	-	
GWINNETT	3	3	-	-	-	53	15	
IDAHO								
BONNER	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	
ILLINOIS								
COOK	20	20	-	-	-	23	4	
DUPAGE	5	5	-	-	-	12	1	
INDIANA								
MARION	1	1	-	-	-	125	1	
KANSAS								
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEDGWICK)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SHAWNEE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND								
BALTIMORE	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE CITY	7	7	-	-	-	22	-	
FREDERICK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
HARFORD	15	15	-	-	-	52	13	
WICOMICO	2	2	-	-	-	18	-	
MASSACHUSETTS								
BRISTOL	1	1	-	-	-	18	-	
CAPE & ISLANDS	3	3	-	-	-	2	-	
HAMPTON	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	
MISSISSIPPI								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA								
CLARK	38	38	-	-	-	6	1	
LYON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC	2	2	-	-	-	15	-	
BERGEN	12	12	-	-	-	24	2	
BURLINGTON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAPE MAY	2	2	-	-	-	28	-	
CUMBERLAND	4	4	-	-	-	4	-	
ESSEX	16	16	-	-	-	11	2	
GLOUCESTER	8	8	-	-	-	4	-	
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	
MONMOUTH	5	5	-	-	-	21	-	

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)							
MORRIS	21	19	2	-	-	12	-
OCEAN	11	11	-	-	-	51	-
SALEM	10	9	1	-	-	38	-
SOMERSET	9	8	-	1	-	63	10
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	10	2	1	-	-	-
UNION	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK							
MONROE	13	9	4	-	-	1	1
NASSAU	2	1	-	-	1	12	5
NEW YORK	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	10	9	-	-	1	63	40
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	89	84	-	5	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	1	1	-	-	-	6	-
QUEENS	253	250	-	2	1	230	79
RENSSELAER	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
RICHMOND	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	8	5	3	-	-	18	18
SARATOGA	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	47	45	-	1	1	93	18
WAYNE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA							
WAKE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO							
HAMILTON	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	14	-	-	-	156	45
OREGON							
LANE	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	27	27	-	-	-	25	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
BERKS	3	3	-	-	-	9	-
CHESTER	5	5	-	-	-	36	2
CUMBERLAND	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	12	11	1	-	-	12	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	16	14	1	-	1	45	-
TENNESSEE							
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	33	33	-	-	-	62	3
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS							
HARRIS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
VIRGINIA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	1	-
WYOMING							
CAMPBELL	4	4	-	-	-	15	-
LARAMIE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

* NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

** Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.

Table 7
Authorized Intercepts Granted Pursuant to
18 U.S.C. § 2519 as Reported in Wiretap Reports
for Calendar Years 2000 - 2010

Wiretap Report Date	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Intercept applications requested	1,190	1,491	1,359	1,442	1,710	1,774	1,839	2,208	1,891	2,376	3,195
Intercept applications authorized	1,190	1,491	1,358	1,442	1,710	1,773	1,839	2,208	1,891	2,376	3,194
Federal	479	486	497	578	730	625	461	457	386	663	1,207
State	711	1,005	861	864	980	1,148	1,378	1,751	1,505	1,713	1,987
Avg. days of original authorization	28	27	29	29	28	28	29	29	29	29	29
Number of extensions	926	1,008	889	1,145	1,341	1,360	1,228	1,701	1,266	1,627	1,925
Average length of extensions (in days)	28	29	29	29	28	28	29	29	29	28	29
Location of authorized intercepts:											
Personal residence	244	206	154	118	83	57	48	27	31	19	13
Business	56	60	37	35	30	21	13	7	6	10	7
Portable device	719	1,007	1,046	1,165	1,507	1,610	1,685	2,078	1,793	2,276	3,053
Multiple locations	109	117	85	95	65	49	53	36	38	55	37
Not indicated or other ¹	62	101	36	29	25	36	40	60	23	16	84
Major offense specified:											
Arson, explosives, and weapons	5	5	-	5	12	3	2	4	1	18	16
Bribery	21	1	3	9	16	4	1	1	3	2	1
Extortion (includes usury and loan-sharking)	10	28	18	6	5	8	6	5	-	3	3
Gambling	49	82	82	49	90	42	56	55	54	35	31
Homicide and assault	72	52	58	80	48	82	119	132	92	82	175
Larceny and theft	15	47	8	48	30	11	19	32	37	35	53
Narcotics	894	1,167	1,052	1,104	1,308	1,433	1,473	1,792	1,593	2,046	2,673
Robbery and burglary	4	8	3	3	9	7	1	4	7	9	11
Racketeering	76	70	72	96	138	94	90	98	58	61	110
Other or unspecified	44	31	62	42	54	89	72	85	46	85	121
Intercept applications installed ²	1,139	1,405	1,273	1,367	1,633	1,694	1,714	2,119	1,809	1,764	2,311
Federal	472	481	490	576	723	624	461	454	384	337	641
State	667	924	783	791	910	1,070	1,253	1,665	1,425	1,427	1,670
For intercepts installed:											
Total days in operation	47,729	53,574	50,025	60,198	69,980	72,897	68,380	93,117	73,509	73,799	93,078
Avg. number of persons intercepted ³	196	86	92	116	126	107	122	94	92	113	118
Average number of intercepted communications ³	1,769	1,565	1,708	3,004	3,017	2,835	2,685	3,106	2,707	3,673	3,199
Average number of incriminating intercepted communications ³	402	333	403	993	619	629	547	920	514	688	603
Authorizations where costs reported	1,080	1,327	1,193	1,236	1,559	1,525	1,576	2,043	1,703	1,564	2,211
Average cost of intercepts for which costs reported	54,829	48,198	54,586	62,164	63,011	55,530	52,551	48,477	47,624	52,200	50,085
Intercept applications authorized but reported after publication ⁴	196	204	185	347	282	346	598	679	684	559	-
Total authorized by year (reported through March 2011)	1,386	1,695	1,543	1,789	1,992	2,119	2,437	2,887	2,575	2,935	3,194

¹ Starting in 2000, location categories were revised to improve reporting and reduce the number of instances in which "other" location was reported.

² Installed intercepts include only those intercepts for which reports were received from prosecuting officials.

³ As of 1998, the average excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.

⁴ Some wiretaps terminated in a given year are not reported until a subsequent year because they are part of ongoing investigations.

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports for Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 2001 Through 2009
(Report as of December 31, 2010)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Number of Persons Convicted
	Number of Reports	Costs in \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*			
					G	D	P	
TOTAL ALL YEARS	1,186	39,259,171	2,852	132	49	1,938	534	2,504
TOTAL 2001	2	-	1	2	-	-	-	6
FEDERAL	2	-	1	2	-	-	-	6
TOTAL 2002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL 2003	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
FEDERAL	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
TOTAL 2004	31	-	99	6	-	8	-	99
FEDERAL	29	-	98	6	-	8	-	97
CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	2
TOTAL 2005	24	-	172	9	11	32	2	193
FEDERAL	22	-	172	9	11	32	2	187
CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
GEORGIA GWINNETT	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
TOTAL 2006	35	1,585,575	94	15	2	20	2	153
FEDERAL	30	1,465,575	88	13	2	20	2	148
CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	2	-	4	-	-	-	-	2
FLORIDA 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
NEW MEXICO 2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)	2	120,000	2	2	-	-	-	2
TOTAL 2007	79	2,164,244	245	17	1	92	10	275
FEDERAL	46	1,493,871	216	16	-	88	3	243
CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	6	-	10	-	-	-	-	7
SACRAMENTO	1	-	14	1	-	1	-	1
COLORADO 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports for Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 2001 Through 2009
(Report as of December 31, 2010) (Continued)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
	Number of Reports	Costs in \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*			Number of Persons Convicted
					G	D	P	
2007 (CONTINUED)								
FLORIDA								
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
GEORGIA								
GWINNETT	1	-	1	-	1	3	7	6
NEVADA								
CLARK	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
NEW JERSEY								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	18	605,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO								
LORAIN	1	64,773	4	-	-	-	-	4
PENNSYLVANIA								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
TOTAL 2008	187	3,437,972	354	38	19	383	201	554
FEDERAL	76	2,402,331	263	8	3	11	3	211
CALIFORNIA								
ALAMEDA	1	-	-	1	-	2	-	7
LOS ANGELES	11	-	8	-	-	-	-	194
SACRAMENTO	1	-	11	-	-	-	-	10
SAN MATEO	1	14,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO								
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	2	-	41	1	-	-	-	39
FLORIDA								
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
GEORGIA								
GWINNETT	4	-	2	1	-	10	4	26
ILLINOIS								
COOK	2	-	-	3	1	4	-	9
INDIANA								
MORGAN	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
KANSAS								
SALINE	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	1
MASSACHUSETTS								
NORFOLK	1	-	4	-	-	1	-	4

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports for Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 2001 Through 2009
(Report as of December 31, 2010) (Continued)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Number of Persons Convicted
	Number of Reports	Costs in \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*			
					G	D	P	
2008 (CONTINUED)								
NEVADA								
CLARK	6	-	-	1	-	1	-	8
DOUGLASS	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY								
BURLINGTON	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	11	461,411	-	-	-	-	-	2
NEW YORK								
NASSAU	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	54	514,280	-	-	15	348	187	-
OHIO								
LORAIN	1	45,000	13	-	-	-	-	6
OREGON								
MULTNOMAH	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
PENNSYLVANIA								
MONTGOMERY	1	-	-	16	-	2	-	2
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	1	6	-	2	7	6
TENNESSEE								
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	3	-	5	-	-	2	-	2
WISCONSIN								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	2	1	-	-	-	15
TOTAL 2009	827	32,071,380	1,887	45	16	1,403	319	1,222
FEDERAL	238	16,584,165	1,086	24	13	11	6	593
ARIZONA								
MARICOPA	3	474,456	23	-	-	-	-	18
PIMA	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	13
CALIFORNIA								
ALAMEDA	1	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	19	177,041	19	1	-	-	-	20
SAN BERNARDINO	110	3,917,657	153	4	-	2	2	44
STANISLAUS	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
COLORADO								
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	4
CONNECTICUT								
ROCKY HILL	3	109,855	14	-	-	-	-	-

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports for Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 2001 Through 2009
(Report as of December 31, 2010) (Continued)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
	Number of Reports	Costs in \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*			Number of Persons Convicted
					G	D	P	
2009 (CONTINUED)								
FLORIDA								
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	27	85,400	20	3	-	-	-	7
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	31,304	-	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	711,334	33	-	-	-	-	1
GEORGIA								
GWINNETT	7	-	11	1	-	2	19	47
ILLINOIS								
COOK	10	114,800	11	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS								
SALINE	2	31,751	25	-	-	-	-	25
MARYLAND								
WICOMICO	2	70,000	1	-	-	-	1	-
NEVADA								
CLARK	8	22,400	-	-	-	1	-	9
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC	4	9,140	1	-	-	-	-	1
BERGEN	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
BURLINGTON	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	10	168,040	126	-	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	4	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
HUDSON	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
HUNTERDON	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
OCEAN	4	34,800	40	-	-	-	-	10
SALEM	2	11,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	32	2,505,075	53	-	-	-	-	8
UNION	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	5
NEW YORK								
NASSAU	4	5,000	7	2	-	2	-	16
NEW YORK	8	1,282,000	66	1	1	23	12	68
ONEIDA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
ONONDAGA	1	321,873	4	-	-	-	2	2
QUEENS	252	2,900,470	33	-	-	1,350	270	68
RICHMOND	3	809,736	32	-	-	4	1	22
ROCKLAND	6	1,155,483	4	-	-	-	3	-
SUFFOLK	6	43,738	-	-	-	-	-	88
OKLAHOMA								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	24	-	-	4	-	19

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports for Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 2001 Through 2009
(Report as of December 31, 2010) (Continued)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
	Number of Reports	Costs in \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*			Number of Persons Convicted
					G	D	P	
2009 (CONTINUED)								
PENNSYLVANIA								
CHESTER	7	490,937	18	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	72	3	-	-	1	48
TENNESSEE								
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	13	3,725	2	-	-	-	-	2
WISCONSIN								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	5

* Motions: G = granted, D = denied, P = pending.

Table 9
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Intercepts Installed in
Calendar Years 2000 Through 2010

Year of Intercepts	Year Reported											Total All Years	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Number	Percent
2000													
Arrests	3,411	1,741	681	142	17	5	2	2	6	2	-	6,009	100.0
Convictions	736	1,148	793	280	30	56	12	1	5	1	-	3,062	51.0
2001													
Arrests		3,683	1,325	316	109	90	72	5	6	-	1	5,607	100.0
Convictions		732	1,316	572	121	105	63	27	5	-	6	2,947	52.6
2002													
Arrests		-	3,060	1,067	362	105	52	5	-	-	-	4,651	100.0
Convictions		-	493	1,082	489	164	64	17	3	-	-	2,312	49.7
2003													
Arrests		-	-	3,674	1,651	257	82	10	29	-	-	5,703	100.0
Convictions		-	-	844	989	399	203	42	39	2	2	2,520	44.2
2004													
Arrests		-	-	-	4,506	967	538	65	334	174	99	6,683	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	634	895	565	124	342	122	99	2,781	41.6
2005													
Arrests		-	-	-	-	4,674	1,493	233	544	265	172	7,381	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	-	776	1,417	496	485	275	193	3,642	49.3
2006													
Arrests		-	-	-	-	-	4,376	1,526	656	187	94	6,839	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	-	-	711	1,306	633	145	153	2,948	43.1
2007													
Arrests		-	-	-	-	-	-	4,830	1,736	1,074	245	7,885	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	-	-	-	984	1,180	831	275	3,270	41.5
2008													
Arrests		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,133	2,566	354	7,053	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	810	1,452	554	2,816	39.9
2009													
Arrests		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,537	1,887	6,424	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	678	1,222	1,900	29.6
2010													
Arrests		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,711	4,711	100.0
Convictions		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	800	800	17.0

Table 10
Summary of Intercept Orders Issued by Federal Judges
January 1 Through December 31, 2010*

U.S. District Courts	Authorized Orders	Federal Prosecutor Reports of Intercepts
Federal Total	1,207	641
Alabama, Middle	8	2
Alabama, Northern	10	7
Alaska	5	5
Arizona	91	33
Arkansas, Eastern	3	3
California, Central	61	60
California, Eastern	15	14
California, Northern	5	1
California, Southern	8	6
Colorado	18	17
Connecticut	29	10
Delaware	1	1
District of Columbia	22	9
Florida, Middle	21	16
Florida, Northern	11	5
Florida, Southern	39	26
Georgia, Middle	12	10
Georgia, Northern	65	18
Georgia, Southern	4	4
Hawaii	4	2
Idaho	7	5
Illinois, Central	2	2
Illinois, Northern	73	31
Illinois, Southern	17	11
Indiana, Northern	7	0
Indiana, Southern	11	5
Iowa, Northern	11	5
Iowa, Southern	7	2
Kansas	25	18
Kentucky, Eastern	5	3
Kentucky, Western	7	7
Louisiana, Eastern	42	5
Louisiana, Western	2	1
Maine	6	4
Maryland	11	11
Massachusetts	13	6
Michigan, Eastern	19	12
Minnesota	7	7
Mississippi, Southern	4	4
Missouri, Eastern	20	20
Missouri, Western	26	14
Nevada	11	11
New Jersey	7	7
New Mexico	10	9
New York, Eastern	6	6
New York, Northern	12	10
New York, Southern	42	15
New York, Western	15	7

Table 10
Summary of Intercept Orders Issued by Federal Judges
January 1 Through December 31, 2010 (Continued)*

U.S. District Courts	Authorized Orders	Federal Prosecutor Reports of Intercepts
North Carolina, Eastern	1	0
North Carolina, Middle	9	9
North Carolina, Western	21	5
Ohio, Northern	6	6
Ohio, Southern	1	1
Oklahoma, Northern	1	0
Oregon	2	0
Pennsylvania, Eastern	8	1
Pennsylvania, Middle	4	2
Pennsylvania, Western	3	3
Puerto Rico	8	2
Rhode Island	3	3
South Carolina	17	10
Tennessee, Eastern	7	7
Tennessee, Middle	27	0
Texas, Eastern	3	2
Texas, Northern	31	18
Texas, Southern	51	34
Texas, Western	65	20
Utah	5	5
Vermont	1	1
Virginia, Eastern	32	8
Virginia, Western	1	0
Washington, Eastern	1	0
Washington, Western	17	3
West Virginia, Northern	2	2
West Virginia, Southern	4	1
Wisconsin, Eastern	16	8
Wyoming	3	3

*Total counts reported by district courts might not match prosecutor reports provided by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) if the investigations are ongoing or the targets have not yet been notified by DOJ.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALABAMA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	WATKINS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	WATKINS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
ALABAMA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	HOPKINS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	BLACKBURN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	BLACKBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	BLACKBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BLACKBURN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	COOGLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	COOGLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	COOGLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/17/2008	30	0	30
2009-2	BLACKBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/08/2009	30	1	60
ALASKA									
2010-1	SEDWICK	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SEDWICK	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	SEDWICK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SEDWICK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SEDWICK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30
2006-4	BEISTLINE	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	WC,WS	D,H	09/21/2005	30	11	360
2006-5	BEISTLINE	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	OM	O	01/19/2006	30	5	180
ARIZONA									
2010-1	WAKE	BIANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	12/04/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	MURGUIA	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	WAKE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	JORGENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	2	90
2010-6	BOLTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2010	30	3	120
2010-7	MURGUIA	BLANCO	FIREARMS	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	MARTONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2010	30	3	120
2010-9	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ALABAMA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	26	111	35	2,876	313	82,905	2,700	13	-	-	-	1	-
2010-2	23	128	37	2,938	42	73,550	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
ALABAMA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	40	15	1,200	800	274,057	15,913	7	-	-	2	-	-
2010-2	30	129	161	3,870	503	83,215	2,030	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	40	15	2,400	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	60	33	15	2,000	850	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-5	28	36	14	1,000	420	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-6	4	31	1	123	1	79,295	10,211	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	409	10	12,259	727	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6		-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-1	30	73	128	2,180	500	87,250	1,110	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	60	88	229	5,268	617	67,984	14,229	4	-	-	-	-	4
ALASKA													
2010-1	29	19	117	548	20	319,302	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	23	20	688	42	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	20	33	11	669	225	65,113	-	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	20	43	34	851	227	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-5	8	4	6	30	11	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2006-4	351	52	639	18,277	2,673	996,280	33,635	3	3	-	-	-	3
2006-5	156	22	35	3,507	-	RELATED TO NO. 2006-4		RELATED TO NO. 2006-4					
ARIZONA													
2010-1	30	33	82	986	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	33	19	70	623	125	143,910	10,910	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	42	31	14	1,303	893	22,000	18,500	1	-	-	-	-	1
2010-4	58	28	114	1,614	419	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	81	-	114	1	-	88,300	16,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	110	4	87	432	108	148,809	4,180	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	15	1	8	18	11	209,820	25,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	107	132	97	14,103	6,304	142,513	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	10	41	4	412	396	6,500	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	1

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)									
2010-10	COLLINS	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	WAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	BOLTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	MURGUIA	KEENEY	FIREARMS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	BOLTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	MURGUIA	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	TEILBORG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	1	60
2010-22	TEILBORG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-23	CAMPBELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	COLLINS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-25	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	SILVA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-27	COLLINS	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WO	N	09/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-28	MARTONE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2010	30	1	60
2010-29	MARTONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	TEILBORG	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	SILVER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-32	SILVER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-33	TEILBORG	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2010	30	0	30
2009-12	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2008	30	0	30
2009-13	WAKE	BIANCO	MURDER	WC	D	12/04/2008	30	2	90
2009-14	WAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-15	WAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2009	30	2	90
2009-16	BURY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2009	30	1	60
2009-17	SILVER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2009	30	3	120

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)													
2010-10	28	82	20	2,290	877	23,040	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	60	33	137	1,972	593	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	15	-	1	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	27	32	22	857	102	41,543	4,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	23	16	113	379	113	32,718	2,900	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	30	6	103	176	4	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	20	32	14	636	96	29,900	1,400	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-17	7	30	20	207	47	143,910	10,910	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	30	8	20	232	45	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-19	30	15	6	450	22	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-20	30	35	55	1,044	304	28,367	11,250	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-21	46	25	16	1,134	223	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-22	21	52	26	1,089	239	18,680	10,880	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-23	7	-	2	1	1	10,000	4,000	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-24	6	3	4	20	6	22,100	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-25	6	167	28	1,003	233	60,000	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-26	30	136	82	4,088	674	57,680	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-27	7	1	2	4	-	22,100	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-28	54	54	56	2,893	583	41,037	30,537	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-29	14	13	11	183	3	10,570	7,570	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-30	13	51	17	660	481	16,897	7,397	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-31	60	133	55	7,977	3,255	712,600	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-32	15	34	1	504	16	13,960	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-33	15	39	26	587	181	30,194	9,404	3	-	-	-	-	
2009-12	30	6	42	172	28	85,000	10,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14	-	-	-	-	
2009-13	90	56	186	5,003	1,788	315,000	15,000	10	-	-	-	9	
2009-14	17	11	17	189	40	80,000	5,000	6	-	1	-	1	
2009-15	90	41	376	3,721	835	215,000	15,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14	-	-	-	-	
2009-16	59	37	59	2,197	923	166,370	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-17	120	70	17	8,434	5,323	154,272	149,772	15	-	-	-	3	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)									
2009-18	WILSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-19	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	BURY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	BURY	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2009	30	0	30
2008-12	ROLL	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2008	30	2	90
2008-13	ROLL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/2008	30	0	30
2007-14	ROLL	SABIN	FIREARMS	WC	D	08/24/2007	30	1	60
2007-15	ROLL	MANDELKER	FIREARMS	WC	D	10/11/2007	30	1	60
2007-16	ROLL	SABIN	FIREARMS	WC	D	11/15/2007	30	0	30
ARKANSAS, EASTERN									
2010-1	WRIGHT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	EISELE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	WRIGHT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	0	30
2009-4	MILLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2008	30	2	90
2009-5	WILSON	HENNESSY	FRAUD	WC	D	03/10/2009	30	2	90
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL									
2010-1	FAIRBANK	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	FISCHER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/04/2009	30	0	30
2010-4	FISCHER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/2009	30	1	60
2010-5	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2010	30	0	60
2010-7	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	FAIRBANK	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	KING	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	REAL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ARIZONA (CONTINUED)													
2009-18	30	54	44	1,618	96	84,088	4,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-19	30	18	25	547	296	57,540	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-20	4	-	1	1	-	46,480	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-21	24	44	25	1,059	570	90,560	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-12	87	40	71	3,469	300	153,816	1,180	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-13	30	7	16	218	10	18,413	1,070	-	-	-	-	-	
2007-14	60	15	90	911	331	RELATED TO NO. 2007-16		RELATED TO NO. 2007-16					
2007-15	60	39	154	2,312	47	RELATED TO NO. 2007-16		-	-	-	-	-	
2007-16	26	43	31	1,111	79	92,415	72,115	10	-	-	-	1	2
ARKANSAS, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	9	8	281	204	58,482	22,050	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	36	32	31	1,150	241	79,552	44,140	25	-	1	-	-	
2010-3	30	102	8	3,058	504	53,729	17,306	3	-	-	-	-	
2009-4	90	63	135	5,707	3,301	206,119	2,250	5	-	-	-	1	5
2009-5	90	52	250	4,693	219	179,305	1,856	2	-	2	1	-	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL													
2010-1	90	82	185	7,404	1,127	62,340	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	59	327	405	19,271	713	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	30	7	4	200	60	60,000	6,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	60	152	58	9,142	475	41,352	3,010	1	1	1	1	-	1
2010-5	60	91	224	5,441	389	41,494	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	45	56	42	2,513	1,973	31,320	1,700	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	54	205	259	11,075	488	80,000	35,000	43	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	60	364	190	21,853	1,155	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	12	126	60	1,509	219	9,700	1,700	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	10	8	300	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	60	67	11	4,008	1,276	42,694	3,200	24	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	60	67	11	4,008	1,276	42,694	3,200	24	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	30	42	112	1,255	41	20,747	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	91	34	2,743	296	20,589	1,500	2	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
2010-15	FAIRBANK	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	FAIRBANK	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	KING	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	ANDERSON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	REAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	WILSON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-23	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-25	SYNDER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	ENGLAND	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-27	SNYDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-28	WILSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-29	KING	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	GUTIERREZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	PHILLIPS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-32	GUTIERREZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-33	CARTER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-34	SNYDER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-35	OTERO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-36	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-37	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-38	GUTIERREZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-39	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-40	SNYDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-41	GUTIERREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-42	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2010	30	2	90
2010-43	WU	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-44	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	EE, TX	D	08/06/2010	30	3	120

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
2010-15	30	222	30	6,664	285	20,780	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	30	27	20	796	80	20,747	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	30	10	8	300	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	30	52	94	1,551	278	70,000	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	30	8	15	240	37	21,247	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-20	3	47	13	141	33	4,500	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-21	2	88	10	175	1	2,918	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-22	30	69	24	2,081	1,142	22,947	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-23	30	10	8	300	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-24	38	39	23	1,486	253	21,000	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-25	30	57	21	1,724	175	35,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-26	30	61	107	1,820	114	49,022	28,679	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-27	60	58	25	3,479	1,338	65,000	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-28	30	199	60	5,969	192	42,660	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-29	30	27	8	800	250	125,000	25,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-30	30	192	29	5,772	1,011	21,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-31	50	52	1,216	2,594	317	50,585	7,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-32	30	8	30	253	50	21,000	2,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-33	30	73	156	2,196	505	41,740	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-34	30	49	172	1,456	498	47,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-35	30	86	382	2,585	308	21,976	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-36	5	53	12	267	44	13,390	1,700	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-37	5	53	12	267	44	10,390	1,700	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-38	14	4	10	60	12	21,738	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-39	30	123	38	3,701	2,422	59,504	11,804	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-40	30	119	40	3,569	329	30,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-41	30	8	33	239	46	42,000	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-42	90	37	70	3,319	836	134,200	4,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-43	30	267	106	7,997	1,423	68,100	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-44	90	511	54	46,000	3,288	152,702	20,154	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)									
2010-45	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	EE	D	08/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-46	GUTIERREZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-47	OTERO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-48	CARTER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-49	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-50	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-51	ANDERSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-52	SNYDER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-53	PREGERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-54	PREGERSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-55	SNYDER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-56	PHILLIPS	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-57	ANDERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-58	SYNDER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-59	OTERO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-60	WU	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2010	30	0	30
2009-19	SELNA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/09/2008	30	0	30
2009-20	FISCHER	BIANCO	SMUGGLING	WC	D	10/22/2008	30	2	90
2009-21	FICHER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2008	30	7	240
2009-22	WU	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2008	30	6	210
2009-23	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/18/2009	30	0	30
2009-24	WILSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2009	30	1	60
2009-25	SNYDER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2009	30	1	60
2009-26	SELNA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/01/2009	30	3	120
2009-27	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2009	30	0	30
2009-28	WILSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2009	30	0	30
2007-46	CARTER	SABIN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	12/20/2006	30	1	60
2007-47	GUILFORD	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	05/16/2007	30	0	30
2007-48	GUILFORD	SABIN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/14/2007	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)													
2010-45	30	3	3	77	15	24,335	4,175	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-46	55	66	95	3,619	923	42,000	4,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-47	60	36	106	2,134	206	106,212	43,952	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-48	60	130	321	7,830	2,667	85,200	5,200	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-49	60	28	4	1,664	236	41,961	1,286	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-50	47	61	93	2,863	734	53,654	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-51	30	426	54	12,770	1,037	31,889	2,475	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-52	30	1	3	20	3	30,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-53	9	6	15	58	13	21,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-54	30	-	-	-	-	44,014	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-55	30	53	25	1,592	330	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-56	30	13	191	386	35	24,338	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-57	14	702	54	9,822	1,037	16,202	2,475	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-58	30	98	25	2,930	422	60,000	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-59	30	12	22	364	135	110,424	6,425	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-60	30	8	23	230	42	14,008	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-19	30	1,355	120	40,650	122	22,247	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-20	90	51	50	4,551	50	99,900	98,700	8	1	-	-	8	
2009-21	240	109	120	26,226	10,000	221,450	200,950	8	1	-	-	8	
2009-22	210	-	6,600	80	80	126,450	18,000	8	-	-	-	8	
2009-23	30	5	6	160	15	38,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-24	60	504	345	30,228	8,974	60,138	2,625	2	1	-	1	1	
2009-25	60	33	30	2,000	144	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-26	120	71	63	8,469	294	105,033	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-27	30	201	93	6,028	2,153	44,342	6,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2009-28	30	251	70	7,538	712	40,067	1,725	-	-	-	-	-	
2007-46	60	43	118	2,602	550	124,935	7,925	1	-	-	-	5	
2007-47	13	3	5	35	5	16,435	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					
2007-48	58	1	5	55	30	51,393	2,950	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)									
2007-49	GUILFORD	SWARTZ	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	08/14/2007	30	0	30
2006-39	CARTER	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/01/2006	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN									
2010-1	DAMRELL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/18/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	KARLTON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	ENGLAND, JR.	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	KARLTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	ISCHI	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	WANGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ENGLAND, JR.	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	WANGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	ISCHI	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	WANGER	RAMAN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	WANGER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	1	60
2009-10	WANGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2009	30	0	30
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	ARMSTRONG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/19/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	JENSEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2009	30	0	30
2007-3	FOGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2007	30	0	30
2007-4	FOGEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2007	30	0	30
2007-5	FOGEL	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2007	30	0	30
2007-6	FOGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2007	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	WHELAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2009	30	6	210
2010-2	LORENZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	SABRAW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	LORENZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)													
2007-49	28	2	6	51	22	45,188	3,210	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					
2006-39	59	56	127	3,276	1,573	RELATED TO NO. 2007-46		RELATED TO NO. 2007-46					
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN													
2010-1	54	38	51	2,079	73	88,900	3,200	16	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	223	501	6,677	543	15,736	6,480	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	21	25	33	532	93	17,367	1,400	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	245	165	7,359	348	18,916	9,660	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	45	173	35	7,800	935	321,058	43,983	34	-	-	-	-	10
2010-6	52	33	164	1,731	343	27,290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	12	110	28	1,317	1,235	35,830	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	88	89	2,648	316	40,854	20,511	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	9	13	19	119	48	28,699	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	18	67	35	1,200	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	6	47	34	280	207	21,639	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	16	12	38	186	83	56,815	8,397	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	9	128	35	1,150	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	61	125	3,632	880	71,524	5,500	8	-	-	-	-	-
2009-10	29	18	48	522	124	47,000	7,000	8	-	-	-	-	1
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	64	23	1,923	665	36,000	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-1	28	120	98	3,353	276	78,448	5,400	5	-	-	-	-	-
2007-3	9	19	4	168	168	32,935	720	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-4	10	86	11	859	552	10,009	720	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-5	30	41	15	1,242	672	28,615	720	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-6	60	54	23	3,210	1,864	64,373	2,880	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	210	356	2,379	74,715	14,321	190,588	825	21	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	29	122	58	3,530	1,725	20,171	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	73	182	2,201	782	33,989	6,690	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	143	116	4,297	694	31,756	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-5	LORENZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	SABRAW	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2010	30	0	30
2006-20	MOSKOWITZ	BIANCO	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	01/03/2006	30	0	30
2006-21	MOSKOWITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2006	30	0	30
COLORADO									
2010-1	UNDERHILL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/19/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	ARGUELLO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	ARGUELLO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ARGUELLO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ARGUELLO	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	MILLER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MILLER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	MILLER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	ARGUELLO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	ARGUELLO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-15	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	MILLER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2010	30	0	30
2009-14	MILLER	MENENDEZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2009	30	0	30
CONNECTICUT									
2010-1	KRAVITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	BRYANT	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	KRAVITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	BURNS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	BRYANT	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	BURNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-5	30	357	255	10,698	1,650	60,513	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	13	62	118	812	389	10,300	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2006-20	26	83	24	2,160	368	47,647	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2006-21	29	4	3	128	20	32,081	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO													
2010-1	86	42	40	3,606	595	87,300	2,300	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	29	196	174	5,675	827	27,144	5,200	8	1	1	7	-	7
2010-3	57	22	10	1,250	257	70,980	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	14	63	22	884	49	10,991	1,875	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	7	1	1	7	1	16,172	13,572	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					-
2010-6	14	43	6	606	3	17,900	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	12	230	46	2,761	306	9,239	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	20	120	42	2,409	799	14,646	240	8	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	60	60	13	3,591	431	55,040	26,240	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	60	58	9	3,467	649	55,140	26,340	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	60	58	9	3,467	649	55,040	26,240	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	28	183	117	5,121	759	22,200	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	29	304	50	8,816	580	19,435	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	206	360	12,379	1,337	21,119	470	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	22	13	4	291	35	29,096	17,056	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	11	99	53	1,089	117	6,546	2,630	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	28	90	17	2,507	548	51,136	36,736	7	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	30	230	149	6,895	1,668	457,954	102,560	24	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT													
2010-1	56	344	60	19,246	4,016	101,070	12,800	20	-	-	-	-	16
2010-2	30	113	25	3,382	540	101,192	3,000	22	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	27	53	20	1,435	410	32,910	3,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					-
2010-4	17	28	33	476	192	37,059	3,200	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	9	15	259	59	27,548	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					-
2010-6	14	-	-	1	-	32,934	5,050	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CONNECTICUT (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	BURNS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ARTERTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	BURNS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	BURNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	DORSEY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2008	30	2	90
2009-8	BURNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2009	30	2	90
2009-9	KRAVITZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2009	30	1	60
2009-10	BURNS	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2009	30	1	60
DELAWARE									
2010-1	SLEET	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/27/2010	30	0	30
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA									
2010-1	BATES	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/20/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	URBINA	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/30/2009	30	2	90
2010-3	BATES	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	URBINA	BLANCO	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	01/11/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BATES	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	HUVELLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	HUVELLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	LAMBERTH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	HUVELLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2010	30	0	30
FLORIDA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	WHITTEMORE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/08/2009	30	3	120
2010-2	WHITTEMORE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	HODGES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	WHITTEMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	HODGES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ANTOON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	HODGES	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ANTOON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	HODGES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CONNECTICUT (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	19	19	20	363	189	39,692	1,850	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	60	143	26	8,602	114	162,157	3,700	7	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	23	81	51	1,868	826	26,104	3,200	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	36	26	1,065	606	61,250	1,500	3	-	-	-	-	
2009-7	85	165	82	14,048	5,861	503,260	15,376	14	-	-	-	-	
2009-8	87	59	105	5,134	4,257	305,650	81,354	13	-	-	-	-	
2009-9	35	166	25	5,813	1,278	38,514	-	52	1	-	2	-	50
2009-10	57	27	23	1,532	870	113,615	23,821	6	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE													
2010-1	30	173	104	5,200	1,571	100,534	1,750	2	-	-	-	-	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA													
2010-1	80	19	73	1,490	257	129,900	630	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	90	66	64	5,925	2,325	51,623	4,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	26	56	58	1,444	106	68,240	700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	60	134	41	8,015	1,045	33,073	2,900	13	-	-	1	-	5
2010-5	30	115	97	3,458	229	68,230	690	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	4	-	-	-	-	7,528	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	78	84	2,348	194	38,398	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	30	12	40	358	9	35,798	600	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	94	68	2,833	138	38,398	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
FLORIDA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	118	188	387	22,189	235	503,930	3,930	12	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	57	22	62	1,278	96	252,500	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-3	60	148	35	8,866	7,980	406,520	36,960	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-4	30	33	40	993	127	161,430	1,430	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-5	25	39	11	985	900	30,563	18,475	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-6	11	51	21	561	106	29,212	3,000	10	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	26	11	16	285	171	154,277	16,898	17	-	-	-	-	17
2010-8	8	28	17	220	48	24,000	3,000	10	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	17	5	4	90	70	47,094	5,995	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, MIDDLE (CONTINUED)									
2010-10	HOWARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	CORRIGAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	ANTOON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	ANTOON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	HOWARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	ANTOON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	MERRYDAY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-6	STEELE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2009	30	2	90
2009-7	WHITTEMORE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2009	30	0	30
2008-5	LAZZARA	BLANCO	TRANSPORT	WC	D	08/22/2008	30	0	30
2008-6	LAZZARA	KEENEY	TRANSPORT	WC	D	09/25/2008	30	0	30
2008-7	LAZZARA	HENNESSY	TRANSPORT	WC	D	10/15/2008	30	0	30
2008-8	LAZZARA	BLANCO	TRANSPORT	WC	D	10/30/2008	30	0	30
FLORIDA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	RODGERS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	HINKLE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/12/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	HINKLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SMOAK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SMOAK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2010	30	0	30
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	BANDSTRA	BLANCO	FRAUD	EO	D	10/29/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	GRAHAM	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2009	30	0	30
2010-4	MOORE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ZIOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ZLOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	COHN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	GOLD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
FLORIDA, MIDDLE (CONTINUED)													
2010-10	30	33	92	984	210	23,310	3,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	17	49	13	827	35	6,160	720	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	30	75	41	2,248	442	53,000	3,000	10	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	51	79	190	4,033	264	188,000	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	14	18	31	252	11	10,733	1,325	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	20	125	157	2,495	96	35,200	1,600	9	-	-	-	9	
2010-16	10	388	10	3,876	12	14,500	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-6	71	67	24	4,767	770	235,363	7,603	16	5	1	1	13	
2009-7	13	20	32	265	53	302,000	2,000	12	-	-	-	-	
2008-5	18	21	51	374	176	242,667	3,700	15	-	-	-	13	
2008-6	22	1	7	16	12	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2008-7	30	54	43	1,614	526	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2008-8	16	7	13	106	10	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
FLORIDA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	54	10	10	544	82	7,440	440	13	1	-	-	13	
2010-2	75	79	40	5,962	3,277	267,500	7,500	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	26	8	5	200	75	20,200	1,500	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	11	20	20	217	77	99,900	5,392	7	-	-	-	2	
2010-5	10	42	20	415	150	69,661	5,392	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	34	1	2	34	34	2,000	2,000	2	-	-	-	2	
2010-2	30	3	14	90	55	74,000	4,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-3	28	127	28	3,562	1,957	72,238	29,678	15	7	-	-	7	
2010-4	30	122	124	3,675	111	21,415	925	6	-	-	-	2	
2010-5	14	154	61	2,162	314	12,800	1,600	71	-	-	-	35	
2010-6	24	33	62	792	286	27,000	2,000	24	1	-	-	-	
2010-7	60	94	40	5,619	1,261	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	30	771	14	23,121	114	RELATED TO NO. 2010-16		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	82	13	2,452	352	26,536	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	124	41	3,706	2,116	72,626	30,066	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-11	COHN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	COHN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	GRAHAM	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	MARRA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	ZLOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	MIDDLEBROOKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	3	120
2010-19	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	ZLOCH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	JORDAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-23	JORDAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	DIMITROULEAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2010	30	1	60
2010-25	DIMITROULEAS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-8	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	EE	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-9	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WS	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-10	JORDAN	BLANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/15/2009	30	3	120
2009-11	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	FIREARMS	WC, TX	D	08/13/2009	30	2	90
2009-12	ALTONAGA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2009	30	0	30
2008-22	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2008	30	0	30
2008-23	MORENO	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/11/2008	30	0	30
2008-24	GRAHAM	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2008	30	0	30
2008-25	COHN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2008	30	0	30
2008-26	HURLEY	BLANCO	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/04/2009	30	0	30
2007-27	MOORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2007	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-11	60	24	50	1,411	182	464,500	114,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	30	29	10	875	220	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-13	30	48	43	1,426	273	85,740	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	30	77	62	2,297	391	19,825	625	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	10	112	49	1,123	49	55,148	24,963	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	30	103	13	3,086	122	60,288	3,000	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	16	58	10	930	97	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	120	169	483	20,312	275	137,760	4,280	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	16	58	10	930	97	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-20	6	73	38	437	134	27,000	2,000	24	1	-	-	-	-
2010-21	8	88	11	708	60	268,000	52,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-22	30	61	13	1,821	121	30,994	1,725	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-23	7	137	25	960	106	9,786	1,930	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-24	60	53	60	3,151	1,355	143,978	4,030	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-25	30	124	132	3,715	1,609	181,090	3,056	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-26	30	31	10	925	29	19,316	2,636	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	120	7	223	826	599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-8	120	10	175	1,236	422	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10		RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2009-9	120	3	175	325	251	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10		RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2009-10	120	8	681	913	709	492,488	9,950	18	-	-	-	-	2
2009-11	90	88	75	7,894	1,490	81,000	6,000	28	-	-	1	-	27
2009-12	30	6	24	175	130	27,000	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-11					
2008-22	30	350	20	10,499	1,870	165,150	5,010	21	3	-	-	-	18
2008-23	30	95	218	2,842	129	37,619	1,610	3	-	-	-	-	3
2008-24	30	17	10	521	35	55,245	2,847	RELATED TO NO. 2008-22					
2008-25	30	54	50	1,625	185	111,287	1,600	1	-	-	6	-	1
2008-26	10	154	2	1,542	293	49,908	3,000	6	-	-	-	-	4
2007-27	25	69	18	1,722	147	78,217	1,483	RELATED TO NO. 2008-22					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
GEORGIA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	0	30
2010-3	LAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	ROYAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ROYAL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	LAWSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	LAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	ROYAL	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	ROYAL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	0	30
2009-6	LAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2009	30	0	30
2008-4	LAWSON	SWARTZ	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/31/2008	30	2	90
2008-5	LAWSON	SABIN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	04/22/2008	30	0	30
GEORGIA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	THRASH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2010	30	4	150
2010-2	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BATTEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	2	90
2010-11	BATTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	DUFFEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	THRASH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
GEORGIA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	18	4	50	81	57	2,149	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2010-2	1	3	3	3	1	2,149	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2010-3	60	86	170	5,186	561	75,121	3,650	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	25	55	59	1,373	121	1,800	1,800	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2010-5	60	199	101	11,969	765	86,412	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	8	38	35	306	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	20	113	55	2,267	178	31,340	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	15	179	58	2,679	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	33	22	994	148	35,729	925	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	58	41	1,740	51	36,404	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-6	30	27	178	798	297	37,263	1,800	1	-	-	-	-	
2008-4	90	96	294	8,601	574	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2008-5	30	1	2	43	4	132,470	26,532	-	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	150	24	188	3,647	476	161,197	5,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	107	217	3,221	45	54,293	11,730	2	-	-	-	2	
2010-3	30	157	20	4,721	4,522	2,076,619	1,210,219	10	-	-	2	-	
2010-4	56	12	44	678	151	84,148	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	38	43	1,148	282	110,302	16,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	30	34	20	1,017	375	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	122	94	3,669	782	196,348	8,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	30	185	46	5,550	1,094	RELATED TO NO. 2010-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	29	63	47	1,834	391	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	90	44	127	3,954	1,131	149,012	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	30	12	8	366	349	1,400	-	5	-	-	-	2	
2010-12	30	171	15	5,130	3,471	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	45	35	58	1,553	275	70,944	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	99	20	2,981	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	10	8	15	82	26	11,684	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	30	45	111	1,340	323	29,685	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
GEORGIA, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-17	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	FORRESTER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2010	30	0	30
2009-14	CARNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2008	30	1	60
2009-15	COOPER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/18/2008	30	0	30
2009-16	COOPER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2008	30	1	60
2009-17	DUFFEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	COOPER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2009	30	3	120
2009-19	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2009	30	3	120
2009-20	PANNELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	MARTIN	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2009	30	0	30
2009-22	THRASH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2009	30	2	90
2009-23	BATTEN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-24	THRASH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2009	30	0	30
2009-25	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-26	STORY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2009	30	0	30
2008-53	CARNES	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	R	02/27/2008	30	0	30
2008-54	COOPER	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2008	30	1	60
2008-55	CARNES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2008	30	1	60
2008-56	BATTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2008	30	0	30
2008-57	EVANS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2008	30	0	30
2008-58	EVANS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2008	30	0	30
2008-59	EVANS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2008	30	0	30
2008-60	CARNES	HENNESSY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2008	30	0	30
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	HALL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	HALL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	HALL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	BOWEN	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
GEORGIA, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-17	30	189	20	5,664	3,938	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3	1	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	30	85	15	2,560	1,675	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-14	60	13	37	779	146	117,436	8,323	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-15	30	69	70	2,080	398	55,206	2,435	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-16	60	139	138	8,359	1,648	188,116	15,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-17	30	99	124	2,976	85	116,498	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-18	120	37	102	4,384	833	298,291	14,310	RELATED TO NO. 2009-20					
2009-19	118	5	45	535	80	158,560	12,181	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-20	30	2	9	51	10	57,892	2,850	22	-	-	-	2	
2009-21	30	27	21	800	62	120,184	10,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-22	15	101	17	1,522	483	100,646	8,662	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-23	30	72	4	2,151	61	19,921	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-24	30	35	30	1,049	178	42,255	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2009-25	30	-	-	-	-	4,822	1,700	RELATED TO NO. 2009-26					
2009-26	30	45	40	1,340	310	38,077	3,000	8	-	-	-	6	
2008-53	30	131	59	3,933	794	35,314	13,380	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-54	60	54	159	3,228	232	106,347	4,493	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-55	38	64	56	2,434	497	49,130	2,904	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-56	20	107	41	2,145	448	36,382	3,528	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-57	5	13	1	63	5	7,517	1,443	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-58	30	82	18	2,445	2,373	38,407	1,963	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-59	30	79	73	2,380	651	56,285	3,163	-	-	-	-	-	
2008-60	30	25	30	738	152	55,085	1,963	-	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	60	79	32	4,711	644	98,480	977	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	152	43	9,105	613	73,738	2,046	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	30	30	15	903	56	41,766	625	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	44	50	45	2,193	267	59,600	2,000	15	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HAWAII									
2010-1	SEABRIGHT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/20/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	SEABRIGHT	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/22/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	SEABRIGHT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/07/2009	30	1	60
2009-2	SEABRIGHT	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/23/2009	30	2	90
2009-3	EZRA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	KING	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2009	30	0	30
IDAHO									
2010-1	WINMILL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	WINMILL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	WINMILL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	28	0	28
2010-4	WINMILL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	WINMILL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2010	30	0	30
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL									
2010-1	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	MCCUSKEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2010	30	2	90
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN									
2010-1	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	YEGHIANYAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2010	30	2	90
2010-4	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	GUZMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	KENDALL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	DOW	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HAWAII													
2010-1	59	14	40	826	158	36,624	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	26	29	790	165	18,080	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-1	59	78	254	4,579	1,125	136,706	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	89	59	241	5,276	389	173,440	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-3	30	25	73	753	636	40,970	3,574	8	-	-	-	7	
2009-4	12	10	13	121	61	17,938	2,980	3	-	-	-	1	
IDAHO													
2010-1	30	32	962	962	470	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	23	68	1,562	1,562	617	127,238	6,950	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	27	58	1,562	1,562	617	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	30	27	824	824	285	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	26	102	35	2,647	1,751	47,291	1,900	13	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL													
2010-1	60	420	705	25,218	2,920	180,228	10,400	26	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	71	40	137	2,846	730	55,836	10,500	5	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN													
2010-1	16	16	7	250	65	28,207	6,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	37	107	39	3,946	1,133	32,785	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	65	198	25	12,882	2,093	71,125	4,175	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	13	97	27	1,259	194	14,092	1,400	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	60	50	25	3,000	500	173,280	12,000	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	49	311	44	15,222	1,502	108,963	5,010	6	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	7	10	17	70	52	13,588	6,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	28	70	15	1,954	625	20,362	835	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	30	43	58	1,297	55	22,200	2,600	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	43	58	1,297	55	22,200	2,600	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	21	15	32	308	197	18,028	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	8	288	7	2,304	296	6,414	835	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	23	27	22	617	156	23,855	1,400	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	14	51	434	230	RELATED TO NO. 2010-15		-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-15	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	HOLDERMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	LEFKOW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-20	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2010	30	2	90
2010-23	KAPALA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	1	60
2010-25	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/10/2010	30	2	90
2010-26	KAPALA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-27	KAPALA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-28	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-29	HOLDERMAN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/22/2010	30	0	30
2009-19	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	HOLDERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2009	30	0	30
2009-22	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/10/2009	30	0	30
2006-28	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/24/2006	30	0	30
2006-29	HOLDERMAN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/03/2006	30	2	90
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-15	17	19	35	328	157	39,843	3,050	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	12	11	16	133	45	25,255	2,800	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-17	30	42	25	1,263	484	32,143	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	6	35	23	211	57	9,367	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-19	42	73	226	3,046	756	47,056	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-20	13	42	29	545	223	16,775	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-21	14	11	21	153	60	15,887	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-22	86	80	32	6,897	382	23,880	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-23	30	61	9	1,821	1,365	76,192	23,200	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-24	60	53	78	3,169	420	87,206	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-25	80	45	137	3,570	1,318	85,840	8,400	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-26	43	40	7	1,728	1,296	97,555	21,600	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-27	14	57	7	794	397	34,810	10,080	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-28	20	11	34	214	115	15,685	1,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-29	12	-	2	4	-	5,789	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-30	4	-	1	1	-	4,193	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-31	7	18	20	123	79	6,522	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-19	28	14	19	396	180	95,492	34,580	9	-	-	-	-	
2009-20	30	32	77	955	198	34,240	4,560	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-21	17	-	3	2	1	20,081	10,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-22	25	37	20	936	134	43,422	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	
2006-28	10	11	12	113	27	9,567	1,135	3	1	-	-	3	
2006-29	86	44	80	3,808	197	94,095	-	RELATED TO NO. 2006-28					
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	30	234	67	7,034	385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	42	49	2,494	385	59,268	2,400	20	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	30	12	4	346	11	39,490	1,200	20	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	60	61	28	3,632	975	39,490	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-5	30	79	30	2,383	219	17,690	2,690	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	30	1	2	20	-	18,788	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	STIEHL	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	STIEHL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2010	30	0	30
INDIANA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	LAWRENCE	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	MAGNUS-STINSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/19/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	HAMILTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2009	30	1	60
IOWA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	BENNETT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	BENNETT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	OBRIEN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MCMANUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	BENNETT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2010	30	0	30
IOWA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	PRATT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	JARVEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2010	30	0	30
KANSAS									
2010-1	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/09/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/27/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	30	187	21	5,622	114	19,490	1,200	20	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	46	128	25	5,868	512	22,290	4,290	10	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	29	76	20	2,217	262	20,000	5,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	27	57	4	1,538	32	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7		RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-11	30	223	15	6,679	385	19,489	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
INDIANA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	30	253	32	7,598	2,105	35,454	5,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	38	10	1,151	250	30,384	7,181	19	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	24	17	10	416	274	23,244	4,681	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-4	35	106	25	3,709	2,156	33,077	6,007	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-5	22	42	12	923	267	21,881	4,866	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2009-7	50	84	25	4,175	555	35,000	5,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	44	30	1,306	390	80,417	22,817	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	19	46	21	878	148	80,417	22,817	8	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	16	22	8	345	17	53,537	22,817	10	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	29	48	84	1,385	204	128,618	40,277	8	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	38	39	1,154	550	126,341	40,277	8	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	30	65	47	1,946	342	46,500	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	21	1	10	22	18	126,291	40,227	8	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS													
2010-1	44	2	25	104	69	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	75	13	121	975	466	660,148	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	13	50	390	206	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	26	27	58	711	443	28,253	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	37	84	1,118	163	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	13	45	18	588	211	43,475	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	5	31	140	63	23,337	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	64	75	1,935	127	26,000	4,400	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
KANSAS (CONTINUED)									
2010-9	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	BROWN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	BROWN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	MURGUIA	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/14/2010	30	2	90
2010-13	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-16	BROWN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	BROWN	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-18	ROGERS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2010	30	0	30
2009-10	MURGUIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-11	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2009	30	0	30
2009-12	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-13	MURGUIA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2009	30	0	30
2009-14	LUNGSTRUM	GRINDLER	OTHER	WC	D	10/07/2009	30	0	30
2008-19	ROGERS	MANDELKER	DRUGS	WC	D	08/11/2008	30	0	30
2008-20	ROGERS	NO INFO	DRUGS	WC	D	09/15/2008	30	0	30
2008-21	ROGERS	NO INFO	DRUGS	WC	D	09/30/2008	30	0	30
KENTUCKY, EASTERN									
2010-1	COFFMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	COFFMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	COFFMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	TATENHOVE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	VAN TATENHOVE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/12/2009	30	0	30
KENTUCKY, WESTERN									
2010-1	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/24/2009	30	2	90
2010-2	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/16/2009	30	0	30
2010-3	HEYBURN II	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/22/2009	30	1	60
2010-4	SIMPSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	2	90

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
KANSAS (CONTINUED)													
2010-9	30	30	13	899	233	43,427	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	60	38	94	2,281	202	49,883	6,845	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	10	3	-	26	-	7,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	89	43	150	3,837	643	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	60	22	65	1,292	456	41,750	8,800	2	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	11	10	9	110	30	38,620	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	59	13	40	765	259	96,106	7,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-16	29	90	81	2,601	228	27,017	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-17	32	15	11	467	264	49,438	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-18	22	77	66	1,693	178	57,224	7,434	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-10	29	77	148	2,226	372	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-11	30	33	20	984	646	43,103	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-12	18	40	67	716	170	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-13	30	64	165	1,917	635	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-14	16	46	19	731	168	12,659	1,800	3	-	-	-	2	
2008-19	10	177	76	1,767	186	16,935	11,000	10	-	-	-	10	
2008-20	26	63	38	1,633	64	56,764	32,430	RELATED TO NO. 2008-19					
2008-21	11	53	41	586	146	17,772	11,243	RELATED TO NO. 2008-19					
KENTUCKY, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	89	31	2,683	545	162,670	46,750	13	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	14	73	31	1,023	589	82,396	28,300	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	15	87	15	1,305	660	81,177	23,217	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2009-1	30	14	29	434	104	85,294	6,700	12	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	30	11	15	334	17	57,075	1,300	RELATED TO NO. 2009-1					
KENTUCKY, WESTERN													
2010-1	86	37	100	3,181	783	81,108	5,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	28	14	20	404	46	27,849	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	60	56	459	3,376	467	443,900	12,700	22	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	90	160	40	14,392	601	98,908	6,475	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
KENTUCKY, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-5	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	HEYBURN II	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	HEYBURN II	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2010	30	0	30
LOUISIANA, EASTERN									
2010-1	FELDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	LEMMON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	FELDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	VANCE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	VANCE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/12/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	LEMELLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	ENGLEHARDT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2009	30	0	30
2008-1	AFRICK	MANDELKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2008	30	0	30
2007-8	ZAINY	ROTH	TERRORISM	WC	D	09/19/2007	30	1	60
LOUISIANA, WESTERN									
2010-1	HICKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/12/2010	30	0	30
2009-3	HAIK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2009	30	1	60
2009-4	HICKS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	HICKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-6	HICKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2009	30	0	30
MAINE									
2010-1	SINGAL	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SINGAL	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	HORNBY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	HORNBY	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-4	HORNBY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	HORNBY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2009	30	2	90
MARYLAND									
2010-1	BLAKE	NO INFO	MURDER	WC	D	02/01/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	MOTZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	QUARLES	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC, WS, TX	D, B	02/19/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
KENTUCKY, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-5	60	58	20	3,471	377	58,019	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	60	15	20	900	60	53,268	449	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	154	20	4,618	303	27,340	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	
LOUISIANA, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	268	15	8,026	4,000	161,843	2,000	26	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	63	99	1,877	145	62,741	19,685	1	-	-	-	1	
2010-3	30	274	22	8,229	1,950	161,843	2,000	26	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	15	113	148	1,691	129	28,320	4,400	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	158	195	4,739	881	52,240	4,400	5	-	-	-	-	
2009-1	18	207	17	3,728	339	48,136	2,000	6	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	29	94	140	2,721	259	14,099	1,735	14	-	-	-	-	
2008-1	30	162	48	4,860	241	20,428	1,500	21	-	-	-	13	
2007-8	60	52	258	3,122	359	87,576	6,000	1	-	-	-	1	
LOUISIANA, WESTERN													
2010-1	26	667	30	17,330	447	63,985	625	7	-	-	-	7	
2009-3	60	145	1,320	8,698	225	47,804	1,500	13	-	-	-	2	
2009-4	13	-	-	-	-	70,756	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
2009-5	30	24	17	734	163	183,818	1,500	4	-	-	-	3	
2009-6	30	9	7	266	200	148,825	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
MAINE													
2010-1	22	34	29	756	524	35,640	2,500	23	-	-	2	22	
2010-2	2	112	14	225	91	3,240	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	28	154	174	4,307	610	72,791	3,200	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	30	127	229	3,819	720	83,233	7,980	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-4	11	40	25	436	141	57,734	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-5	70	35	73	2,429	591	259,488	3,345	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND													
2010-1	52	91	32	4,742	1,389	236,000	-	7	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	27	420	1,591	711	39,320	4,520	12	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	29	250	36	7,251	1,875	202,026	10,521	17	-	-	-	12	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MARYLAND (CONTINUED)									
2010-4	MOTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	MOTZ	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	MOTZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	MOTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BENNETT	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	MOTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	BENNETT	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	BENNETT	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2010	30	0	30
2008-4	TITUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2007	30	2	90
2008-5	TITUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2007	30	2	90
2008-6	TITUS	NO INFO	FIREARMS	WC	D	01/04/2008	30	0	30
2007-9	TITUS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2007	30	0	30
MASSACHUSETTS									
2010-1	YOUNG	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	WOODLOCK	KEENEY	BRIBERY	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	WOODLOCK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	GORTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2010	30	3	120
2010-5	GORTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2010	30	3	120
2010-6	GORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2010	30	2	90
2009-5	ZOBEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-6	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2009	30	0	30
2009-7	YOUNG	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2009	30	0	30
2009-8	WOLF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2009	30	0	30
MICHIGAN, EASTERN									
2010-1	FRIEDMAN	SWARTZ	COUNTERFEITING	WC	D	12/07/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	TARNOW	BLANCO	DRUGS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	COX	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2010	30	3	120
2010-6	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MARYLAND (CONTINUED)													
2010-4	18	24	39	440	91	50,403	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	28	161	235	4,509	595	187,065	9,225	12	1	-	-	-	
2010-6	12	31	48	372	98	59,270	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-5					
2010-7	30	67	158	2,019	139	17,916	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	47	175	45	8,209	510	274,545	8,000	16	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	7	4	-	28	-	1,825	625	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	44	77	35	3,407	313	RELATED TO NO. 2010-8		RELATED TO NO. 2010-8					
2010-11	26	21	20	547	58	RELATED TO NO. 2010-8		RELATED TO NO. 2010-8					
2008-4	79	32	236	2,535	1,439	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-5					
2008-5	80	57	311	4,563	532	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		6	1	-	-	6	
2008-6	23	18	41	406	111	181,050	4,960	RELATED TO NO. 2008-5					
2007-9	30	2	30	68	27	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-5					
MASSACHUSETTS													
2010-1	14	44	20	619	147	31,955	25,123	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	25	192	1,499	156	88,819	3,120	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	23	32	20	732	133	52,352	41,128	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	115	9	11	1,069	92	117,335	2,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	108	8	11	830	188	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	78	82	45	6,361	698	117,335	2,520	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-5	60	106	27	6,347	869	125,261	3,213	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-6	1	50	10	50	5	RELATED TO NO. 2009-7		8	-	-	-	-	
2009-7	28	71	22	2,000	239	24,500	4,500	6	-	-	-	-	
2009-8	30	137	97	4,102	1,951	102,238	2,870	5	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN, EASTERN													
2010-1	60	51	163	3,056	406	137,255	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	60	145	25	8,697	617	69,940	4,600	11	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	30	47	37	1,422	22	55,600	2,600	6	-	-	-	5	
2010-4	30	47	189	1,423	172	20,300	300	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	101	127	153	12,856	1,305	203,787	10,544	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	37	16	13	575	269	77,300	55,100	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MICHIGAN, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	EDMUNDS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2010	30	3	120
2010-8	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	BORMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	ZATKOFF	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	CLELAND	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	08/05/2010	30	1	60
2009-5	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	01/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-6	EDMUNDS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2009	30	0	30
2009-7	FRIEDMAN	WEINSTEIN	OTHER	WC	D	07/24/2009	30	1	60
2009-8	LUDINGTON	BLANCO	OTHER	WC	D	09/11/2009	30	1	60
2009-9	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	09/29/2009	30	1	60
2009-10	LUDINGTON	WININGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2009	30	0	30
2009-11	TARNOW	KEENEY	DRUGS	WC	D	11/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-12	EDMUNDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	12/03/2009	30	0	30
2008-26	HOOD	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/31/2008	30	0	30
2008-27	HOOD	ROTH	OTHER	WC	D	03/11/2008	30	0	30
2007-17	COX	SABIN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/09/2007	30	0	30
2006-19	HOOD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2005	30	2	90
2006-20	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/04/2006	30	1	60
MINNESOTA									
2010-1	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SCHLITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	04/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MONTGOMERY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	2	90
2010-5	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	07/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	10/18/2010	30	0	30
2009-4	DAVIS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2009	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MICHIGAN, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	107	67	35	7,136	3,458	230,700	148,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	16	113	15	1,802	50	55,200	3,200	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	58	84	75	4,891	315	73,051	3,200	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	19	37	18	706	240	39,300	27,900	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	23	55	32	1,260	139	34,556	20,403	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	60	151	349	9,057	262	38,720	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	29	22	12	633	5	14,399	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-6	30	23	4	682	89	105,725	2,600	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	58	41	80	2,368	5	23,443	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-8	58	172	196	9,985	1,629	117,424	4,060	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	60	82	50	4,944	700	90,417	11,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-10	13	122	174	1,585	501	33,983	3,440	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-11	9	3	2	31	2	18,500	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2009-12	18	-	-	-	-	985	180	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-26	30	24	51	722	70	39,077	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-27	9	106	52	955	290	8,784	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-17	30	80	53	2,408	694	36,957	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	1
2006-19	90	68	126	6,126	408	38,139	2,200	14	-	-	3	-	-
2006-20	60	8	50	495	68	70,898	3,400	9	-	-	16	-	-
MINNESOTA													
2010-1	16	8	16	132	93	24,700	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	15	14	441	253	29,920	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
2010-3	5	11	13	54	31	7,750	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	90	28	20	2,510	529	62,800	6,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	6	37	31	220	76	10,300	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	2	-	1	1	-	4,700	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	7	28	31	197	55	11,500	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-4	27	52	40	1,391	779	20,994	2,000	16	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	30	64	82	1,911	896	48,218	700	20	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MINNESOTA (CONTINUED)									
2008-8	DAVIS	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2008	30	0	30
2007-15	ROSENBAUM	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2007	30	0	30
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	WINGATE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2010	30	2	90
2010-2	WINGATE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	WINGATE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	WINGATE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	WINGATE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2009	30	1	60
2009-2	WINGATE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/06/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	WINGATE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2009	30	0	30
MISSOURI, EASTERN									
2010-1	WEBBER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/24/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	JACKSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	SIPPEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SIPPEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	SIPPEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	AUTREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	LIMBAUGH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	WEBBER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	LIMBAUGH	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	AUTREY	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	JACKSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	SIPPEL	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/19/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	PERRY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	AUTREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2010	30	1	60
2010-15	HAMILTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	WEBBER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-17	FLEISSIG	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2010	30	1	60
2010-18	WEBBER	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-19	JACKSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/02/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MINNESOTA (CONTINUED)													
2008-8	30	30	47	885	119	7,215	1,000	2	-	-	-	-	2
2007-15	30	442	29	13,254	995	14,742	2,000	8	-	-	6	-	8
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	90	274	542	24,640	359	204,520	91,057	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	90	30	135	2,666	292	132,533	41,363	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					-
2010-3	5	56	14	281	3	16,966	3,566	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					-
2010-4	11	13	7	145	14	37,692	7,254	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					-
2009-1	60	48	214	2,893	674	130,140	4,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	30	75	93	2,247	606	68,957	750	9	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	30	138	215	4,151	314	57,444	680	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN													
2010-1	57	74	28	4,219	1,223	41,501	6,845	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	8	76	26	608	171	14,970	2,200	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	127	1	3,809	255	13,679	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	32	18	1,890	1,623	54,720	33,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	171	1	5,139	331	13,678	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	175	42	5,251	653	24,320	3,200	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-7	13	39	18	505	244	22,675	11,715	9	-	-	-	-	6
2010-8	40	91	27	3,651	96	24,320	3,200	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-9	30	97	19	2,900	779	37,896	22,090	9	-	-	-	-	6
2010-10	20	25	41	500	400	40,640	33,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	28	56	1	1,575	463	65,195	1,725	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	58	57	29	3,300	403	160,909	120,815	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	22	643	4	14,140	54	13,805	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	11	1	672	230	17,605	4,400	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	8	86	16	684	53	17,305	2,816	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	60	217	25	13,022	2,137	24,320	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	60	17	2	1,046	351	20,574	7,369	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	60	13	29	761	212	91,120	70,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	21	159	35	3,341	534	18,191	2,345	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MISSOURI, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-20	SIPPEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/17/2010	30	0	30
2009-9	PERRY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2009	30	0	30
2009-10	PERRY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2009	30	0	30
2009-11	AUTREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2009	30	0	30
2007-24	LIMBAUGH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2007	30	0	30
2007-25	PERRY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2009	30	0	30
2006-17	AUTREY	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2006	30	0	30
2006-18	HAMILTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2006	30	0	30
MISSOURI, WESTERN									
2010-1	FENNER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/02/2010	30	3	120
2010-2	FENNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	FENNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	FENNER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	FENNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2010	30	2	90
2010-7	FENNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX, EO	D	04/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	FENNER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	2	90
2010-10	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2010	30	0	30
2009-7	KAYS	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WC, WO, OM, EO	D, A	12/11/2008	30	1	60
2009-8	KAYS	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WS	B	01/22/2009	30	1	60
2009-9	WHIPPLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-10	WHIPPLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2009	30	2	90
2009-11	KAYS	NO INFO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2009	30	1	60
2009-12	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/28/2009	30	1	60
2009-13	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, WS, WO	D, H	10/20/2009	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MISSOURI, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-20	13	3	4	38	10	6,972	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-9	30	256	42	7,676	101	28,040	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2009-10	21	37	33	778	260	21,680	3,200	15	-	-	-	15	
2009-11	11	652	46	7,176	77	11,928	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2007-24	30	211	70	6,341	746	41,962	30	RELATED TO NO. 2006-17					
2007-25	30	3	10	79	2	28,040	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-10					
2006-17	30	136	73	4,069	683	26,901	10	3	-	-	-	-	
2006-18	18	249	8	4,476	215	32,227	10	RELATED TO NO. 2006-17					
MISSOURI, WESTERN													
2010-1	118	628	1,656	74,144	17,247	416,755	10,536	23	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	8	18	25	141	8	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	29	34	50	992	211	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	58	7	15	414	73	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-5	15	23	30	347	30	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-6	90	52	13	4,718	874	78,645	3,125	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	55	35	29	1,909	512	81,149	2,600	18	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	14	2	1	30	1	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-9	90	28	300	2,558	1,057	220,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	21	50	12	1,043	27	23,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	13	64	9	836	81	20,235	235	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	56	33	170	1,869	884	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	30	59	130	1,772	674	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9		-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	30	34	115	1,016	339	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-7	57	31	170	1,753	619	132,546	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-8	56	6	19	308	236	88,348	8,500	4	-	-	-	8	
2009-9	90	159	80	14,308	2,546	156,239	14,500	34	-	1	-	17	
2009-10	90	159	52	14,308	2,546	RELATED TO NO. 2009-9		RELATED TO NO. 2009-9					
2009-11	60	83	278	4,974	533	78,000	8,000	12	-	-	-	-	
2009-12	60	80	48	4,802	499	44,704	29,704	7	-	-	-	7	
2009-13	16	97	44	1,557	106	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MISSOURI, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2008-31	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WS	B	05/09/2008	30	0	30
2008-32	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/09/2008	30	1	60
2008-33	LAUGHREY	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/09/2008	30	0	30
NEVADA									
2010-1	JONES	KEENEY	TRANSPORT	WC	D	07/27/2009	30	4	150
2010-2	MAHAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	PRO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	MAHAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	PRO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	DAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	MCKIBBEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MAHAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	MAHAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/16/2010	30	0	30
2009-6	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-7	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/16/2009	30	2	90
2009-8	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/18/2009	30	2	90
2009-9	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/18/2009	30	2	90
2009-10	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2009	30	1	60
2009-11	DAWSON	BLANCO	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2009	30	1	60
NEW JERSEY									
2010-1	HOCHBERG	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	MARTINI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	MARTINI	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	CHESLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	WIGENTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	CHESLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	CAVANAUGH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MISSOURI, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2008-31	30	2	-	58	-	RELATED TO NO. 2008-32		RELATED TO NO. 2008-32					
2008-32	60	34	1,014	2,017	265	377,000	7,000	11	-	-	-	9	
2008-33	30	103	1,014	3,085	132	RELATED TO NO. 2008-32		RELATED TO NO. 2008-32					
NEVADA													
2010-1	150	25	201	3,681	1,575	145,535	14,250	3	-	1	-	-	
2010-2	18	36	91	640	202	27,440	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	60	60	187	3,588	176	89,982	1,950	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	60	135	358	8,109	2,066	91,232	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	17	14	86	242	196	46,106	20,071	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	30	55	119	1,643	169	42,968	2,600	5	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	-	2	4	-	4,076	775	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	9	139	97	1,252	243	35,723	24,333	3	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	15	-	1	1	-	20,046	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	44	6	19	253	108	55,818	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	6	309	73	1,854	803	6,690	910	1	-	-	-	-	
2009-6	90	69	170	6,168	2,052	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-7	90	69	331	6,201	5,888	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-8	90	51	311	4,624	921	724,021	19,872	2	-	-	-	2	
2009-9	90	18	220	1,626	330	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-10	60	51	168	3,088	704	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
2009-11	60	28	108	1,695	344	RELATED TO NO. 2009-8		RELATED TO NO. 2009-8					
NEW JERSEY													
2010-1	29	52	132	1,518	30	21,860	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	55	6	1,657	144	26,550	650	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	57	18	102	1,013	106	31,716	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	21	118	10	2,470	258	18,334	600	14	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	47	118	15	5,532	967	35,148	600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
2010-6	25	67	10	1,681	241	18,125	625	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					
2010-7	30	232	386	6,963	299	21,860	1,700	6	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW MEXICO									
2010-1	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2010	30	4	150
2010-2	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	3	120
2010-3	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2010	30	2	90
2010-4	ARMIJO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BRACK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ARMIJO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	07/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	BRACK	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2010	30	3	120
2010-8	BRACK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2010	30	2	90
2010-9	BRACK	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2010	30	0	30
2009-3	ARMIJO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2009	30	1	60
2007-6	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/14/2007	30	0	30
2007-7	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2007	30	1	60
2007-8	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	H	11/30/2007	30	0	30
NEW YORK, EASTERN									
2010-1	SCHEINDLIN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	GARAUFIS	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	VITALIANO	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	GARAUFIS	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	VITALIANO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	PAULEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2010	30	0	30
2009-9	FEUERSTEIN	BLANCO	OTHER	EE	N	08/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-10	FEUERSTEIN	BLANCO	OTHER	EE	N	08/25/2009	30	2	90
2009-11	FEUERSTEIN	BLANCO	OTHER	EE	N	08/25/2009	30	2	90
NEW YORK, NORTHERN									
2010-1	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	3	120
2010-2	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	03/30/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SUDDABY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	SUDDABY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	05/14/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW MEXICO													
2010-1	136	142	57	19,380	363	628,759	352,533	35	-	1	-	-	1
2010-2	78	28	5	2,200	85	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-3	77	8	4	620	60	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-4	58	40	168	2,319	581	108,862	1,100	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	6	2	184	26	RELATED TO NO. 2010-1		RELATED TO NO. 2010-1					
2010-6	30	114	251	3,420	400	60,000	20,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	120	228	85	27,368	1,886	130,750	53,447	21	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	90	53	62	4,729	434	150,743	73,440	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2010-9	25	76	47	1,892	324	105,320	53,785	RELATED TO NO. 2010-7					
2009-3	59	90	178	5,324	2,219	85,761	3,100	12	-	-	-	-	-
2007-6	30	20	38	585	95	RELATED TO NO. 2007-8		RELATED TO NO. 2007-8					
2007-7	37	4	3	140	119	RELATED TO NO. 2007-8		RELATED TO NO. 2007-8					
2007-8	8	8	3	63	44	108,457	1,150	4	-	-	-	-	4
NEW YORK, EASTERN													
2010-1	52	19	89	1,011	206	18,519	1,600	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	90	85	176	7,675	1,471	203,491	7,800	6	-	-	-	-	6
2010-3	27	153	73	4,139	1,440	20,546	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	60	58	3,606	573	51,800	7,800	14	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	24	263	89	6,309	1,502	16,168	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	20	22	28	434	34	7,398	1,300	6	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	30	-	2	2	-	RELATED TO NO. 2009-11		-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-10	90	127	64	11,416	195	RELATED TO NO. 2009-11		RELATED TO NO. 2009-11					
2009-11	90	43	274	3,889	940	2,480	2,480	3	-	-	-	-	2
NEW YORK, NORTHERN													
2010-1	120	52	10	6,200	1,060	49,789	2,200	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	59	94	55	5,537	1,129	82,793	4,630	24	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	15	10	3	150	12	11,442	1,200	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	1	10	44	1	15,578	2,330	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-5	56	349	50	19,547	960	77,189	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-6	46	221	65	10,164	1,233	63,941	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	SUDDABY	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	SUDDABY	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	SUDDABY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2010	30	0	30
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	MARRERO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	SCHEINDLIN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	KOELTL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MCKENNA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	SULLIVAN	BREUER	FRAUD	WC	D	05/06/2010	30	3	120
2010-6	BERMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	WOOD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	HOLWELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	KARAS	ANDRES	FRAUD	EE	H	06/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	PRESKA	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	GARDEPHE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	GARDEPHE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	MCMAHON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2010	30	1	60
2010-14	BUCHWALD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	MARRERO	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/23/2010	30	0	30
2009-13	HELLERSTEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2009	30	1	60
2009-14	SAND	MORTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2009	30	1	60
2009-15	LYNCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2009	30	1	60
NEW YORK, WESTERN									
2010-1	SIRAGUSA	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	SIRAGUSA	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	SIRAGUSA	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	60	169	10	10,142	1,683	45,825	2,900	RELATED TO NO. 2010-9					
2010-8	23	107	40	2,455	327	44,027	3,400	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-9	42	79	5	3,320	560	18,431	2,600	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	278	20	8,344	3,567	34,620	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	60	100	129	6,024	1,103	32,578	1,980	2	-	-	-	-	1
2010-2	30	13	5	382	85	17,798	675	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	22	33	23	722	102	5,471	2,040	6	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	60	77	157	4,641	755	24,035	1,450	2	-	-	-	-	1
2010-5	27	41	19	1,097	274	40,288	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	17	13	5	218	55	18,200	5,200	2	-	-	-	-	2
2010-7	20	1	4	20	4	8,068	1,200	6	-	-	-	-	3
2010-8	30	17	26	509	57	16,504	1,600	4	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	30	378	10	11,337	347	2,150	2,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	37	10	1,100	111	20,541	1,725	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	60	29	12	1,712	218	34,189	3,200	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	29	3	10	99	15	26,700	6,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	58	35	51	2,016	160	52,873	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	28	63	25	1,760	503	15,976	1,360	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	9	107	17	963	-	6,404	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-13	41	100	15	4,100	3,900	63,669	2,420	41	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	60	79	440	4,739	389	165,740	7,500	5	-	-	-	1	1
2009-15	37	29	60	1,062	251	19,302	1,520	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN													
2010-1	60	164	90	9,841	189	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-2	60	116	125	6,989	238	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3		RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-3	42	41	150	1,739	265	38,480	8,480	17	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	121	200	3,640	462	60,427	2,300	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	60	139	100	8,338	187	118,555	2,300	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	24	100	730	42	58,377	250	RELATED TO NO. 2010-4					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-7	LARIMER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2010	30	2	90
2009-14	SKRETNY	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/2009	30	1	60
2009-15	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/01/2009	30	0	30
2009-16	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2009	30	2	90
2009-17	SKRETNY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2009	30	0	30
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	BEATTY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	1	60
2010-5	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	BEATTY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	TILLEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	BEATTY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2008-5	BEATTY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2008	30	0	30
2008-6	TILLEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2008	30	0	30
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN									
2010-1	REIDINGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	REIDINGER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	CONRAD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	CONRAD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	CONRAD	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2010	30	1	60
2009-13	CONRAD	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-14	VOORHEES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2009	30	0	30
OHIO, NORTHERN									
2010-1	ZOUHARY	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	GAUGHAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	OLIVER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-7	79	38	189	3,008	873	86,868	3,250	5	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	60	81	87	4,885	538	57,301	4,700	27	-	-	-	-	5
2009-15	23	61	24	1,403	374	17,168	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					
2009-16	79	48	56	3,775	887	25,106	2,200	20	-	-	-	-	2
2009-17	30	41	18	1,236	281	19,401	2,200	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					
2009-18	30	70	37	2,110	461	10,618	1,160	10	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	5	25	4	127	21	11,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	3	19	2	58	8	5,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	19	-	-	-	-	31,427	26,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	52	88	74	4,564	3,554	144,979	53,426	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	10	18	303	56	25,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	-	-	-	-	35,704	21,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	25	109	93	2,731	449	101,754	63,653	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	13	54	26	706	112	37,693	21,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	13	195	83	2,541	365	148,293	64,800	21	-	-	-	-	-
2008-5	30	27	76	796	34	47,671	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6					
2008-6	30	139	124	4,157	195	50,675	6,875	14	1	-	-	-	13
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN													
2010-1	30	77	35	2,308	348	78,145	3,625	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	7	22	9	156	11	2,140	2,140	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	39	89	3,460	3,460	3,460	10,000	5,200	11	-	-	-	-	11
2010-4	8	224	84	1,791	564	7,715	2,600	7	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	42	40	154	1,679	684	14,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-13	7	-	2	3	-	5,800	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	30	158	57	4,735	675	13,844	2,600	15	2	-	-	-	14
OHIO, NORTHERN													
2010-1	11	2	5	19	2	7,179	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	75	163	4,474	1,425	32,656	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	42	37	2,537	359	32,656	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-4	ADAMS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ADAMS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ADAMS	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-3	BOYKO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2009	30	3	120
2009-4	POLSTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2009	30	1	60
OHIO, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	SARGUS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2010	30	1	60
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN									
2009-4	DUKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2009	30	1	60
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN									
2009-1	CAUTHRON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	FRIOT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	FRIOT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2009	30	0	30
OREGON									
2009-3	PANNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2009	30	2	90
2009-4	KING	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2009	30	1	60
2009-5	PANNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2009	30	1	60
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN									
2010-1	DALZELL	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/15/2009	30	2	90
2009-2	DAVIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	SANCHEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/29/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	GOLDEN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	10/16/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	GOLDEN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	10/16/2009	30	1	60
2009-6	GOLDEN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	10/16/2009	30	1	60
2009-7	GOLDEN	BLANCO	OTHER	WC	D	11/23/2009	30	0	30
2009-8	GOLDEN	BLANCO	OTHER	WC	D	11/23/2009	30	0	30
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE									
2010-1	CONNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2009	30	0	30
2010-2	MCCLURE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-4	29	122	1,166	3,535	253	19,915	6,645	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	168	1,856	5,031	1,178	16,141	2,413	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	43	7	1,288	39	16,141	2,413	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	105	156	13,209	16,380	3,752	344,068	14,170	33	-	-	-	-	-
2009-4	33	87	40	2,858	312	78,291	2,890	11	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	58	97	4,399	5,623	86	135,481	4,484	1	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN													
2009-4	60	32	60	1,914	267	202,540	1,790	6	-	-	-	-	2
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN													
2009-1	30	27	14	810	92	272,515	42,115	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	27	62	38	1,665	699	6,872	575	12	4	-	-	-	9
2009-3	30	76	46	2,267	872	7,916	1,630	12	3	-	-	-	8
OREGON													
2009-3	89	68	167	6,027	649	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5		RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
2009-4	51	89	257	4,557	902	115,697	10,537	8	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	43	75	149	3,231	533	325,875	34,224	22	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN													
2010-1	90	36	167	3,241	618	32,762	240	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	20	95	68	1,893	124	95,930	13,130	2	-	-	-	-	-
2009-3	30	124	32	3,720	700	26,949	80	5	1	3	-	-	2
2009-4	30	67	170	2,023	293	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		3	-	-	-	-	-
2009-5	60	67	409	4,015	1,145	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2009-6	60	70	463	4,212	1,122	432,010	36,200	3	-	-	-	-	-
2009-7	30	52	204	1,555	881	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
2009-8	30	55	150	1,638	457	RELATED TO NO. 2009-6		RELATED TO NO. 2009-6					
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE													
2010-1	30	34	52	1,011	412	37,953	2,625	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	120	60	7,184	519	21,276	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN									
2010-1	FISCHER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	FISCHER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	CERCONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	EE	H	08/19/2010	30	0	30
2008-1	LANCASTER	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2008	30	1	60
2008-2	CONTI	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2008	30	1	60
2008-3	LANCASTER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2008	30	0	30
PUERTO RICO									
2010-1	DELGADO-COLON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2009	30	4	150
2010-2	GIMENEZ	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2010	30	1	60
2008-6	DOMINGUEZ	NO INFO	KIDNAPPING	WC	D	08/07/2008	2	0	2
RHODE ISLAND									
2010-1	LISI	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	2	90
2010-2	LAGUEUX	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	LISI	BREUER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	LISI	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/19/2009	30	1	60
SOUTH CAROLINA									
2010-1	FLOYD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/21/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	FLOYD	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	FLOYD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	NORTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	NORTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	NORTON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	CHILDS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	DUFFY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2009	30	0	30
2007-2	ANDERSON	MANDELKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2006	30	2	90
2006-8	CURRIE	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2006	30	0	30
2006-9	CURRIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2006	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN													
2010-1	20	138	65	2,757	134	35,973	3,230	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	17	41	30	690	152	44,053	1,295	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	79	90	2,365	2,365	19,498	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
2008-1	47	12	21	572	261	108,171	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-2	40	11	19	441	177	RELATED TO NO. 2008-1		-	-	-	-	-	-
2008-3	23	6	19	145	49	RELATED TO NO. 2008-1		-	-	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO													
2010-1	150	17	65	2,517	462	152,218	108,712	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	99	115	5,932	988	89,186	700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2008-6	2	51	9	102	5	5,943	4,825	5	-	2	-	1	-
RHODE ISLAND													
2010-1	36	114	252	4,120	135	23,593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	6	131	236	788	4	6,741	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	60	15	1,809	801	51,102	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	46	24	23	1,081	398	90,818	2,400	1	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA													
2010-1	60	49	10	2,960	587	17,875	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	15	-	-	-	-	71,600	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	20	4	6	70	19	14,675	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	19	18	37	350	27	30,362	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	60	201	20	12,079	568	24,710	4,710	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	50	71	25	3,551	747	25,200	5,200	13	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	20	19	7	385	139	22,600	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6					
2010-8	30	65	22	1,955	394	22,600	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6					
2010-9	13	10	4	133	18	22,110	2,110	RELATED TO NO. 2010-6					
2010-10	30	92	97	2,753	768	14,400	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-2	29	72	52	2,089	607	22,100	2,600	14	-	-	-	-	-
2007-2	90	58	130	5,186	2,434	208,692	3,656	40	3	-	-	-	28
2006-8	30	90	46	2,714	666	RELATED TO NO. 2006-9		RELATED TO NO. 2006-9					
2006-9	30	90	40	2,713	256	117,740	8,000	20	1	-	-	-	20

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TENNESSEE, EASTERN									
2010-1	VARLAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/23/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	GREER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/19/2010	30	2	90
2010-3	VARLAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-4	VARLAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	VARLAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	GREER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	GREER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	GREER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2009	30	0	30
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE									
2009-1	CAMPBELL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/07/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	CAMPBELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	06/12/2009	30	3	120
TEXAS, EASTERN									
2010-1	SCHELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	SCHELL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/25/2010	30	0	30
TEXAS, NORTHERN									
2010-1	SCHNEIDER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	O'CONNOR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	O'CONNOR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	MCBRYDE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/31/2010	30	3	120
2010-5	O'CONNOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	MCBRYDE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-7	SOLIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-8	MCBRYDE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MCBRYDE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-10	SOLIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	MCBRYDE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-12	LINDSAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	LINDSAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	GODBEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	BOYLE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/12/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TENNESSEE, EASTERN													
2010-1	53	105	60	5,585	667	23,300	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	83	47	44	3,860	285	34,065	13,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	60	75	65	4,500	3,650	41,400	3,000	15	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	30	50	40	1,500	1,200	21,200	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-5	30	50	40	1,500	1,200	21,200	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-6	60	61	30	3,684	514	4,500	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	30	44	20	1,314	141	34,030	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	15	44	55	666	26	12,116	7,400	11	-	-	-	1	
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE													
2009-1	22	100	10	2,211	919	14,770	2,600	19	-	-	-	-	
2009-2	93	47	371	4,362	439	183,471	4,400	10	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, EASTERN													
2010-1	30	163	30	4,887	341	19,898	4,200	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	30	74	13	2,222	410	22,730	4,200	2	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	55	88	1,640	148	57,140	10,466	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-2	9	13	9	120	8	14,096	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-3	2	3	3	6	5	11,408	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	115	62	20	7,086	2,468	115,400	5,000	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	155	63	4,660	831	82,640	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-6	60	25	15	1,513	741	30,400	3,400	1	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	40	64	29	2,552	823	55,760	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-8	22	1	3	22	2	11,600	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-9	58	44	30	2,562	1,390	42,750	3,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-10	30	171	41	5,126	944	44,320	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-11	30	3	5	96	31	19,150	3,400	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-12	30	63	24	1,892	491	46,320	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-13	17	256	45	4,360	930	28,848	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-14	22	91	36	2,012	1,040	33,568	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-15	30	1	17	36	8	45,320	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2010

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-16	GODBEY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	BOYLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	BOYLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2010	30	1	60
2009-23	LINDSAY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2009	30	0	30
2009-24	O'CONNOR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2009	30	0	30
TEXAS, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2009	30	3	120
2010-2	KAZEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/12/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	HITTNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/20/2009	30	1	60
2010-4	LAKE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2009	30	1	60
2010-5	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	HOYT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2010	30	1	60
2010-9	MILLER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	01/22/2010	30	4	150
2010-10	LAKE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-11	TAGLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	02/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2010	30	1	60
2010-13	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	2	90
2010-14	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-15	HITTNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-18	HITTNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-19	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2010	30	0	30
2010-20	HITTNER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-21	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2010	30	0	30
2010-22	TAGLE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/11/2010	30	2	90
2010-23	MILLER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-24	ALVAREZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2010	30	0	30

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-16	30	41	17	1,242	712	43,320	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	27	41	19	1,097	274	40,288	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	55	57	21	3,145	877	78,920	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-23	6	13	9	78	43	18,128	2,000	19	-	-	-	-	19
2009-24	17	34	8	581	107	28,048	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	120	33	40	4,016	3,539	89,740	11,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	60	25	16	1,485	395	80,668	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	60	457	225	27,411	1,276	43,920	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	40	55	17	2,206	726	36,496	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	30	125	56	3,742	542	36,196	4,900	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	30	123	40	3,694	320	53,298	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	30	107	36	3,211	139	17,948	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-8	30	18	38	546	397	18,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-9	129	71	151	9,208	1,398	83,129	13,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-10	30	92	19	2,772	188	4,148	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	30	68	60	2,032	509	95,839	25,625	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	43	28	21	1,200	257	18,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	47	491	67	23,065	3,738	91,240	13,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	60	284	105	17,014	2,240	37,325	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	5	28	1	140	25	26,905	1,700	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-16	30	11	10	333	117	18,248	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	30	161	48	4,841	1,045	18,562	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-18	1	-	-	-	-	1,700	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	19	117	59	2,220	286	25,509	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	2
2010-20	30	66	145	1,973	157	11,476	4,700	5	-	-	-	-	5
2010-2	28	72	15	2,004	323	18,148	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-22	90	62	71	5,561	2,003	66,339	27,479	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-23	13	293	45	3,804	549	9,447	2,400	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-24	30	136	12	4,081	503	64,540	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-25	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-26	ALVAREZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-27	ATLAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-28	ATLAS	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2010	30	0	30
2010-29	CRANE	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2010	30	0	30
2010-30	ELLISON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/05/2010	30	0	30
2010-31	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2010	30	0	30
2010-32	HITTNER	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	09/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-33	CRANE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-34	HOYT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/10/2010	30	0	30
2009-13	ELLISON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	11/21/2008	30	2	90
2009-14	HARMON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2009	30	2	90
2009-15	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2009	30	2	90
2009-16	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2009	30	0	30
2009-17	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2009	30	1	60
2009-19	ROSENTHAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	ATLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2009	30	3	120
2009-22	ALVAREZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2009	30	0	30
2007-57	HUGHES	SWARTZ	FIREARMS	WC	D	04/13/2007	30	0	30
2007-58	HUGHES	MANDELKER	FIREARMS	WC	D	07/19/2007	30	3	120
TEXAS, WESTERN									
2010-1	CARDONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/09/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/10/2009	30	0	30
2010-3	BRIONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	SPARKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/21/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	CARDONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2010	30	1	60
2010-6	BRIONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2010	30	2	90
2010-7	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2010	30	2	90

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-25	19	35	1	661	138	28,936	2,300	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-26	30	272	15	8,171	577	52,990	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-27	45	28	61	1,253	471	55,310	4,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-28	11	44	52	484	82	21,574	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-29	21	77	12	1,608	574	42,911	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-30	30	102	160	3,046	336	18,662	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-31	30	44	41	1,330	295	27,805	2,600	12	-	-	-	-	-
2010-32	30	100	47	3,012	1,509	160,000	50,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
2010-33	20	5	6	101	10	34,067	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-34	27	76	61	2,061	420	42,001	1,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-13	90	194	334	17,480	524	406,477	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-14	89	74	10	6,600	6,000	56,700	7,800	26	-	-	-	-	-
2009-15	90	173	46	15,562	3,196	48,819	1,875	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-16	30	2	5	60	40	18,248	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-17	30	15	3	450	400	18,248	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-18	60	141	45	8,433	1,367	1,250	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-19	30	78	173	2,330	467	17,948	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-20	30	50	7	1,500	800	18,248	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-21	116	100	20	11,600	9,000	70,905	10,400	RELATED TO NO. 2009-14					-
2009-22	30	43	17	1,296	114	49,733	16,969	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-57	17	29	59	500	331	RELATED TO NO. 2007-58		-	-	-	-	-	-
2007-58	120	67	79	8,094	1,649	165,146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, WESTERN													
2010-1	58	33	78	1,903	463	680,320	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	118	110	3,527	545	74,667	36,496	18	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	29	74	45	2,157	324	19,700	3,200	10	1	-	-	-	1
2010-4	15	21	60	318	165	37,333	18,248	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	39	51	36	1,972	220	678,320	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	72	4	20	318	90	53,770	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-7	70	16	30	1,117	102	56,872	6,400	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
2010-8	BRIONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-9	MARTINEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2010	30	0	30
2010-10	RODRIGUEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2010	30	1	60
2010-11	MARTINEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-12	BRIONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-13	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2010-14	YEAHEL	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2010	30	0	30
2010-15	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2010	30	0	30
2010-16	GARCIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2010	30	0	30
2010-17	NOWLIN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2010	30	1	60
2010-18	BIERY	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/17/2010	30	3	120
2010-19	MOSES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2010	30	1	60
2010-20	BIERY	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	12/10/2010	30	0	30
2009-15	CARDONE	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/02/2009	30	3	120
2009-16	CARDONE	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2009	30	0	30
2009-17	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	07/14/2009	30	0	30
2009-18	SPARKS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-19	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	08/03/2009	30	0	30
2009-20	MARTINEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2009	30	0	30
2009-21	SPARKS	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2009	30	0	30
2009-22	SPARKS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2009	30	0	30
2009-23	MARTINEZ	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2009	30	0	30
2007-48	YEAHEL	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2007	30	0	30
UTAH									
2010-1	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	STEWART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	BENSON	MANDELKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2008	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
2010-8	29	1	2	26	1	19,700	3,200	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-9	16	14	24	222	42	22,582	3,200	17	-	-	-	-	4
2010-10	58	63	209	3,630	883	38,385	5,860	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	52	296	141	15,378	1,057	79,098	6,400	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-12	30	49	37	1,480	122	20,000	3,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-13	19	23	5	432	97	18,862	2,600	17	-	-	-	-	-
2010-14	6	212	5	1,271	88	49,296	5,130	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-15	10	344	5	3,441	210	21,350	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-16	29	32	181	933	199	90,786	2,125	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-17	40	106	5	4,242	3,000	68,600	10,260	RELATED TO NO. 2010-14					
2010-18	120	99	23	11,913	2,978	66,788	940	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-19	48	121	226	5,820	1,144	68,428	18,732	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-20	19	6	11	118	-	6,947	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-15	120	30	126	3,569	297	1,187,040	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-16	13	25	10	323	36	19,700	11,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-17	30	30	12	897	134	303,360	9,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-18	30	112	139	3,353	187	41,998	18,248	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-19	20	98	119	1,968	28	227,320	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-20	18	75	11	1,350	385	30,245	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009-21	20	54	32	1,086	197	37,628	18,248	1	-	-	-	-	-
2009-22	8	20	5	163	44	43,500	2,500	20	-	-	-	-	19
2009-23	22	110	9	2,431	281	14,573	1,800	12	-	-	-	-	10
2007-48	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH													
2010-1	30	83	45	2,503	422	52,410	35,330	5	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	30	43	2	1,279	274	45,872	19,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	13	2	394	81	47,872	19,200	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	20	11	2	223	57	26,980	1,700	2	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	10	20	2	205	7	16,480	6,240	2	-	-	-	-	-
2009-1	49	44	62	2,169	583	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
UTAH (CONTINUED)									
2009-2	BENSON	HENNESSY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/05/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2009	30	0	30
2008-1	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2008	30	1	60
2008-2	BENSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2008	30	0	30
2007-2	BENSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2007	30	0	30
2007-3	BENSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2007	30	0	30
2007-4	BENSON	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2007	30	2	90
2007-5	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2007	30	1	60
VERMONT									
2010-1	SESSIONS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	03/22/2010	30	1	60
VIRGINIA, EASTERN									
2010-1	BRINKEMA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	BRINKEMA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2010	30	0	30
2010-3	DOUMAR	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	DOUMAR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	DOUMAR	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	HUDSON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	07/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	DAVIS	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	BRINKEMA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,TX	D	10/08/2010	30	0	30
2009-2	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2009	30	0	30
2009-3	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2009	30	0	30
2009-4	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2009	30	0	30
2009-5	FRIEDMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/01/2009	30	0	30
2008-8	CACHERIS	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2008	30	1	60
2008-9	OLGRADY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/16/2008	30	1	60
2007-7	ELLIS	WARREN	FRAUD	EE	H	11/06/2006	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
UTAH (CONTINUED)													
2009-2	30	122	94	3,671	341	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		-	-	-	-	-	
2009-3	30	30	8	899	152	149,866	18,310	16	-	-	-	1	9
2009-4	30	149	80	4,481	795	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2009-5	14	41	1	578	9	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2008-1	60	119	128	7,134	936	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2008-2	30	103	36	3,093	699	RELATED TO NO. 2009-3		RELATED TO NO. 2009-3					
2007-2	30	24	66	712	-	RELATED TO NO. 2007-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2007-3	30	6	16	168	-	RELATED TO NO. 2007-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2007-4	83	6	28	487	-	RELATED TO NO. 2007-5		-	-	-	-	-	
2007-5	53	18	50	972	-	238,013	15,920	-	-	-	-	-	
VERMONT													
2010-1	57	806	112	45,969	16,926	316,644	15,575	31	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA, EASTERN													
2010-1	21	53	24	1,109	356	47,922	11,362	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-2	22	66	29	1,458	393	49,663	11,362	16	2	-	-	-	14
2010-3	6	15	8	92	20	2,000	2,000	4	-	-	-	-	
2010-4	6	10	8	61	31	7,302	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-5	30	764	72	22,913	13,435	75,460	2,500	17	-	-	-	-	17
2010-6	17	167	164	2,836	210	11,362	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	
2010-7	27	248	17	6,684	665	68,164	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2010-5					
2010-8	30	87	43	2,615	830	182,544	90,600	3	-	-	1	-	1
2009-2	30	303	220	9,101	3,116	65,783	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-3	30	151	93	4,528	2,088	56,011	4,644	-	-	-	-	-	
2009-4	20	80	28	1,597	695	66,150	2,593	RELATED TO NO. 2009-5					
2009-5	11	172	40	1,895	594	39,795	1,875	26	-	-	-	-	24
2008-8	59	59	48	3,462	350	RELATED TO NO. 2008-9		RELATED TO NO. 2008-9					
2008-9	59	23	46	1,347	183	86,232	7,000	7	-	-	-	1	6
2007-7	60	8,612	268	516,690	10,333	47,811	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
WASHINGTON, WESTERN									
2010-1	LEIGHTON	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	JONES	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2010	30	0	30
2009-9	MARTINEZ	GRINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2009	30	0	30
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN									
2010-1	STAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2010	30	0	30
2010-2	STAMP	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2010	30	0	30
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN									
2010-1	COPENHAVER	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2010	30	1	60
WISCONSIN, EASTERN									
2010-1	CLEVERT	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	11/03/2009	30	1	60
2010-2	CLEVERT	BLANCO	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	12/17/2009	30	1	60
2010-3	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2010	30	0	30
2010-4	CLEVERT	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	05/18/2010	30	0	30
2010-5	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2010	30	0	30
2010-6	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2010	30	0	30
2010-7	ADELMAN	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2010	30	0	30
2010-8	ADELMAN	WEINSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2010	30	0	30
2009-1	CLEVERT	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	08/24/2009	30	0	30
2009-2	STROTMUELLER	WEINSTEIN	RACKETEERING	WC, TX	D	09/29/2009	30	0	30
2008-4	RANDA	SABIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2007	30	2	90
2008-5	RANDA	ROTH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2007	30	1	60
2008-6	RANDA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2008	30	0	30
WYOMING									
2010-1	JOHNSON	BLANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2010	30	1	60
2010-2	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2010	30	1	60
2010-3	DOWNES	ANDRES	NARCOTICS	WC, TX	D	10/06/2010	30	1	60

¹ U.S. Attorney General or designee who authorized reported application under 18 U.S.C. §2516.

² Type: WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic), TX = Text Message (Electronic).

³ Location: D = Portable Device, H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number *	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
WASHINGTON, WESTERN													
2010-1	59	910	19,545	53,710	13,506	773,833	188,242	35	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	57	32	163	1,818	142	87,617	8,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
2010-3	30	14	13	417	132	87,617	8,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
2009-9	20	64	60	1,288	663	226,000	21,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN													
2010-1	30	36	36	1,095	123	15,764	3,157	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-2	25	112	40	2,805	206	15,763	3,156	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN													
2010-1	57	94	23	5,357	1,143	16,286	7,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN, EASTERN													
2010-1	60	94	113	5,633	524	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2010-2	60	167	118	10,039	764	87,112	21,360	35	-	-	-	-	2
2010-3	30	40	10	1,189	159	87,200	15,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
2010-4	30	44	172	1,322	310	33,352	1,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
2010-5	22	285	25	6,280	569	94,268	14,125	11	-	-	-	-	-
2010-6	21	289	21	6,068	313	67,500	20,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-7	17	34	4	581	138	72,000	15,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2010-8	2	350	3	699	69	42,400	12,000	RELATED TO NO. 2010-3					
2009-1	27	29	68	776	387	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2009-2	30	67	71	2,020	728	RELATED TO NO. 2010-2		RELATED TO NO. 2010-2					
2008-4	87	130	245	11,286	-	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-6					
2008-5	40	174	221	6,954	-	RELATED TO NO. 2008-6		RELATED TO NO. 2008-6					
2008-6	23	136	77	3,131	3,904	77,480	-	45	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING													
2010-1	60	101	84	6,078	2,062	115,638	10,000	9	-	-	-	-	9
2010-2	36	10	41	369	79	88,612	12,500	8	-	-	-	-	5
2010-3	55	83	122	4,550	402	114,835	18,000	5	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* The first four digits of the A.O. number represent the year in which the wiretap was terminated. Wiretaps that were terminated prior to 2009 were not reported previously because they were part of ongoing investigations.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2001
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2001 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ARIZONA	2001-8	06/08/2001	-	-	2	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	2001-1	11/01/2000	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2003
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2003 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
TEXAS, WESTERN	2003-4	02/25/2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2004
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2004 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ARIZONA											
	2004-11	04/12/2004	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL											
	2004-14	03/31/2004	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	CONSPIRACY	
	2004-2	05/06/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN											
	2004-12	05/05/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-14	07/23/2004	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
HAWAII											
	2004-2	05/20/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN											
	2004-15	02/09/2004	-	30	1	-	-	-	-		
	2004-17	02/12/2004	-	7	-	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
	2004-22	04/07/2004	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		
	2004-51	08/12/2004	-	3	1	-	-	-	22	NARCOTICS	
INDIANA, SOUTHERN											
	2004-2	02/04/2004	-	-	1	-	-	-	8	NARCOTICS	
MASSACHUSETTS											
	2004-6	05/11/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-10	06/04/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-12	07/26/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-13	10/01/2004	-	4	-	-	-	-	13	NARCOTICS	
MICHIGAN, EASTERN											
	2004-5	03/03/2004	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
MISSOURI, WESTERN											
	2004-3	06/09/2004	-	8	1	-	-	-	8	CONSPIRACY	
NEBRASKA											
	2004-1	08/27/2004	-	23	-	-	8	-	17	NARCOTICS	
NEW YORK, WESTERN											
	2004-1	01/16/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-7	03/30/2004	-	1	-	-	-	-	9	NARCOTICS	
TENNESSEE, EASTERN											
	2004-6	10/25/2004	-	13	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2004
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2004 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
TEXAS, WESTERN											
	2004-6	01/23/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2004-9	03/03/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2004-11	04/08/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2004-18	06/03/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-22	06/18/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-23	06/18/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2004-25	07/12/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2004-37	09/29/2004	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2005
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2005 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL											
	2005-4	12/09/2004	-	8	1	-	-	-	2	OTHER	
COLORADO											
	2005-11	06/14/2005	-	4	-	-	-	-	-		
	2005-28	09/23/2004	-	15	-	-	25	-	26	NARCOTICS	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN											
	2005-1	10/22/2004	-	38	1	-	-	-	54	NARCOTICS	
	2005-3	12/13/2004	-	22	1	1	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2005-12	04/20/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	NARCOTICS	
	2005-22	07/22/2005	-	22	-	-	-	-	22	NARCOTICS	
	2005-27	08/24/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2005-29	09/20/2005	-	7	-	-	-	-	7	OTHER	
	2005-34	11/21/2005	-	21	-	-	-	-	21	NARCOTICS	
	2004-60	06/18/2004	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
INDIANA, SOUTHERN											
	2005-3	02/18/2005	-	1	1	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
KENTUCKY, EASTERN											
	2005-1	03/07/2005	-	10	1	-	-	-	16	NARCOTICS	
MICHIGAN, EASTERN											
	2005-3	02/24/2005	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	BRIBERY	
	2005-6	06/27/2005	-	5	-	-	-	-	-		
	2005-9	09/28/2005	-	3	2	6	4	-	4	OTHER	
NEBRASKA											
	2005-1	09/23/2004	-	12	2	-	1	-	10	NARCOTICS	
	2005-4	02/03/2005	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
NEVADA											
	2005-4	07/29/2005	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
NEW JERSEY											
	2005-1	10/15/2004	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	COUNTERFEITING	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2005
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2005 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	2005-2	01/11/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	BRIBERY	
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	2005-1	05/04/2005	-	-	-	-	2	2	-		

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2006
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2006 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ALASKA											
	2006-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2006-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL											
	2006-39	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2004-51	02/13/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	RACKETEERING	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN											
	2006-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2005-21	08/12/2005	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2006-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2005-22	10/31/2005	-	3	2	-	-	-	20	RACKETEERING	
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN											
	2006-6	03/30/2006	-	-	1	-	-	-	5	TERRORISM	
	2006-10	08/18/2006	-	2	-	-	-	-	-		
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN											
	2006-3	04/21/2006	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
	2006-28	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2006-29	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
KANSAS, KANSAS CITY											
	2006-1	12/07/2005	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	FRAUD	
LOUISIANA, EASTERN											
	2006-2	03/13/2006	-	-	1	-	-	-	42	NARCOTICS	
MARYLAND											
	2006-15	10/25/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	CONSPIRACY	
MASSACHUSETTS											
	2006-2	02/02/2006	-	-	-	-	1	-	-		
MICHIGAN, EASTERN											
	2006-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2006-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2006
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2006 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
			G	D	P						
MISSOURI, EASTERN											
	2006-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2006-18	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
MISSOURI, WESTERN											
	2006-5	06/08/2006	-	-	3	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS	
NEBRASKA											
	2005-6	02/17/2005	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
NEW JERSEY											
	2006-1	04/07/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	MURDER	
NEW YORK, EASTERN											
	2006-10	03/31/2006	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	FRAUD	
RHODE ISLAND											
	2006-2	11/14/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	\$LAUNDERING	
SOUTH CAROLINA											
	2006-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2006-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
TENNESSEE, EASTERN											
	2005-5	04/04/2005	-	24	-	-	-	-	34	CORRUPTION	
WISCONSIN, EASTERN											
	2006-1	11/02/2005	-	6	-	-	-	2	-		

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
ARIZONA										
	2007-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-16	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
ARKANSAS, EASTERN										
	2006-2	07/11/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2006-3	07/27/2006	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
	2006-4	10/10/2006	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL										
	2007-46	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-47	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-48	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-49	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN										
	2007-4	04/30/2007	-	34	2	-	1	-	13	NARCOTICS
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN										
	2007-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN										
	2006-15	03/27/2006	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	
	2005-2	07/26/2005	-	5	-	-	-	-	16	NARCOTICS
COLORADO										
	2007-4	01/25/2007	-	69	9	-	80	-	96	NARCOTICS
CONNECTICUT										
	2006-7	06/16/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	NARCOTICS
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN										
	2007-27	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN										
	2006-8	01/09/2006	-	12	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	2007-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	2006-3	04/20/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
MARYLAND	2007-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MASSACHUSETTS	2006-10	05/15/2006	-	3	-	-	1	2	2	CONSPIRACY
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	2007-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MINNESOTA	2007-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	2006-2	08/04/2006	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
MISSOURI, EASTERN	2007-24	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MISSOURI, WESTERN	2007-2	04/17/2007	-	7	2	-	-	-	6	NARCOTICS
NEW MEXICO	2007-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	2006-2	01/25/2006	-	2	-	-	-	-	10	CORRUPTION
OREGON	2007-2	11/28/2006	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	2006-6	12/01/2006	-	10	-	-	-	-	15	NARCOTICS	
SOUTH CAROLINA	2007-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	2007-25	04/30/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	OTHER	
	2007-57	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-58	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
TEXAS, WESTERN	2007-48	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
UTAH	2007-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	2007-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ARIZONA											
	2008-12	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
ARKANSAS, EASTERN											
	2007-1	09/24/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	OTHER	
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN											
	2008-3	02/04/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2007-12	11/02/2007	-	11	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN											
	2008-1	02/04/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	-		
CONNECTICUT											
	2007-6	12/19/2006	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2006-7	07/21/2006	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2008-7	02/20/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	NARCOTICS	
	2006-8	08/04/2006	-	1	-	-	-	-	11	NARCOTICS	
FLORIDA, MIDDLE											
	2008-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN											
	2008-22	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-23	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-24	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-25	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
GEORGIA, MIDDLE											
	2008-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
GEORGIA, NORTHERN											
	2008-53	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-54	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-55	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-56	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-57	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
GEORGIA, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)										
	2008-58	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-59	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-60	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN										
	2006-20	05/10/2006	-	-	-	-	3	-	20	NARCOTICS
INDIANA, NORTHERN										
	2008-1	01/10/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
INDIANA, SOUTHERN										
	2008-2	02/20/2008	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2007-17	08/10/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
KANSAS										
	2008-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
LOUISIANA, EASTERN										
	2008-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-5	06/14/2007	-	28	1	-	-	-	17	NARCOTICS
MARYLAND										
	2008-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MASSACHUSETTS										
	2006-14	01/20/2006	-	1	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS
MICHIGAN, EASTERN										
	2008-5	02/29/2008	-	13	-	-	-	1	-	
	2008-26	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-27	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MINNESOTA										
	2008-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
MISSOURI, WESTERN										
	2007-5	08/14/2007	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	
	2008-31	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-32	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-33	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
NEVADA										
	2008-4	04/17/2008	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
NEW YORK, WESTERN										
	2007-10	04/23/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS
	2007-12	06/11/2007	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
	2007-14	06/25/2007	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
	2007-15	08/06/2007	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE										
	2008-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
OHIO, SOUTHERN										
	2008-7	06/30/2008	-	17	-	-	-	-	4	CONSPIRACY
	2008-8	07/18/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
OREGON										
	2008-2	01/22/2008	-	4	2	-	1	-	25	NARCOTICS
	2008-3	02/15/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
	2008-4	03/21/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
	2008-5	04/25/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN										
	2008-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
PUERTO RICO										
	2008-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
TENNESSEE, EASTERN										
	2006-13	11/17/2006	-	10	-	-	-	-	8	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
UTAH											
	2008-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
VIRGINIA, EASTERN											
	2007-4	05/16/2007	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-5	10/11/2007	-	8	-	-	-	-	8	NARCOTICS	
	2008-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
WISCONSIN, EASTERN											
	2008-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ALABAMA, NORTHERN											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-6	09/17/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
ARIZONA											
	2009-3	01/13/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2008-5	02/12/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2009-7	03/02/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2008-11	05/20/2008	-	7	-	-	-	-	25	NARCOTICS	
	2009-12	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
ARKANSAS, EASTERN											
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL											
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-24	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-25	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-26	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-27	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-28	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN											
	2009-8	08/19/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-13	06/04/2007	-	1	-	3	1	2	9	RACKETEERING	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010								
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted	
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN											
	2008-12	04/18/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2007-20	09/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	CONSPIRACY	
COLORADO											
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
CONNECTICUT											
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA											
	2007-1	01/08/2007	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2007-2	03/19/2007	-	4	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS	
	2007-3	02/09/2007	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
FLORIDA, MIDDLE											
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN											
	2009-4	05/20/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	FRAUD	
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-11	01/14/2008	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-12	03/06/2008	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2009-12	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-14	04/07/2008	-	3	-	-	1	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-26	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
GEORGIA, MIDDLE										
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
GEORGIA, NORTHERN										
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-24	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-25	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-26	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-34	05/20/2008	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN										
	2009-1	03/16/2009	-	22	-	-	-	-	11	NARCOTICS
HAWAII										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-13	10/25/2007 Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2007-14	09/04/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS
	2007-15	11/14/2007 Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN										
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
INDIANA, NORTHERN										
	2009-4	04/09/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
INDIANA, SOUTHERN											
	2009-3	02/27/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-4	03/30/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-8	09/17/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-10	08/26/2008	-	2	-	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
KANSAS											
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-13	08/31/2007	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-14	08/31/2007	-	6	-	-	-	-	7	CONSPIRACY	
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
KENTUCKY, EASTERN											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
KENTUCKY, WESTERN											
	2008-1	09/10/2008	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	NARCOTICS	
LOUISIANA, EASTERN											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
LOUISIANA, WESTERN											
	2008-3	08/25/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
MAINE											
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
MASSACHUSETTS											
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
MICHIGAN, EASTERN										
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-12	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-13	06/13/2007	-	4	1	-	1	-	4	BRIBERY
	2008-14	09/12/2007	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	BRIBERY
	2007-16	12/03/2007	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	BRIBERY
	2008-18	02/28/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA										
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MISSOURI, EASTERN										
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2006-13	09/07/2006	-	7	-	-	-	-	8	RACKETEERING
	2008-13	05/13/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2006-14	11/01/2006								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2007-23	12/14/2006								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2007-25	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
MISSOURI, WESTERN										
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-12	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-29	06/20/2008	-	-	-	-	2	-	11	CONSPIRACY

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts 1 ²					
						G	D	P			
NEBRASKA											
	2008-2	08/12/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2008-3	10/03/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	CONSPIRACY	
NEVADA											
	2009-1	10/27/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
NEW JERSEY											
	2006-6	02/27/2006	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2006-7	03/15/2006	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
NEW MEXICO											
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-13	04/30/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2008-14	06/11/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
NEW YORK, EASTERN											
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
NEW YORK, NORTHERN											
	2008-3	08/25/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
	2008-4	09/03/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN											
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
NEW YORK, WESTERN											
	2008-2	09/18/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2008-3	06/12/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS	
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW YORK, WESTERN (CONTINUED)											
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN											
	2008-5	02/05/2008	-	-	2	-	-	-	7	RACKETEERING	
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
OHIO, NORTHERN											
	2009-1	04/06/2009	-	5	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS	
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-5	07/29/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	OTHER	
OHIO, SOUTHERN											
	2008-12	05/05/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2008-13	06/19/2008	-	2	-	-	-	-	4	CONSPIRACY	
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN											
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-2	08/22/2008	-	34	-	-	-	-	30	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
OREGON											
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN											
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
RHODE ISLAND										
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
SOUTH CAROLINA										
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
TENNESSEE, EASTERN										
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
TEXAS, NORTHERN										
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-24	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
TEXAS, SOUTHERN										
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
TEXAS, WESTERN										
	2009-2	12/22/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	NARCOTICS
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-21	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
UTAH										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
VIRGINIA, EASTERN										
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-6	06/09/2008	-	12	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS
	2008-7	09/05/2008	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation							
WASHINGTON, WESTERN										
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
WISCONSIN, EASTERN										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table A-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2004
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2004 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
CALIFORNIA										
LOS ANGELES										
	2004-70	09/22/2004	-	1	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2004-74	09/24/2004	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2005
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2005 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	2005-96	07/28/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
GEORGIA GWINNETT	2005-6	08/08/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2006
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2006 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
CALIFORNIA										
LOS ANGELES										
	2006-126	06/26/2006	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT
	2006-136	07/07/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
FLORIDA										
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)										
	2006-3	07/14/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	RACKETEERING
NEW MEXICO										
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)										
	2006-1	04/05/2006	60,000	1	1	-	-	-	1	MURDER
	2006-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA											
LOS ANGELES											
	2007-6	12/04/2007	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	POSSESSION	
	2007-35	01/18/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-42	02/01/2007	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	POSSESSION	
	2007-63	02/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-171	05/28/2007	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT	
	2007-192	06/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
SACRAMENTO											
	2007-5	07/18/2007	-	14	1	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS	
COLORADO											
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)											
	2007-19	07/17/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
FLORIDA											
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)											
	2007-4	06/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
GEORGIA											
GWINNETT											
	2007-5	07/19/2007	-	1	-	1	3	7	6	NARCOTICS	
NEVADA											
CLARK											
	2007-5	05/25/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-22	11/19/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
NEW JERSEY											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2007-57	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-58	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-59	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-60	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-61	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-62	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-63	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-64	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)										
	2007-65	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-66	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-67	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-68	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-69	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-70	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-71	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-72	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-73	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-74	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
OHIO										
LORAIN										
	2007-1	11/06/2007	64,773	4	-	-	-	-	4	CORRUPTION
PENNSYLVANIA										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL										
	2007-1	01/09/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS
	2007-16	08/30/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA											
ALAMEDA											
	2008-1	04/22/2008	-	-	1	-	2	-	7	MURDER	
LOS ANGELES											
	2008-2	11/09/2007	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-27	02/06/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-31	02/13/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-38	02/22/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-107	06/04/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-128	07/01/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	CONSPIRACY	
	2008-130	07/02/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-163	09/19/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-178	11/21/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	179	NARCOTICS	
	2008-179	11/24/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-180	11/26/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
SACRAMENTO											
	2008-3	02/20/2008	-	11	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
SAN MATEO											
	2008-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
COLORADO											
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)											
	2008-7	08/29/2008	-	16	1	-	-	-	14	NARCOTICS	
	2008-15	10/22/2008	-	25	-	-	-	-	25	NARCOTICS	
FLORIDA											
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)											
	2008-1	12/21/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	RACKETEERING	
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)											
	2008-1	07/07/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
GEORGIA											
GWINNETT											
	2008-3	12/07/2007	-	-	1	-	2	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2008-13	05/08/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
GEORGIA (CONTINUED)											
GWINNETT (CONTINUED)											
	2008-17	06/20/2008	-	2	-	-	4	-	9	NARCOTICS	
	2008-20	08/07/2008	-	-	-	-	4	4	10	NARCOTICS	
ILLINOIS											
COOK											
	2008-1	01/18/2008	-	-	3	1	4	-	7	NARCOTICS	
	2008-3	05/28/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
INDIANA											
MORGAN											
	2008-1	01/09/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
KANSAS											
SALINE											
	2008-1	10/03/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
	2008-3	10/30/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
MASSACHUSETTS											
NORFOLK											
	2008-1	05/15/2008	-	4	-	-	1	-	4	NARCOTICS	
NEVADA											
CLARK											
	2008-3	01/15/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2008-4	01/30/2008	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	MURDER	
	2008-19	05/26/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
	2008-21	06/03/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
	2008-26	06/25/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-34	08/22/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
DOUGLASS											
	2008-1	08/19/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
NEW JERSEY											
BURLINGTON											
	2008-3	07/07/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2008-25	05/20/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)										
	2008-52	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-53	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-54	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-55	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-56	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-57	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-58	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-59	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-60	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-61	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
NEW YORK										
NASSAU										
	2008-3	05/09/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS										
	2009-135	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-143	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-148	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-299	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-300	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-301	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-302	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-303	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-304	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-305	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-306	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-307	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-308	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-309	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-310	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2008-311	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-312	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-313	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-314	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-315	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-316	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-317	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-318	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-319	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-320	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-321	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-322	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-323	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-324	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-325	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-326	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-327	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-328	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-329	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-330	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-331	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-332	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-333	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-334	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-335	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-336	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-337	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-338	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)											
QUEENS (CONTINUED)											
	2008-339	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-340	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-341	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-342	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-343	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-344	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-345	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-346	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-347	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-348	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-349	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
OHIO											
LORAIN											
	2008-1	07/01/2008	45,000	13	-	-	-	-	6	CORRUPTION	
OREGON											
MULTNOMAH											
	2008-1	06/18/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
PENNSYLVANIA											
MONTGOMERY											
	2008-5	06/20/2008	-	-	16	-	2	-	2	NARCOTICS	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2008-3	07/15/2008	-	-	6	-	2	-	6	CORRUPTION	
	2008-4	09/09/2008	-	1	-	-	-	7	-		
TENNESSEE											
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)											
	2008-1	09/19/2008	-	5	-	-	2	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2008-2	09/19/2008			Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation						
	2008-3	10/22/2008			Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation						

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
WISCONSIN											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-1	11/29/2008	-	2	1	-	-	-	15	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
ARIZONA										
MARICOPA										
	2009-2	12/02/2008	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-21	11/09/2009	-	21	-	-	-	-	16	NARCOTICS
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
PIMA										
	2009-1	01/12/2009	-	-	-	2	-	-	7	NARCOTICS
	2009-6	09/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2009-8	11/10/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
CALIFORNIA										
ALAMEDA										
	2009-2	09/25/2009	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	
LOS ANGELES										
	2009-12	01/15/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-46	04/03/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-48	04/03/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-55	04/17/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-57	04/20/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-70	05/12/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-150	09/22/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-151	09/22/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-156	09/28/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS
	2009-160	09/28/2009	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT
	2009-167	10/09/2009	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	POSSESSION
	2009-190	12/15/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-192	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-193	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-194	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-195	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-196	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-197	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-198	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)											
SAN BERNARDINO											
	2009-1	11/05/2008	17,400	12	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	11/12/2008	43,240	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-3	11/14/2008	74,197	1	-	-	1	-	-		
	2009-4	11/26/2008	44,360	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-5	12/01/2008	88,720	8	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-6	12/04/2008	32,967	4	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-7	12/11/2008	17,400	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-8	12/12/2008	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-9	12/19/2008	42,000	5	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-10	12/19/2008	15,336	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-11	12/19/2008	54,332	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-12	12/30/2008	20,000	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-13	01/09/2009	151,03	6	-	-	-	2	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-14	01/14/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-15	01/16/2009	60,911	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-16	01/27/2009	21,979	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-17	01/30/2009	22,180	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-18	01/30/2009	11,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-19	02/03/2009	26,000	10	-	-	-	-	5	OTHER	
	2009-20	02/04/2009	30,675	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-21	02/06/2009	79,240	3	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-22	02/09/2009	23,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-23	02/12/2009	73,284	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-24	02/19/2009	36,270	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-25	02/19/2009	20,000	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-27	02/19/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-28	02/19/2009	12,625	10	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-29	02/20/2009	73,744	-	-	-	-	-	-		

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
	2009-30	02/20/2009	22,190	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-31	02/20/2009	230,72	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-32	02/25/2009	30,000	17	-	-	1	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-33	02/26/2009	20,000	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-34	03/02/2009	16,998	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-36	03/06/2009	21,200	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-37	03/10/2009	144,80	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-38	03/11/2009	20,685	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-39	03/11/2009	10,030	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-40	03/12/2009	39,474	6	2	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-41	03/17/2009	28,220	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-42	03/17/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-43	03/26/2009	23,615	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-44	03/30/2009	23,200	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-45	04/03/2009	40,528	6	2	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-46	04/03/2009	32,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-48	04/03/2009	28,062	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-50	04/08/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-51	04/08/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-52	04/14/2009	242,40	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-53	04/17/2009	78,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-54	04/17/2009	27,975	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-55	04/17/2009	80,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-56	04/20/2009	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-57	04/24/2009	123,68	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-58	04/28/2009	38,400	4	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-60	05/01/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-61	05/04/2009	20,771	-	-	-	-	-	-		

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
	2009-62	05/04/2009	22,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-63	05/05/2009	35,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-64	05/06/2009	35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-66	05/07/2009	25,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-67	05/08/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-68	05/10/2009	20,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-69	05/11/2009	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-70	05/22/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-71	05/22/2009	21,171	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-72	05/22/2009	13,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-73	05/22/2009	36,245	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-75	05/22/2009	7,050	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-76	05/27/2009	5,495	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-77	06/01/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-78	06/01/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-79	06/02/2009	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-81	06/04/2009	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-82	06/09/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-83	06/09/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-85	06/24/2009	28,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-87	07/01/2009	42,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-88	07/01/2009	32,498	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-89	07/01/2009	63,000	2	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-90	07/08/2009	5,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-91	07/10/2009	21,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-92	07/17/2009	20,100	4	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-94	07/29/2009	45,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-95	07/31/2009	19,780	3	-	-	-	-	-	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)											
SAN BERNARDINO (CONTINUED)											
	2009-97	08/14/2009	88,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-98	08/20/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-101	08/21/2009	45,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-102	08/25/2009	20,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-103	09/09/2009	20,506	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-104	09/09/2009	40,560	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-105	09/11/2009	44,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-106	09/18/2009	5,780	11	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-107	09/18/2009	3,733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-108	09/18/2009	13,152	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-109	09/18/2009	6,453	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-111	09/24/2009	1,180	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-112	09/24/2009	42,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-113	09/25/2009	11,400	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-114	10/08/2009	21,000	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-119	10/23/2009	38,900	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-120	10/27/2009	22,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-122	11/03/2009	20,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-123	11/17/2009	22,180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-125	11/24/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-126	12/01/2009	5,130	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-132	12/09/2009	1,810	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-133	12/15/2009	22,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-135	12/18/2009	3,798	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-136	12/18/2009	34,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STANISLAUS											
	2009-4	11/04/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
COLORADO											
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)											
	2009-1	12/18/2008	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-12	04/14/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
CONNECTICUT											
ROCKY HILL											
	2009-1	11/06/2009	103,61	14	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-2	11/06/2009	3,397	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-3	11/30/2009	2,847	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FLORIDA											
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)											
	2009-2	03/03/2009	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		
	2009-10	05/11/2009	-	1	2	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
	2009-28	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-29	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-30	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-31	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-32	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-33	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-34	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-35	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-36	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-37	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-38	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-39	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-40	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-41	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-42	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-43	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-44	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-45	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)										
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUCAL) (CONTINUED)										
	2009-46	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-47	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-48	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-49	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-50	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-51	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-52	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)										
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)										
	2009-5	03/30/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	NARCOTICS
	2009-12	10/26/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	NARCOTICS
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
GEORGIA										
GWINNETT										
	2009-11	02/12/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2009-15	04/28/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2009-16	05/19/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	NARCOTICS
	2009-19	06/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-20	06/16/2009	-	-	1	-	1	9	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-21	09/01/2009	-	-	-	-	-	8	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-22	11/09/2009	-	11	-	-	1	2	9	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ILLINOIS											
COOK											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
KANSAS											
SALINE											
	2009-1	10/06/2009	11,442	13	-	-	-	-	13	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	11/09/2009	20,309	12	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS	
MARYLAND											
WICOMICO											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
NEVADA											
CLARK											
	2009-6	01/27/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-13	03/02/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-15	03/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-25	04/27/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-42	07/29/2009	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-49	08/20/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-50	08/24/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-55	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
NEW JERSEY											
ATLANTIC											
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)											
ATLANTIC (CONTINUED)											
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
BERGEN											
	2009-18	09/09/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
BURLINGTON											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
CAMDEN											
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-12	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
CAPE MAY											
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
CUMBERLAND											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)											
HUDSON											
	2009-1	12/05/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2009-6	05/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	NARCOTICS	
	2009-10	07/29/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
HUNTERDON											
	2009-1	08/25/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-3	10/14/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
OCEAN											
	2008-3	04/18/2008	-	13	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-4	05/01/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	06/11/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
SALEM											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-6	04/20/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-24	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-25	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-26	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-27	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-28	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-29	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-30	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-31	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-32	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-33	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-34	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)											
	2009-35	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-36	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-37	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-38	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-39	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-40	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-41	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-42	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-43	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-44	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-45	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-46	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-47	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-48	10/22/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-48	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-49	08/06/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2007-75	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-76	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
UNION											
	2009-25	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
WARREN											
	2009-1	05/20/2009	-	-	-	-	3	-	5	NARCOTICS	
NEW YORK											
NASSAU											
	2009-3	03/11/2009	5,000	2	2	-	2	-	2	MURDER	
	2009-5	04/16/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-6	06/10/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	ASSAULT	
	2009-7	08/21/2009	-	5	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)											
NEW YORK											
	2009-1	11/09/2007	-	-	-	-	-	12	4	CORRUPTION	
	2009-5	12/19/2008	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	BRIBERY	
	2009-6	02/10/2009	800,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-7	02/13/2009	-	-	1	-	3	-	3	LARCENY	
	2009-9	03/23/2009	175,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-11	04/02/2009	175,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-12	04/21/2009	130,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-15	06/08/2009	2,000	5	-	-	-	-	-		
ONEIDA											
	2009-1	10/21/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
ONONDAGA											
	2009-1	06/11/2009	321,873	4	-	-	-	2	2	NARCOTICS	
QUEENS											
	2009-3	04/18/2008				Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation					
	2009-130	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-131	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-132	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-133	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-134	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-136	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-137	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-138	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-139	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-140	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-141	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-142	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-144	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-145	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
	2009-146	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-147	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-149	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-150	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-151	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-152	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-153	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-154	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-155	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-156	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-157	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-158	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-159	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-160	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-161	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-162	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-163	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-164	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-165	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-166	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-167	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-168	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-169	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-170	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-171	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-172	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-173	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-174	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-175	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2009-176	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-177	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-178	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-179	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-180	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-181	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-182	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-183	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-184	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-185	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-186	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-187	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-188	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-189	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-190	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-191	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-192	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-193	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-194	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-195	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-196	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-197	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-198	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-199	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-200	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-201	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-202	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-203	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-204	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
	2009-205	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-206	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-207	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-208	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-209	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-210	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-211	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-212	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-213	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-214	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-215	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-216	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-217	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-218	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-219	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-220	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-221	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-222	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-223	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-224	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-225	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-226	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-227	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-228	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-229	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-230	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-231	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-232	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2009-233	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-234	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-235	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-236	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-237	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-238	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-239	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-240	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-241	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-242	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-243	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-244	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-245	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-246	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-247	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-248	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-249	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-250	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-251	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-252	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-253	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-254	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-255	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-256	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-257	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-258	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-259	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-260	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED) QUEENS (CONTINUED)	2009-261	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-262	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-263	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-264	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-265	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-266	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-267	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-268	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-269	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-270	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-271	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-272	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-273	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-274	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-275	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-276	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-277	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-278	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-279	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-280	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-281	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-282	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-283	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-284	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-285	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-286	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-287	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-288	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-289	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
	2009-290	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-291	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-292	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-293	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-294	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-295	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-296	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-297	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-298	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-299	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-300	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-301	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-302	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-303	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-304	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-305	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-306	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-307	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-308	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-309	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-310	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-311	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-312	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-313	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-314	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-315	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-316	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-317	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

NEW YORK (CONTINUED)
QUEENS (CONTINUED)

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		

NEW YORK (CONTINUED)
QUEENS (CONTINUED)

2009-318	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-319	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-320	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-321	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-322	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-323	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-324	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-325	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-326	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-327	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-328	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-329	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-330	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-331	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-332	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-333	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-334	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-335	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-336	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-337	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-338	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-339	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-340	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-341	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-342	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-343	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-344	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)
2009-345	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
	2009-346	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-347	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-348	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-349	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-350	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-351	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-352	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-353	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-354	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-355	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-356	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-357	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-358	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-359	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-360	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-361	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-362	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-363	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-364	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-365	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-366	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-367	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-368	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-369	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-370	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-371	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-372	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-373	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

NEW YORK (CONTINUED)
QUEENS (CONTINUED)

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2009-374	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-375	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-376	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-377	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-378	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-379	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-380	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-381	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-382	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-383	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
RICHMOND										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
ROCKLAND										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
SUFFOLK										
	2009-1	12/16/2008								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-7	01/08/2009								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-48	05/27/2009								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-72	10/01/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	NARCOTICS
	2009-74	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-75	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
OKLAHOMA											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-7	04/29/2009	-	24	-	-	4	-	19	NARCOTICS	
PENNSYLVANIA											
CHESTER											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
MONTGOMERY											
	2009-4	10/09/2009	-	-	6	-	-	-	-		
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-2	01/16/2009	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	CORRUPTION	
	2009-7	02/06/2009	-	17	-	-	-	-	22	CORRUPTION	
	2009-13	07/01/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-18	08/28/2009	-	-	1	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-21	09/22/2009	-	17	-	-	-	-	17	NARCOTICS	
	2009-23	10/07/2009	-	6	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-26	10/07/2009	-	8	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-29	11/06/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-36	12/08/2009	-	18	2	-	-	1	2	CORRUPTION	
TENNESSEE											
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)											
	2009-1	02/03/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	02/03/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-3	02/11/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-4	02/17/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-5	02/17/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2004
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2004 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
CALIFORNIA										
LOS ANGELES										
	2004-70	09/22/2004	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2004-74	09/24/2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2005
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2005 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	2005-96	07/28/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
GEORGIA GWINNETT	2005-6	08/08/2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2006
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2006 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
CALIFORNIA										
LOS ANGELES										
	2006-126	06/26/2006	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT
	2006-136	07/07/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
FLORIDA										
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)										
	2006-3	07/14/2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	RACKETEERING
NEW MEXICO										
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)										
	2006-1	04/05/2006	60,000	1	1	-	-	-	1	MURDER
	2006-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA											
LOS ANGELES											
	2007-6	12/04/2007	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	POSSESSION	
	2007-35	01/18/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-42	02/01/2007	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	POSSESSION	
	2007-63	02/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-171	05/28/2007	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT	
	2007-192	06/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
SACRAMENTO											
	2007-5	07/18/2007	-	14	1	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS	
COLORADO											
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)											
	2007-19	07/17/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
FLORIDA											
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)											
	2007-4	06/20/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
GEORGIA											
GWINNETT											
	2007-5	07/19/2007	-	1	-	1	3	7	6	NARCOTICS	
NEVADA											
CLARK											
	2007-5	05/25/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2007-22	11/19/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
NEW JERSEY											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2007-57	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-58	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-59	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-60	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-61	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-62	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-63	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-64	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2007 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)										
	2007-65	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-66	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-67	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-68	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-69	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-70	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-71	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-72	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-73	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2007-74	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
OHIO										
LORAIN										
	2007-1	11/06/2007	64,773	4	-	-	-	-	4	CORRUPTION
PENNSYLVANIA										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL										
	2007-1	01/09/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	NARCOTICS
	2007-16	08/30/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA											
ALAMEDA											
	2008-1	04/22/2008	-	-	1	-	2	-	7	MURDER	
LOS ANGELES											
	2008-2	11/09/2007	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-27	02/06/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-31	02/13/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-38	02/22/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-107	06/04/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-128	07/01/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	CONSPIRACY	
	2008-130	07/02/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-163	09/19/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-178	11/21/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	179	NARCOTICS	
	2008-179	11/24/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-180	11/26/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
SACRAMENTO											
	2008-3	02/20/2008	-	11	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
SAN MATEO											
	2008-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
COLORADO											
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)											
	2008-7	08/29/2008	-	16	1	-	-	-	14	NARCOTICS	
	2008-15	10/22/2008	-	25	-	-	-	-	25	NARCOTICS	
FLORIDA											
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)											
	2008-1	12/21/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	RACKETEERING	
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)											
	2008-1	07/07/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
GEORGIA											
GWINNETT											
	2008-3	12/07/2007	-	-	1	-	2	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2008-13	05/08/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
GEORGIA (CONTINUED)											
GWINNETT (CONTINUED)											
	2008-17	06/20/2008	-	2	-	-	4	-	9	NARCOTICS	
	2008-20	08/07/2008	-	-	-	-	4	4	10	NARCOTICS	
ILLINOIS											
COOK											
	2008-1	01/18/2008	-	-	3	1	4	-	7	NARCOTICS	
	2008-3	05/28/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
INDIANA											
MORGAN											
	2008-1	01/09/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
KANSAS											
SALINE											
	2008-1	10/03/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
	2008-3	10/30/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
MASSACHUSETTS											
NORFOLK											
	2008-1	05/15/2008	-	4	-	-	1	-	4	NARCOTICS	
NEVADA											
CLARK											
	2008-3	01/15/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2008-4	01/30/2008	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	MURDER	
	2008-19	05/26/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
	2008-21	06/03/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
	2008-26	06/25/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2008-34	08/22/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
DOUGLASS											
	2008-1	08/19/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
NEW JERSEY											
BURLINGTON											
	2008-3	07/07/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2008-25	05/20/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)										
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)										
	2008-52	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-53	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-54	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-55	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-56	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-57	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-58	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-59	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-60	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-61	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
NEW YORK										
NASSAU										
	2008-3	05/09/2008	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS										
	2009-135	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-143	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-148	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-299	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-300	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-301	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-302	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-303	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-304	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-305	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-306	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-307	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-308	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-309	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-310	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2008-311	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-312	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-313	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-314	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-315	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-316	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-317	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-318	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-319	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-320	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-321	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-322	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-323	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-324	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-325	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-326	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-327	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-328	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-329	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-330	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-331	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-332	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-333	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-334	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-335	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-336	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-337	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2008-338	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)											
QUEENS (CONTINUED)											
	2008-339	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-340	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-341	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-342	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-343	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-344	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-345	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-346	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-347	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-348	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-349	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
OHIO											
LORAIN											
	2008-1	07/01/2008	45,000	13	-	-	-	-	6	CORRUPTION	
OREGON											
MULTNOMAH											
	2008-1	06/18/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	MURDER	
PENNSYLVANIA											
MONTGOMERY											
	2008-5	06/20/2008	-	-	16	-	2	-	2	NARCOTICS	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2008-3	07/15/2008	-	-	6	-	2	-	6	CORRUPTION	
	2008-4	09/09/2008	-	1	-	-	-	7	-		
TENNESSEE											
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)											
	2008-1	09/19/2008	-	5	-	-	2	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2008-2	09/19/2008			Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation						
	2008-3	10/22/2008			Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation						

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2008
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2008 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
WISCONSIN STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2009-1	11/29/2008	-	2	1	-	-	-	15	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
ARIZONA										
MARICOPA										
	2009-2	12/02/2008	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-21	11/09/2009	-	21	-	-	-	-	16	NARCOTICS
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
PIMA										
	2009-1	01/12/2009	-	-	-	2	-	-	7	NARCOTICS
	2009-6	09/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2009-8	11/10/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
CALIFORNIA										
ALAMEDA										
	2009-2	09/25/2009	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	
LOS ANGELES										
	2009-12	01/15/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-46	04/03/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-48	04/03/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-55	04/17/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-57	04/20/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-70	05/12/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS
	2009-150	09/22/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-151	09/22/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-156	09/28/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS
	2009-160	09/28/2009	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	TRANSPORT
	2009-167	10/09/2009	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	POSSESSION
	2009-190	12/15/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-192	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-193	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-194	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-195	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-196	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-197	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-198	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)											
SAN BERNARDINO											
	2009-1	11/05/2008	17,400	12	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	11/12/2008	43,240	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-3	11/14/2008	74,197	1	-	-	1	-	-		
	2009-4	11/26/2008	44,360	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-5	12/01/2008	88,720	8	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-6	12/04/2008	32,967	4	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-7	12/11/2008	17,400	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-8	12/12/2008	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-9	12/19/2008	42,000	5	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-10	12/19/2008	15,336	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-11	12/19/2008	54,332	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-12	12/30/2008	20,000	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-13	01/09/2009	151,03	6	-	-	-	2	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-14	01/14/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-15	01/16/2009	60,911	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-16	01/27/2009	21,979	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-17	01/30/2009	22,180	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-18	01/30/2009	11,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-19	02/03/2009	26,000	10	-	-	-	-	5	OTHER	
	2009-20	02/04/2009	30,675	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-21	02/06/2009	79,240	3	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-22	02/09/2009	23,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-23	02/12/2009	73,284	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-24	02/19/2009	36,270	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-25	02/19/2009	20,000	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-27	02/19/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-28	02/19/2009	12,625	10	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-29	02/20/2009	73,744	-	-	-	-	-	-		

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
	2009-30	02/20/2009	22,190	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-31	02/20/2009	230,72	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-32	02/25/2009	30,000	17	-	-	1	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-33	02/26/2009	20,000	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-34	03/02/2009	16,998	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-36	03/06/2009	21,200	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-37	03/10/2009	144,80	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-38	03/11/2009	20,685	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-39	03/11/2009	10,030	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-40	03/12/2009	39,474	6	2	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-41	03/17/2009	28,220	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-42	03/17/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-43	03/26/2009	23,615	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-44	03/30/2009	23,200	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-45	04/03/2009	40,528	6	2	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-46	04/03/2009	32,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-48	04/03/2009	28,062	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-50	04/08/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-51	04/08/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-52	04/14/2009	242,40	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-53	04/17/2009	78,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-54	04/17/2009	27,975	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-55	04/17/2009	80,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-56	04/20/2009	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-57	04/24/2009	123,68	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-58	04/28/2009	38,400	4	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-60	05/01/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-61	05/04/2009	20,771	-	-	-	-	-	-		

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
	2009-62	05/04/2009	22,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-63	05/05/2009	35,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-64	05/06/2009	35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-66	05/07/2009	25,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-67	05/08/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-68	05/10/2009	20,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-69	05/11/2009	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-70	05/22/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-71	05/22/2009	21,171	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-72	05/22/2009	13,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-73	05/22/2009	36,245	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-75	05/22/2009	7,050	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-76	05/27/2009	5,495	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-77	06/01/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-78	06/01/2009	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-79	06/02/2009	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-81	06/04/2009	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-82	06/09/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-83	06/09/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-85	06/24/2009	28,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-87	07/01/2009	42,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-88	07/01/2009	32,498	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-89	07/01/2009	63,000	2	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-90	07/08/2009	5,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-91	07/10/2009	21,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-92	07/17/2009	20,100	4	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-94	07/29/2009	45,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-95	07/31/2009	19,780	3	-	-	-	-	-	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)											
SAN BERNARDINO (CONTINUED)											
	2009-97	08/14/2009	88,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-98	08/20/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-101	08/21/2009	45,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-102	08/25/2009	20,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-103	09/09/2009	20,506	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-104	09/09/2009	40,560	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-105	09/11/2009	44,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-106	09/18/2009	5,780	11	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-107	09/18/2009	3,733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-108	09/18/2009	13,152	1	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-109	09/18/2009	6,453	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-111	09/24/2009	1,180	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-112	09/24/2009	42,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-113	09/25/2009	11,400	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-114	10/08/2009	21,000	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-119	10/23/2009	38,900	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-120	10/27/2009	22,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-122	11/03/2009	20,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-123	11/17/2009	22,180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-125	11/24/2009	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-126	12/01/2009	5,130	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-132	12/09/2009	1,810	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-133	12/15/2009	22,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-135	12/18/2009	3,798	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2009-136	12/18/2009	34,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STANISLAUS											
	2009-4	11/04/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
COLORADO											
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)											
	2009-1	12/18/2008	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-12	04/14/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
CONNECTICUT											
ROCKY HILL											
	2009-1	11/06/2009	103,61	14	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-2	11/06/2009	3,397	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-3	11/30/2009	2,847	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FLORIDA											
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)											
	2009-2	03/03/2009	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		
	2009-10	05/11/2009	-	1	2	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
	2009-28	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-29	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-30	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-31	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-32	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-33	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-34	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-35	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-36	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-37	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-38	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-39	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-40	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-41	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-42	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-43	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-44	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-45	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)										
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUCAL) (CONTINUED)										
	2009-46	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-47	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-48	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-49	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-50	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-51	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-52	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)										
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)										
	2009-5	03/30/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	NARCOTICS
	2009-12	10/26/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	NARCOTICS
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
GEORGIA										
GWINNETT										
	2009-11	02/12/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2009-15	04/28/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS
	2009-16	05/19/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	NARCOTICS
	2009-19	06/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-20	06/16/2009	-	-	1	-	1	9	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-21	09/01/2009	-	-	-	-	-	8	1	NARCOTICS
	2009-22	11/09/2009	-	11	-	-	1	2	9	NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
ILLINOIS											
COOK											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-8	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-9	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-10	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
KANSAS											
SALINE											
	2009-1	10/06/2009	11,442	13	-	-	-	-	13	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	11/09/2009	20,309	12	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS	
MARYLAND											
WICOMICO											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
NEVADA											
CLARK											
	2009-6	01/27/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-13	03/02/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-15	03/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	NARCOTICS	
	2009-25	04/27/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-42	07/29/2009	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-49	08/20/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-50	08/24/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-55	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
NEW JERSEY											
ATLANTIC											
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)											
ATLANTIC (CONTINUED)											
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
BERGEN											
	2009-18	09/09/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
BURLINGTON											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
CAMDEN											
	2009-11	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-12	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-13	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-16	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-17	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-18	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-19	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-20	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
CAPE MAY											
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
CUMBERLAND											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)											
HUDSON											
	2009-1	12/05/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2009-6	05/11/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	NARCOTICS	
	2009-10	07/29/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	NARCOTICS	
HUNTERDON											
	2009-1	08/25/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-3	10/14/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	NARCOTICS	
OCEAN											
	2008-3	04/18/2008	-	13	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2008-4	05/01/2008	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	06/11/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
SALEM											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-6	04/20/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-22	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-23	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-24	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-25	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-26	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-27	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-28	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-29	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-30	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-31	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-32	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-33	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-34	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)											
	2009-35	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-36	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-37	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-38	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-39	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-40	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-41	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-42	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-43	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-44	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-45	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-46	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-47	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-48	10/22/2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-48	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2008-49	08/06/2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	NARCOTICS	
	2007-75	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2007-76	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
UNION											
	2009-25	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
WARREN											
	2009-1	05/20/2009	-	-	-	-	3	-	5	NARCOTICS	
NEW YORK											
NASSAU											
	2009-3	03/11/2009	5,000	2	2	-	2	-	2	MURDER	
	2009-5	04/16/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-6	06/10/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	ASSAULT	
	2009-7	08/21/2009	-	5	-	-	-	-	12	NARCOTICS	

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)											
NEW YORK											
	2009-1	11/09/2007	-	-	-	-	-	12	4	CORRUPTION	
	2009-5	12/19/2008	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	BRIBERY	
	2009-6	02/10/2009	800,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-7	02/13/2009	-	-	1	-	3	-	3	LARCENY	
	2009-9	03/23/2009	175,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-11	04/02/2009	175,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-12	04/21/2009	130,000	15	-	-	5	-	15	NARCOTICS	
	2009-15	06/08/2009	2,000	5	-	-	-	-	-		
ONEIDA											
	2009-1	10/21/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
ONONDAGA											
	2009-1	06/11/2009	321,873	4	-	-	-	2	2	NARCOTICS	
QUEENS											
	2009-3	04/18/2008				Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation					
	2009-130	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-131	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-132	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-133	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-134	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-136	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-137	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-138	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-139	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-140	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-141	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-142	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-144	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-145	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
	2009-146	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-147	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-149	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-150	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-151	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-152	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-153	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-154	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-155	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-156	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-157	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-158	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-159	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-160	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-161	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-162	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-163	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-164	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-165	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-166	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-167	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-168	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-169	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-170	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-171	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-172	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-173	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-174	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-175	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2009-176	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-177	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-178	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-179	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-180	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-181	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-182	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-183	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-184	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-185	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-186	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-187	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-188	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-189	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-190	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-191	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-192	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-193	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-194	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-195	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-196	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-197	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-198	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-199	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-200	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-201	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-202	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-203	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-204	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
	2009-205	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-206	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-207	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-208	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-209	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-210	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-211	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-212	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-213	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-214	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-215	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-216	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-217	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-218	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-219	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-220	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-221	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-222	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-223	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-224	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-225	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-226	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-227	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-228	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-229	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-230	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-231	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-232	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2009-233	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-234	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-235	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-236	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-237	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-238	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-239	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-240	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-241	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-242	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-243	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-244	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-245	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-246	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-247	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-248	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-249	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-250	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-251	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-252	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-253	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-254	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-255	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-256	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-257	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-258	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-259	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-260	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED) QUEENS (CONTINUED)	2009-261	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-262	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-263	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-264	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-265	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-266	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-267	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-268	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-269	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-270	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-271	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-272	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-273	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-274	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-275	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-276	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-277	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-278	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-279	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-280	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-281	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-282	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-283	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-284	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-285	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-286	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-287	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-288	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-289	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹			Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
						G	D	P		
	2009-290	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-291	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-292	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-293	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-294	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-295	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-296	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-297	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-298	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-299	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-300	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-301	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-302	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-303	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-304	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-305	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-306	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-307	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-308	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-309	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-310	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-311	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-312	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-313	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-314	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-315	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-316	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-317	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

NEW YORK (CONTINUED)
QUEENS (CONTINUED)

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
	2009-318	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-319	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-320	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-321	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-322	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-323	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-324	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-325	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-326	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-327	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-328	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-329	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-330	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-331	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-332	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-333	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-334	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-335	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-336	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-337	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-338	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-339	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-340	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-341	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-342	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-343	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-344	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-345	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Con- victed	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
	2009-346	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-347	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-348	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-349	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-350	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-351	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-352	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-353	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-354	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-355	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-356	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-357	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-358	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-359	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-360	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-361	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-362	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-363	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-364	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-365	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-366	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-367	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-368	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-369	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-370	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-371	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-372	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-373	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010						Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹				
						G	D	P		
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)										
QUEENS (CONTINUED)										
	2009-374	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-375	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-376	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-377	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-378	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-379	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-380	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-381	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-382	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-383	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
RICHMOND										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
ROCKLAND										
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
SUFFOLK										
	2009-1	12/16/2008								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-7	01/08/2009								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-48	05/27/2009								Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-72	10/01/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	NARCOTICS
	2009-74	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								
	2009-75	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
OKLAHOMA											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-7	04/29/2009	-	24	-	-	4	-	19	NARCOTICS	
PENNSYLVANIA											
CHESTER											
	2009-1	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-2	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-3	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-4	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-5	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-6	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-7	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
MONTGOMERY											
	2009-4	10/09/2009	-	-	6	-	-	-	-		
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-2	01/16/2009	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	CORRUPTION	
	2009-7	02/06/2009	-	17	-	-	-	-	22	CORRUPTION	
	2009-13	07/01/2009	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-18	08/28/2009	-	-	1	-	-	-	4	NARCOTICS	
	2009-21	09/22/2009	-	17	-	-	-	-	17	NARCOTICS	
	2009-23	10/07/2009	-	6	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-26	10/07/2009	-	8	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-29	11/06/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	2009-36	12/08/2009	-	18	2	-	-	1	2	CORRUPTION	
TENNESSEE											
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)											
	2009-1	02/03/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	NARCOTICS	
	2009-2	02/03/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-3	02/11/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-4	02/17/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								
	2009-5	02/17/2009	Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation								

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2009
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010 (CONTINUED)

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 2009 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 2010							Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
			Total Cost in \$	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ¹					
						G	D	P			
TENNESSEE (CONTINUED)											
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM) (CONTINUED)											
	2009-6	02/24/2009									Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-7	03/02/2009									Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-10	06/09/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		NARCOTICS
	2009-11	06/09/2009									Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-12	06/23/2009									Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-13	07/21/2009									Linked to another wiretap involving the same investigation
	2009-14	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
	2009-15	(See Appendix Table B-1 in this year's report.)									
WISCONSIN											
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL											
	2009-1	01/29/2009	-	2	-	-	-	-	5		NARCOTICS

¹ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.