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Summary

In 2002, President Bush signed a new Unified Command Plan (UCP) establishing United States Northern Command (NORTHCOM) to provide command and control of the Department of Defense’s (DOD’s) homeland defense efforts and to coordinate military support to civil authorities. As a geographical combatant command, NORTHCOM has an area of responsibility that includes the continental United States, Alaska, Canada, Mexico, and surrounding waters out to approximately 500 nautical miles, including the Gulf of Mexico and the Straits of Florida. The NORTHCOM Commander also commands North American Aerospace Defense Command.

NORTHCOM, headquartered at Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado, employs approximately 1,200 DOD civilians, contractors, and service members from each service component. The Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps have service components assigned to NORTHCOM, while Navy Fleet Forces Command is a supporting component. Additionally, NORTHCOM maintains five subordinate joint headquarters to carry out assigned missions.

One of NORTHCOM’s key charters is to build ongoing relationships with government agencies that play a role in homeland security and defense. To ensure integration of homeland security and defense efforts, NORTHCOM participates in the Joint Interagency Coordination Group while also working closely with both the Department of Homeland Security and the National Guard Bureau. As a geographical combatant command, NORTHCOM also plays a key role in facilitating cooperation with both Canada and Mexico.

During deliberations for the FY2009 National Defense Authorization Act, some issues for Congress involving NORTHCOM may include DOD reorganization and the Unified Command Plan, improving interagency relationships, NORTHCOM’s increased reliance on reserve component service members, and the ongoing Cheyenne Mountain Operations Center realignment. This report will be updated as conditions require.
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Homeland Security: Roles and Missions for United States Northern Command

Introduction

This report outlines the organizational structure of United States Northern Command (NORTHCOM), explains how NORTHCOM contributes to homeland security through the interagency process, and summarizes NORTHCOM’s international relationships with Canada and Mexico. Some issues for Congress involving NORTHCOM include DOD reorganization and the Unified Command Plan, improving interagency relationships, NORTHCOM’s increased reliance on reserve component service members, and the ongoing Cheyenne Mountain Operations Center realignment.

Background

In 2002, President Bush signed a new Unified Command Plan (UCP) establishing NORTHCOM.1 NORTHCOM is a regional combatant command with an area of responsibility (AOR) that includes the continental United States, Alaska, Canada, Mexico, and surrounding waters out to approximately 500 nautical miles, including the Gulf of Mexico and the Straits of Florida.2 NORTHCOM’s mission is to “anticipate and conduct Homeland Defense and Civil Support operations within the assigned area of responsibility to defend, protect, and secure the United States and its interests.”3 The NORTHCOM Commander also commands North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD), a bi-national U.S. and Canadian organization charged with air and maritime warning and airspace control.4

Organization

NORTHCOM, headquartered at Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado, employs approximately 1,200 DOD civilians, contractors, and service members from each service component. Most U.S. military personnel also serve in NORAD positions,

---


2 Hawaii and Pacific territories and possessions are in U.S. Pacific Command AOR, while Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands are in U.S. Southern Command’s AOR.

3 “About NORTHCOM,” online at [http://www.northcom.mil/About/index.html].

4 NORAD and NORTHCOM are separate entities. NORAD was established by treaty, and in May 2006, NORAD’s maritime warning mission was added.
except in the operations directorate. Like other combatant commands, NORTHCOM has relatively few permanently assigned personnel to manage routine operations. Rather, when tasked by the National Command Authority to conduct specific homeland defense or civil support operations, NORTHCOM would be assigned forces from U.S. Joint Forces Command (JFCOM).\(^5\)

NORTHCOM has several subordinate commands to execute its mission. Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps components are assigned to NORTHCOM, while Navy Fleet Forces Command is a supporting component. These service component commands provide an administrative framework to command service forces gained from JFCOM for specific contingency operations. Additionally, five Joint Task Forces (JTF) have been established to provide operational organizational skeletons to oversee forces to be assigned for actual operations. The following summarizes direct-reporting NORTHCOM components:

**Army North (ARNORTH).** Based at Fort Sam Houston, Texas, 5th Army assumed responsibility for its NORTHCOM mission in October 2005. Commanded by an active duty three-star general, this organization shed its traditional role of training reservists to focus on supporting civil authorities. It assigns Defense Coordinating Officers (DCO) to all 10 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regional offices to streamline DOD coordination. During crisis response, DCOs are augmented by additional personnel to facilitate NORTHCOM support.\(^6\)

**Air Force North (AFNORTH).** First Air Force is headquartered at Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida, and in February 2006, it was designated as NORTHCOM’s air component. The organization is commanded by an Air National Guard two-star general who serves as a NORAD air defense commander. In addition to using Canadian and U.S. aircraft on alert, the command is expanding the use of mobile ground-based air defense systems and unmanned aerial vehicles. It also maintains a joint air surveillance system with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).\(^7\)

**Marine Forces North (MARFORNORTH).** In the fall of 2004, DOD designated Marine Forces Reserve Command in New Orleans, Louisiana, as a NORTHCOM component. The reserve three-star Marine commander is responsible for force-protection of Marine installations and coordinating Marine forces assigned to NORTHCOM. Additionally, to assist NORTHCOM civil support planning, the command has 32 Marine Emergency Preparedness Liaison Officers focused on specific FEMA regions. During the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, 20 of the 32

---

\(^5\) Testimony of Commander, U.S. Northern Command, Admiral Timothy J. Keating, before the Senate Armed Services Committee on March 14, 2006.


liaison officers worked in various FEMA, DOD, and state operations centers to coordinate Marine support.8

**Joint Task Force North (JTF-N).** Established in September 2004, JTF-N aids law enforcement agencies protecting U.S. borders. The Fort Bliss, Texas-based unit inherited 15 years of interagency experience from its predecessor JTF-6. Whereas JTF-6 assisted with counter-drug operations on the southern border, JTF-N now has a broader homeland defense focus as it integrates military capabilities with federal, state and local law enforcement. In addition to exercises and planning, JTF-N operations include reconnaissance, surveillance, detection, and infrastructure construction missions that often leverage military units training for deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan.9

**Standing Joint Forces Headquarters North (SJFHQ-N).** Headquartered at Peterson AFB, Colorado, SJFHQ-N began operations in January 2004. Its mission is to maintain situational awareness across NORTHCOM’s area of responsibility. SJFHQ-N is designed to provide command and control for contingency situations, and has the ability to forward deploy elements when required.10

**Joint Task Force Alaska (JTF-AK).** JTF-AK is headquartered at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, and is tasked to coordinate land defense and DOD support to civil authorities in Alaska.11

**Joint Task Force Civil Support (JTF-CS).** Headquartered at Fort Monroe in Hampton, Virginia, this JTF assists the lead federal agency managing the consequences of a chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-yield explosive incident in the United States or its territories and possessions. It was established in 1999 under U.S. Joint Forces Command, and its coordination with agencies like FEMA is more mature. The JTF is also working with state National Guard civil support teams as they become operational.12

**Joint Force Headquarters National Capital Region (JFHQ-NCR).** Activated in June 2003, this JTF is located at Fort Lesley J. McNair in Washington, D.C. It facilitates planning, training, and exercising among four local service components. Additionally, it coordinates with Coast Guard District 5, the DHS

---

8 Statement of Commander, Marine Forces North, Lt General Jack W. Bergman, before the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves, July 19, 2006.


10 Online at [http://www.northcom.mil/About/index.html#SJFHQN].

11 Ibid.

Office of National Capitol Region, and other federal, state, and local agencies to ensure unity of effort in the event of manmade or natural catastrophes.13

Interagency Relationships

Joint Interagency Coordination Group (JIACG). To facilitate interagency relationships, NORTHCOM has liaisons from more than 60 federal and non-federal agencies at Peterson AFB, Colorado. Liaisons provide subject matter expertise and direct lines of communication with their parent organizations. Some non-DOD agencies represented include the Central Intelligence Agency, FAA, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Geological Survey, as well as several Department of Homeland Security (DHS) organizations such as Customs and Border Patrol, FEMA, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and the Transportation Security Administration (TSA).14 The JIACG also does focused planning on specific issues with potential nationwide impact. For example, in August 2006, representatives from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) met with members of the JIACG to ensure a mutually supporting effort and eliminate redundancy in responding to potential pandemic flu.15

Department of Homeland Security. DOD has 65 personnel working in the DHS, and senior officials from both organizations meet daily at the principal and deputy level.16 There are also NORTHCOM personnel assigned to DHS components such as the Defense Coordinating Officers in FEMA regions. Through a memorandum of understanding, the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) has 20 personnel serving on the NORTHCOM headquarters staff facilitating regular discussions for maritime homeland defense roles and responsibilities.17

National Guard. Although the National Guard Bureau (NGB) is a DOD organization, most national guard forces report to their state leadership unless federalized. In the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, NORTHCOM and the NGB took steps to improve coordination with and oversight of National Guard forces serving in state and federal roles. These steps include a formal policy on command, control, and communications; an advisory board to expedite solutions for improving information sharing; and more than 87 NORTHCOM mobile training team visits to

---


14 Testimony of Commander, U.S. Northern Command, Admiral Timothy J. Keating, before the Senate Armed Services Committee on March 14, 2006.


16 Interview with Assistant Secretary of Defense for Homeland Defense, Paul McHale, in Joint Forces Quarterly, issue 40, 1st Quarter 2006, p. 11.

17 Testimony of Commandant of the U.S. Coast Guard, Admiral Thad Allen, before the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security on September 7, 2006.
demonstrate collaborative tools to the NGB joint operations center and state-level guard headquarters.\textsuperscript{18}

\textbf{International Security Cooperation}

\textbf{Canada.} In December 2002, Canada and the United States established a Bi-national Planning Group at NORAD/NORTHCOM headquarters to review theater cooperation in the post 9/11 security environment. The 50-person military team dissolved in May 2006 after submitting a final report with 62 recommendations.\textsuperscript{19} Some recommendations, like authority for WMD teams to cross the border, will require legislative action to be effective. However, most, like protocols for information sharing between NORAD, NORTHCOM, and Canada Command, could be orchestrated under existing laws and the NORAD agreement.\textsuperscript{20}

\textbf{Mexico.} Theater security cooperation with Mexico is limited to anti-drug trafficking operations and senior officer visits with Mexican counterparts. The former is a continuation of JTF-6 interactions, while the latter has proven difficult because the Mexican defense establishment lacks a natural entry point for combatant command level engagement. Mexican defense leaders have historically interacted with the Office of the Secretary of Defense because Mexico was not assigned to a combatant command’s AOR.\textsuperscript{21}

\textbf{Issues for Congress}

During deliberations on the FY2009 defense authorization legislation, some NORTHCOM issues for Congress may include DOD reorganization and the Unified Command Plan (UCP), NORTHCOM’s interagency relationships, NORTHCOM’s reliance on reserve component forces, and the ongoing Cheyenne Mountain Operations Center realignment.

\textbf{Unified Command Plan (UCP)}

Congress approved DOD’s request for a 10\textsuperscript{th} assistant secretary of defense to facilitate the reorganization of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy (OUSD [P]). The conference report for the 2007 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) expressed several concerns about the reorganization, including the Assistant Secretary for Homeland Defense inheriting a Western

\textsuperscript{18}Testimony of Commander, U.S. Northern Command, Admiral Timothy J. Keating, before the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves on May 3, 2006.


Hemisphere focus. DOD intended for the reorganization to streamline combatant command interactions with OUSD (P). However, the proposed reorganization appears to create more rather than fewer entry points to navigate. For example, the Assistant Secretary for Homeland Defense and Americas Affairs, as well as the new Assistant Secretary for Global Security Policy, both appear to have NORTHCOM interests. Likewise, DOD has currently filled only three of five Assistant Secretary billets within OUSD (P). As DOD reorganizes its policy staff, some may call for major changes to the UCP. After only five years in existence, NORTHCOM’s geographic AOR continues to be refined. A May 2006 revision of the UCP shifted the Aleutian Islands from PACOM to NORTHCOM while moving Cuba, Puerto Rico, the Bahamas, and the U.S. Virgin Islands from NORTHCOM to SOUTHCOM. There may be merit to further examining combatant command boundaries, particularly between NORTHCOM and U.S. Southern and Pacific Commands.

**Interagency Relationships**

Based on Hurricane Katrina lessons learned, DOD and DHS have taken several steps to improve coordination. Several NORTHCOM components have been assigned missions that focus on military assistance to civil authorities. Likewise, NORTHCOM continues to make tangible efforts to improve cooperation and coordination with National Guard forces, as well as key partners like FEMA. Reportedly, NORTHCOM, NGB, and FEMA partnered to purchase 22 identical deployable cellular communication systems to improve coordinated emergency response capability.

Seeking to continue to strengthen relationships between DHS and DOD, the 2008 NDAA directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to determine what military-unique capabilities DOD provides that are necessary to support civil authorities during national catastrophic incidents. Additionally, the 2008 NDAA directs DOD to budget for additional requirements deemed necessary to conduct civil support missions.

With the focus on interagency coordination, some are calling for legislation to codify processes with something similar to the Goldwater-Nichols Act that reorganized DOD. The 2007 NDAA directed the President to provide Congress a
While the report focused on better DOD and civilian executive branch integration, homeland security also requires cooperation across the full spectrum of federal, state, and local agencies. Likewise, some question the advisability of creating additional layers at the federal level, as it may make it more complicated for state and local authorities to interact with federal agencies.

Increased Reliance on Reserve Components

Nearly 150 reserve and national guard members staff NORTHCOM headquarters, including five general officers. Its Air Force and Marine Components are reserve commands that have full-time missions; JTF-CS is primarily a reserve organization, and JTF-N relies on reserve component units to conduct operations. Likewise, the National Command Region’s Integrated Air Defense System is operated by National Guard forces in Title 10 status, and since 9/11 over 70% of the nation’s air defense sorties have been flown by reserve component forces. Recent trends indicate NORTHCOM will increase reliance on reserve component forces to support civil authorities. Reserve component forces are also deploying overseas in large numbers for other combatant commanders. As mobilizations continue and homeland security missions increase, more reserve component forces are serving in full-time status.

Some are concerned homeland defense may create near- and long-term resource issues for the reserve components prompting many governors to question whether they will have sufficient National Guard forces available to accomplish state missions. As such, the 2008 NDAA requires DOD in consultation with DHS, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, NORTHCOM, and the NGB to prepare and submit a plan to Congress for coordinating the use of National Guard and members of the Armed Forces in response to natural and man-made disasters by June 1, 2008. Additionally, the 2008 NDAA requires the President to establish a bipartisan Council of Governors to advise DOD, DHS, and the White House Homeland Security Council regarding the use of the National Guard and civil support missions.

---

26 P.L. 106-364, Sec. 1035.
28 Testimony of Commander, U.S. Northern Command, Admiral Timothy J. Keating, before the Commission on National Guard and Reserves on May 3, 2006.
Some believe that because of the National Guard’s unique dual status, the NORTHCOM deputy commander should be a National Guard officer. This issue was initially deferred to the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves. The Commission recommended that either the commander or deputy commander of NORTHCOM be filled by a reserve component officer, but it recommended against adding a second deputy commander billet to allow both active duty and reserve component representation at the deputy level. The 2008 NDAA requires that either the commander or at least one deputy commander billet at NORTHCOM be filled with a National Guard officer.

**Cheyenne Mountain Operations Center**

In July 2006, NORTHCOM announced the Cheyenne Mountain Operations Center (CMOC) was undergoing an 18-month transition with day-to-day operations moving from the underground complex 15 miles west of Peterson to a combined NORAD/NORTHCOM Command Center at Peterson. The Cold War vintage facility will remain in a “standby” status and be used for exercises or contingencies. NORTHCOM believes the combined command center will increase unity of effort and operational effectiveness, enabling an effective response to a full spectrum of threats. However, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has voiced concern over costs, as well as incomplete analyses of security implications and operational effects of the proposed moves.

To address the concerns, the 2008 National Defense Authorization Act stipulates funding for the CMOC realignment upon the DOD submitting a report to Congress that compares the cost of relocation with anticipated operational benefits, details functions that will remain at CMOC and maintain connectivity with functions that transfer out of CMOC, outlines plans for the relocation of NORAD, and explains the results of and independent security and vulnerability assessment of the new command center at Peterson AFB, Colorado, along with DOD plans, costs, and schedules for mitigating identified risks. The report is due to Congress on March 1, 2008. After the report is submitted, GAO has 120 days to submit to Congress a review of DOD’s report. Finally, by March 16, 2008, the Secretary of the Air Force must submit a master recapitalization plan for Cheyenne Mountain Air Station.

---