

# CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

## **Immigration: Terrorist Grounds for Exclusion of Aliens**

**Updated October 12, 2004**

Michael John Garcia  
Legislative Attorney  
American Law Division

Ruth Ellen Wasem  
Specialist in Immigration Policy  
Domestic Social Policy Division

# Immigration: Terrorist Grounds for Exclusion of Aliens

## Summary

The Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) spells out a strict set of admissions criteria and exclusion rules for all foreign nationals, whether coming permanently as immigrants (i.e., legal permanent residents) or temporarily as nonimmigrants. Notably, any alien who has engaged in or incited terrorist activity, is reasonably believed to be carrying out a terrorist activity, or is a representative or member of a designated foreign terrorist organization is inadmissible.

After the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, the INA was broadened to deny entry to representatives of groups that endorse terrorism, prominent individuals who endorse terrorism, and spouses and children of aliens who are removable on terrorism grounds (on the basis of activities occurring within the previous five years). The INA also contains grounds for inadmissibility based on foreign policy concerns.

The recently issued report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (also known as the 9/11 Commission) concluded that the key officials responsible for determining alien admissions (consular officers abroad and immigration inspectors in the United States) were not considered full partners in counterterrorism efforts prior to September 11, 2001, and as a result, opportunities to intercept the September 11 terrorists were missed. The 9/11 Commission's monograph, *9/11 and Terrorist Travel*, underscored the importance of the border security functions of immigration law and policy.

This report opens with an overview of the grounds for inadmissibility and summarizes key legislation enacted in recent years. The section on current law explains the legal definitions of "terrorist activity," "terrorist organization," and other security-related grounds for inadmissibility and analyzes the legal implications of these provisions. The report then discusses the alien screening process to identify possible terrorists during the visa issuance process abroad and the inspections process at U.S. ports of entry. Where relevant, the report also discusses how proposed legislation – H.R. 10, the 9/11 Recommendations Implementation Act, as amended and passed by the House on October 8, 2004, and S. 2845, the National Intelligence Reform Act of 2004, as amended and passed by the Senate on October 8, 2004 – would affect these matters.

For legislative analysis of related issues, see CRS Report RL32616, *9/11 Commission: Current Legislative Proposals for U.S. Immigration Law and Policy*; CRS Report RL32399, *Border Security: Inspections Practices, Policies and Issues*; CRS Report RL32169, *Immigration Legislation in the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress*; CRS Report RL32621, *U.S. Immigration Policy on Asylum Seekers*; CRS Report RL32234, *U.S. Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology Program (US-VISIT)*; and CRS Report RL31512, *Visa Issuances: Policy, Issues, and Legislation*. This report will be updated as events require.

# Contents

Introduction .....	1
Overview of Terrorist Exclusion .....	2
Grounds for Inadmissibility .....	2
Key Legislation .....	3
Current Law .....	4
“Terror-Related” Grounds for Inadmissibility or Deportability under Immigration Law .....	4
Terror-Related Grounds for Inadmissibility under the INA .....	4
Definitions of Terror-Related Terms in the INA .....	6
Definition of “Terrorist Activity” under the INA .....	6
Definition of “Engaged in Terrorist Activity” under the INA .....	6
Definition of “Terrorist Organization” under the INA .....	8
Security-Related and Foreign Policy Grounds for Deeming an Alien Inadmissible .....	8
Screening Aliens for Admissibility .....	9
Visa Issuance .....	9
Terrorist Screening .....	9
Controlled Technologies .....	10
Biometric Visas .....	10
Terrorist Travel .....	11
Admissibility at Ports of Entry .....	11
Border Inspections .....	11
US-VISIT .....	12
Pre-inspection .....	13
Expedited Removal under INA § 235(c) .....	13

# Immigration: Terrorist Grounds for Exclusion of Aliens

## Introduction

In the years following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, considerable concern has been raised because the 19 terrorists were aliens (i.e., noncitizens or foreign nationals) who apparently entered the United States on temporary visas despite provisions in immigration law that bar the admission of suspected terrorists. The report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (also known as the 9/11 Commission) contended that “(t)here were opportunities for intelligence and law enforcement to exploit al Qaeda’s travel vulnerabilities.” The 9/11 Commission maintained that border security was not considered a national security matter prior to September 11, and as a result the consular and immigration officers were not treated as full partners in counterterrorism efforts.<sup>1</sup> The 9/11 Commission’s monograph, *9/11 and Terrorist Travel*, underscored the importance of the border security functions of immigration law and policy.<sup>2</sup>

A number of recent proposals to implement the recommendations of the 9/11 Commission, including H.R. 10, the 9/11 Recommendations Implementation Act, as amended, introduced by the Speaker of the House of Representatives Dennis Hastert and passed by the House on October 8, 2004, and S. 2845, the National Intelligence Reform Act of 2004, as amended, introduced by Senators Susan Collins and Joseph Lieberman and passed by the Senate on October 8, 2004, would have notable implications upon U.S. counterterrorism efforts and border security.<sup>3</sup>

Under current law, three departments — the Department of State (DOS), the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ) — play key roles in administering the law and policies on the admission of aliens.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, *The 9/11 Commission Report*, Executive Summary, at 14 (Jul. 2004).

<sup>2</sup> National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, *9/11 and Terrorist Travel* (Aug. 2004).

<sup>3</sup> For further analysis, see CRS Report RL32616, *9/11 Commission: Current Legislative Proposals for U.S. Immigration Law and Policy*, by Michael John Garcia and Ruth Ellen Wasem.

<sup>4</sup> Other departments, notably the Department of Labor (DOL) and the Department of Agriculture (USDA), play roles in the approval process depending on the category or type of visa sought, and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) sets policy on (continued...)

DOS's Bureau of Consular Affairs (Consular Affairs) is the agency responsible for issuing visas, DHS's Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) is charged with approving immigrant petitions, and DHS's Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) is tasked with inspecting all people who enter the United States. DOJ's Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) has a significant policy role through its adjudicatory decisions on specific immigration cases.

This report focuses on the terrorism-related grounds for inadmissibility. It opens with an overview of the terror-related grounds as they evolved through key legislation enacted in recent years. The section on current law explains the legal definitions of "terrorist activity," "terrorist organization," and other security-related grounds for inadmissibility and analyzes the legal implications of these provisions. The report then discusses the alien screening process to determine admissibility and to identify possible terrorists, both during the visa issuance process abroad and the inspections process at U.S. ports of entry.

## Overview of Terrorist Exclusion

### Grounds for Inadmissibility

With certain exceptions,<sup>5</sup> aliens seeking admission to the United States must undergo separate reviews performed by DOS consular officers abroad and CBP inspectors upon entry to the United States.<sup>6</sup> These reviews are intended to ensure that applicants are not ineligible for visas or admission under the grounds for inadmissibility spelled out in the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).<sup>7</sup> These criteria are:

- health-related grounds;
- criminal history;<sup>8</sup>
- security and terrorist concerns;
- public charge (e.g., indigence);
- seeking to work without proper labor certification;
- illegal entry and immigration law violations;

---

<sup>4</sup> (...continued)

the health-related grounds for inadmissibility.

<sup>5</sup> Certain classes of aliens are not required to obtain a visa to enter the United States and are therefore exempt from the consular review process. For example, under the visa waiver program (VWP), nationals from certain countries are permitted to enter the United States as temporary visitors (nonimmigrants) for business or pleasure without first obtaining a visa from a U.S. consulate abroad. See INA § 217; 8 U.S.C. § 1187. For additional background on the VWP, see CRS Report RL32221, *Visa Waiver Program*, by Alison Siskin.

<sup>6</sup> For background and analysis of alien screening and visa issuance policy, see CRS Report RL31512, *Visa Issuances: Policy, Issues, and Legislation*, by Ruth Ellen Wasem.

<sup>7</sup> INA § 212(a); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a).

<sup>8</sup> For a full discussion of this ground, see CRS Report RL32480, *Immigration Consequences of Criminal Activity*, by Michael John Garcia and Larry M. Eig.

- ineligible for citizenship; and,
- aliens previously removed.

Some grounds for inadmissibility may be waived or are not applicable in the case of nonimmigrants, refugees (e.g., public charge),<sup>9</sup> and other aliens. For aliens seeking to enter temporarily as nonimmigrants, even the terrorism grounds for inadmissibility may possibly be waived for aliens who do not pose an immediate danger.<sup>10</sup> As the terrorism grounds broadened from active and former terrorists to representatives of terrorist organizations to members and supporters of terrorist organizations to those who may have endorsed or espoused terrorism at one time, many believed it was appropriate to at least leave open the possibility of a waiver to allow temporary admission for limited purposes and subject to strict controls.<sup>11</sup>

## Key Legislation

Prior to the Immigration Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-649), there was no express terrorism-related ground for exclusion. Congress added the terrorism ground in the 1990 Act as part of a broader effort to streamline and modernize the security and foreign policy grounds for inadmissibility and removal. Before 1990, certain terrorists were excludable under security grounds, but the 1990 Act opened the door for broader elaboration of what associations and promotional activities could be deemed to be terrorist activities. In part as a response to the 1993 World Trade Center bombing, Congress strengthened the anti-terrorism provisions in the INA and passed provisions that many maintained would ramp up enforcement activities, notably in the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) of 1996 (P.L. 104-208) and the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (P.L. 104-132). As part of the Violent Crime Control Act of 1994, Congress also amended the INA to establish temporary authority for an “S” nonimmigrant visa category for aliens who are witnesses and informants on criminal and terrorist activities. In September 2001, Congress enacted S. 1424 (P.L. 107-45) providing permanent authority for admission under the S visa.<sup>12</sup>

Enacted in October 2001, the USA PATRIOT Act (P.L. 107-56) is a broad anti-terrorism measure that includes several important changes to immigration law. Specifically in the context of this report, the USA PATRIOT Act amended the INA

---

<sup>9</sup> All family-based immigrants and employment-based immigrants who are sponsored by a relative must have binding affidavits of support signed by U.S. sponsors in order to show that they will not become public charges.

<sup>10</sup> INA § 212(d)(3); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(3).

<sup>11</sup> See, e.g., *International Terrorism: Threats and Responses, Hearings on H.R. 1710, the Comprehensive Antiterrorism Act of 1995, Before the House Comm. on the Judiciary*, 104<sup>th</sup> Cong. 243-244 (1995) (testimony of Jamie S. Gorelick, Deputy Attorney General) (while strongly endorsing greater antiterrorism authority, also observing that it might be in our interest to allow a member of a terrorist organization to enter in some circumstances). Controversy has especially arisen from time-to-time on whether to waive terrorism inadmissibility for certain Palestinians.

<sup>12</sup> CRS Report RS21043, *Immigration: S Visas for Criminal and Terrorist Informants*, by Karma Ester.

to expand the definition of “terrorism” and amend the criteria and process for designating “terrorist organizations.”

The Enhanced Border Security and Visa Entry Reform Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-173) aimed to improve the visa issuance process abroad as well as immigration inspections at the border. It expressly required the development of an interoperable electronic data system to share information relevant to alien admissibility and removability and the implementation of an integrated entry-exit data system. It also required that, beginning in October 2004, all newly-issued visas have biometric identifiers. In addition to increasing consular officers’ access to electronic information needed for alien screening, it expanded the training requirements for consular officers who issue visas.<sup>13</sup>

## Current Law

### “Terror-Related” Grounds for Inadmissibility or Deportability under Immigration Law

Engaging in specified, terror-related activity has strict consequences upon an alien’s ability to lawfully enter or remain in the United States. The INA provides that aliens engaged in terror-related activities cannot legally enter the United States. If an alien is legally admitted into the United States and subsequently engages in terrorist activity, he is deportable.<sup>14</sup> Even if an alien does not fall under terror-related categories making him inadmissible or deportable, he might still be denied entry or removed from the United States on separate, security-related grounds.

**Terror-Related Grounds for Inadmissibility under the INA.** The INA categorizes certain classes of aliens as inadmissible, making them “ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the United States.”<sup>15</sup> Pursuant to INA section 212(a)(3)(B), an alien is inadmissible if he:

- has engaged in terrorist activity;

---

<sup>13</sup> CRS Report RS21438, *Immigration Legislation Enacted in the 107th Congress*, by Andorra Bruno.

<sup>14</sup> Pursuant to INA section 237, any alien who has engaged, is engaged, or at any time *after admission* engages in any terrorist activity is deportable. INA § 237(a)(4)(B); 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(4)(B). The scope of these grounds is considerably less broad than terror-related grounds for inadmissibility. Membership in or association with a terrorist organization, the endorsement or espousal of terrorist activity, or being the spouse or child of an alien who was inadmissible to the United States on terror-related grounds would not appear to provide grounds for deporting an alien legally present in the United States, even if these grounds would make an alien seeking to enter the United States statutorily inadmissible. Compare INA § 237(a)(4)(B); 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(4)(B) (detailing terror-related grounds for deportability) with INA § 212(a)(3)(B); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B) (detailing terror-related grounds for inadmissibility). However, House-passed H.R. 10 would make the grounds for deportability for terror-related activity the same as the grounds for inadmissibility.

<sup>15</sup> INA § 212(a); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a).

- is known or reasonably believed by a consular officer or the Attorney General to be engaged in or likely to engage in terrorist activity upon entry into the United States;
- has, under circumstances indicating an intention to cause death or serious bodily harm, incited terrorist activity;
- is a representative of (A) a foreign terrorist organization, as designated by the Secretary of State, or (B) a political, social or other similar group whose public endorsement of acts of terrorist activity the Secretary of State has determined undermines United States efforts to reduce or eliminate terrorist activities;
- is either a member of a foreign terrorist organization, as designated by the Secretary of State, or is an officer, official, representative, or spokesman of the Palestine Liberation Organization;
- has used his position of prominence within any country to endorse or espouse terrorist activity, or to persuade others to support terrorist activity or a terrorist organization, in a way that the Secretary of State has determined undermines United States efforts to reduce or eliminate terrorist activities; or
- is the spouse or child of an alien who is inadmissible under this section, if the activity causing the alien to be found inadmissible occurred within the last five years, unless the spouse or child (A) did not and should not have reasonably known about the terrorist activity or (B) in the reasonable belief of the consular officer or Attorney General, has renounced the activity causing the alien to be found inadmissible under this section.<sup>16</sup>

An additional, catch-all provision of section 212(a) provides that association with terrorist organizations may also be grounds for inadmissibility. Any alien who either the Secretary of State or Attorney General, after consultation with the other, determines has been associated with a “terrorist organization and intends while in the United States to engage solely, principally, or incidentally in activities that could endanger the welfare, safety, or security of the United States is inadmissible.”<sup>17</sup>

House-passed H.R. 10 would modify the terror-related grounds for admissibility. Pursuant to House-passed H.R. 10, an alien’s membership in a political, social or other similar group that endorses or espouses terrorist activity would be grounds for inadmissibility, without requiring the Secretary of State to first determine that such endorsements undermine United States efforts to reduce or eliminate terrorist activities. Membership in a terrorist organization is a ground for alien inadmissibility unless the alien can demonstrate by *clear and convincing evidence* that he or she did not know, or should not have reasonably known, that the organization was a terrorist organization. The endorsement or espousal of terrorist activity, or the persuasion of others to support terrorist activity or a terrorist organization, are also made sufficient grounds for inadmissibility regardless of whether the responsible alien (1) used his or her position of prominence to espouse or incite the terror-related activity or (2) has been determined by the Secretary of State to have acted in a manner that undermines

---

<sup>16</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(i); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(i).

<sup>17</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(F); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(F).



U.S. efforts to reduce or eliminate terrorist activities. Further, any alien who receives military-type training by or on behalf of a terrorist organization would also be inadmissible pursuant to House-passed H.R. 10.

## Definitions of Terror-Related Terms in the INA

Terms including “terrorist activity,” “engaged in terrorist activity,” and “terrorist organization” are specifically defined for INA purposes and refer to distinct concepts. This section will discuss the meaning of these terms as they relate to immigration matters.

**Definition of “Terrorist Activity” under the INA.** For purposes of immigration matters covered by the INA, “terrorist activity” is defined by INA section 212(a)(3)(B). In order for an action to constitute “terrorist activity,” it must be unlawful in the place where it was committed and involve:

- the hijacking or sabotage of an aircraft, vessel, or other vehicle;
- seizing or detaining, and threatening to kill, injure, or continue to detain, another individual in order to compel a third person (including a governmental organization) to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for the release of the individual seized or detained;
- a violent attack upon an internationally protected person (e.g., Head of State, Foreign Minister, ambassador);<sup>18</sup>
- an assassination;
- the use of any biological agent, chemical agent, or nuclear weapon or device;
- the use of any explosive, firearm, or other weapon or dangerous device (*other than for mere personal monetary gain*), with intent to endanger, directly or indirectly, the safety of one or more individuals or to cause substantial damage to property; or
- a threat, attempt, or conspiracy to commit any of the foregoing.<sup>19</sup>

**Definition of “Engaged in Terrorist Activity” under the INA.** The INA treats being “engaged in terrorist activity” as a separate concept from terrorist activity itself. Whereas “terrorist activity” includes direct acts of violence — for instance, hijacking a plane or threatening persons with bodily harm in order to compel third-party action — actions that constitute being “engaged in terrorist activity” include such acts *as well as* specified acts that facilitate terrorist activity, such as preparing,

---

<sup>18</sup> “Internationally protected person” is defined under U.S. law as “(A) a Chief of State or the political equivalent, head of government, or Foreign Minister whenever such person is in a country other than his own and any member of his family accompanying him; or (B) any other representative, officer, employee, or agent of the United States Government, a foreign government, or international organization who at the time and place concerned is entitled pursuant to international law to special protection against attack upon his person, freedom, or dignity, and any member of his family then forming part of his household.” 18 U.S.C. § 1116(b)(4).

<sup>19</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(iii); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(iii).

funding, or providing material support for terrorist activities. In order to be “engaged in terrorist activity,” an alien must, either as an individual or as part of an organization:

- commit or incite to commit, under circumstances indicating an intention to cause death or serious bodily injury, a terrorist activity;
- prepare or plan a terrorist activity;
- gather information on potential targets for terrorist activity;
- solicit funds or other things of value for a terrorist activity or terrorist organization;<sup>20</sup>
- solicit another individual to engage in terrorist activity or join a terrorist organization; or
- commit an act that the alien knows, or reasonably should know, provides material support — including a safe house, transportation, communications, funds, transfer of funds or other material financial benefit, false documentation or identification, weapons (including chemical, biological, or radiological weapons), explosives, or training — to an individual or organization<sup>21</sup> that the alien knows or should reasonably know has committed or plans to commit a terrorist activity.<sup>22</sup>

An alien who has provided material support to an individual or organization engaging in terrorist activity will not himself be considered to have “engaged in terrorist activity” for purposes of the INA if the Secretary of State or Attorney General, following consultation with the other, concludes in his sole, unreviewable discretion that the definition of “terrorist activity” does not apply.<sup>23</sup>

House-passed H.R. 10 would expand the definition of “engaged in terrorist activity” to include providing material support or soliciting funds or membership for a terrorist organization, unless the alien can demonstrate by *clear and convincing evidence* that he or she did not know, or should not have reasonably known, that the organization was a terrorist organization.

---

<sup>20</sup> If an alien has acted as a solicitor for an organization that has not been designated as a terrorist organization by the United States, but the organization has committed, incited, planned, prepared, or gathered information for a terrorist activity, the alien may be deemed not to have engaged in terrorist activity himself if he can demonstrate that he did not and should not have reasonably known that his solicitation would further the organization’s terrorist activity. INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(iv)(IV)(cc); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(iv)(IV)(cc).

<sup>21</sup> If an alien has provided material support for an organization that has committed or intends to engage in terrorist activity but has not been designated as a terrorist organization by the United States, the alien may be deemed not to have provided material support for terrorist activity if he can demonstrate that he did not and should not have reasonably known that his support would further the organization’s terrorist activity. INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(iv)(VI)(dd); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(iv)(VI)(dd).

<sup>22</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(iv); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(iv).

<sup>23</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(B); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B).

**Definition of “Terrorist Organization” under the INA.** For purposes of the INA, a “terrorist organization” includes any organization:

- designated by the Secretary of State as a terrorist organization pursuant to INA § 219;<sup>24</sup>
- otherwise designated, upon publication in the Federal Register, by the Secretary of State in consultation with or upon the request of the Attorney General, as a terrorist organization, after finding that the organization commits, incites, plans, prepares, gathers information, or provides material support for terrorist activities; or
- is a group of two or more individuals, whether organized or not, which commits, incites, plans, prepares, or gathers information for terrorist activities.<sup>25</sup>

House-passed H.R. 10 would expand the definition of “terrorist organization” to include any organization with a *subgroup* that engages in terrorist activity.

## **Security-Related and Foreign Policy Grounds for Deeming an Alien Inadmissible**

Even if an alien is not found inadmissible or deportable on terror-related grounds, he may nevertheless be removed from the United States or denied entry on separate, security-related grounds. An alien may be deemed inadmissible or deportable if he has engaged, is engaged, or (in the case of an alien not yet admitted into the United States) intends to engage in “any activity a purpose of which is the opposition to, or the control or overthrow of, the Government of the United States by force, violence, or other unlawful means.”<sup>26</sup> In the case of aliens not yet admitted into the United States, either a consular officer or relevant immigration authority may designate an alien inadmissible if he has reasonable grounds to believe that the alien seeks to enter the United States to engage in such conduct.<sup>27</sup>

Further, if the Secretary of State has reasonable grounds to believe an alien’s entry, presence, or activities in the United States would have potentially serious adverse foreign policy consequences for the United States, that alien may be deemed inadmissible or deportable.<sup>28</sup> However, an alien may not be deported or denied entry into the United States on account of the alien’s past, current, or expected beliefs, statements, or associations, if such beliefs, statements, or associations would be lawful within the United States, unless the Secretary of State personally determines

<sup>24</sup> For further discussion, see CRS Report RL32120, *The ‘FTO List’ and Congress: Sanctioning Designated Foreign Terrorist Organizations*, by Audrey Kurth Cronin.

<sup>25</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(iv); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(iv). The USA PATRIOT ACT amended INA section 212 to expand the definition of “terrorist organization” to potentially include terrorist organizations not designated pursuant to INA section 219.

<sup>26</sup> INA §§ 212(a)(3)(A), 237(a)(3)(A), 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(3)(A), 1227(a)(3)(A).

<sup>27</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(A); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(A).

<sup>28</sup> INA § 212(a)(3)(C); 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(C).

that the alien's admission would compromise a compelling United States foreign policy interest.<sup>29</sup>

## Screening Aliens for Admissibility

### Visa Issuance

Personal interviews are required for all prospective legal permanent residents and are generally required for foreign nationals seeking nonimmigrant visas.<sup>30</sup> Consular officers use the Consular Consolidated Database (CCD) to screen visa applicants. Over 82 million records of visa applications are now automated in the CCD, with some records dating back to the mid-1990s.<sup>31</sup> Since February 2001, the CCD has stored photographs of all visa applicants in electronic form, and more recently the CCD has begun storing finger prints of the right and left index fingers. In addition to indicating the outcome of any prior visa application of the alien in the CCD, the system links with other databases to flag problems that may affect the issuance of the visa. The CCD is the nexus for screening aliens for admissibility, notably screening on terrorist security and criminal grounds.<sup>32</sup>

**Terrorist Screening.** For some years, consular officers have been required to check the background of all aliens in the "lookout" databases, specifically the Consular Lookout and Support System (CLASS) and TIPOFF databases. CLASS contains about 19.6 million records on people ineligible to receive visas, and TIPOFF reportedly has 130,000 records of people who are suspected or known terrorists or are associated with suspected or known terrorist organizations.<sup>33</sup> Last year, the Administration announced the creation of the Terrorist Screening Center (TSC) to

---

<sup>29</sup> INA §§ 212(a)(3)(C)(iii), 237(a)(3)(C)(ii); 8 U.S.C. §§ 1182(a)(3)(C)(iii), 1227(a)(3)(C)(ii).

<sup>30</sup> 22 C.F.R. §42.62. Personal interview waivers may be granted only to children under age 16, persons 60 years or older, diplomats and representatives of international organizations, aliens who are renewing a visa they obtained within the prior 12 months, and individual cases for whom a waiver is warranted for national security or unusual circumstances. 68 Fed. Reg. 40,127-129 (Jul. 7, 2003).

<sup>31</sup> According to the Department of State Office of Legislative Affairs, consular officers have stored photographs of nonimmigrant visa applicants in an electronic database for over ten years. These data are now in the CCD.

<sup>32</sup> For more on alien screening procedures and policy, see CRS Report RL31512, *Visa Issuances: Policy, Issues, and Legislation*, by Ruth Ellen Wasem, pp. 7-12.

<sup>33</sup> CLASS and TIPOFF information provided by Department of State Bureau of Legislative Affairs, e-mail dated Sept. 7, 2004. The State Department's CLASS and TIPOFF terrorist databases interface with the Interagency Border Inspection System (IBIS) used by the DHS immigration inspectors. For more background, see Testimony of Maura Harty, Assistant Secretary of State for Consular Affairs, National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, *U.S. Government Agencies Aimed at Improving Border Security*, hearing, Jan. 26, 2004.

consolidate the various watchlists into a single terrorist screening database.<sup>34</sup> There is also the “Terrorist Exclusion List” (TEL) which lists organizations designated as terrorist-supporting and includes the names of individuals associated with these organizations.<sup>35</sup>

Consular officers also send suspect names to the FBI for a name check program called Visa Condor. Visa Condor is part of the broader Security Advisory Opinion (SAO) system that requires a consular officer abroad to refer selected visa cases, identified by law enforcement and intelligence information (originally certain visa applicants from 26 predominantly Muslim countries), for greater review by intelligence and law enforcement agencies.<sup>36</sup>

**Controlled Technologies.** With procedures distinct from the terrorist watch lists, consular officers screen visa applicants for employment or study that would give the foreign national access to controlled technologies, i.e., those that could be used to upgrade military capabilities, and refer foreign nationals from countries of concern (e.g., China, India, Iran, Iraq, North Korea, Pakistan, Sudan, and Syria) to the Department of Commerce.<sup>37</sup> This screening is part of a name-check procedure known as Visa Mantis, which has the following stated objectives: (1) stem the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and missile delivery systems; (2) restrain the development of destabilizing conventional military capabilities in certain regions of the world; (3) prevent the transfer of arms and sensitive dual-use items to terrorist states; and (4) maintain U.S. advantages in certain militarily critical technologies.

**Biometric Visas.** Aliens who are successful in their request for a visa are then issued the actual travel document.<sup>38</sup> For the past several years, Consular Affairs

<sup>34</sup> Homeland Security Presidential Directive 6 (HSPD-6) ordered the creation of the Terrorist Screening Center (TSC) to consolidate terrorist watch lists. It was issued on September 16, 2003, and directed the operations to begin on December 1, 2003. The TSC is a multi-agency entity, including participants from the FBI, DOS, CBP, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Secret Service, Coast Guard, Transportation Security Administration, and the Office of Foreign Assets Control. Its stated goal is “to consolidate the Government’s approach to terrorism screening and provide for the appropriate and lawful use of terrorist information in screening processes.” For more on the TSC, see CRS Report RL32366, *Terrorist Identification, Screening, and Tracking Under Homeland Security Presidential Directive 6*, by William J. Krouse.

<sup>35</sup> For background and analysis, see CRS Report RL32120, *The ‘FTO List’ and Congress: Sanctioning Designated Foreign Terrorist Organizations*, by Audrey Kurth Cronin.

<sup>36</sup> U.S. Congress, Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, Subcommittee on International Operations and Terrorism, *The Post 9/11 Visa Reforms and New Technology: Achieving the Necessary Security Improvements in a Global Environment*, hearing, Oct. 23, 2003.

<sup>37</sup> U.S. General Accounting Office, *Export Controls: Department of Commerce Controls Over Transfers of Technology to Foreign Nationals Needs Improvement*, GAO-02-972 (Sept. 2002).

<sup>38</sup> For a full discussion of biometric visas, see congressional distribution memorandum, *Biometric and Laser Visas: Background and Current Policy*, by Ruth Ellen Wasem, Aug.

has been issuing machine-readable visas. By October 2004, all visas issued by the United States must use biometric identifiers (e.g., scans of the right and left index fingers) in addition to the digitized photograph that has been collected for some time.<sup>39</sup> According to the DOS, all of the over 200 visa-issuing consular posts will meet the October 2004 deadline for biometric visas.<sup>40</sup> These biometric data are available through the CCD to CBP officers at ports of entry as well as to consular officers abroad.

**Terrorist Travel.** House-passed H.R. 10 would establish an Office of Visa and Passport Security in the Bureau of Diplomatic Security of the Department of State, headed by a person with the rank of Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Diplomatic Security. The Deputy Assistant Secretary, in coordination with the appropriate officials of the Department of Homeland Security, would be tasked with preparing a strategic plan to target and disrupt individuals and organizations at home and in foreign countries that are involved in the fraudulent production, distribution, or use of visas, passports and other documents used to gain entry to the United States. This strategic plan would emphasize individuals and organizations that may have links to domestic terrorist organizations or foreign terrorist organizations as defined by INA. This office also would analyze methods used by terrorists to travel internationally, particularly the use of false or altered travel documents to illegally enter foreign countries and the United States, and it would advise the Bureau of Consular Affairs on changes to the visa issuance process that could combat such methods, including the introduction of new technologies.

Both H.R. 10 as passed and S. 2845 as passed would require the Secretary of DHS, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit to Congress a plan to ensure that DHS and DOS acquire and deploy to all consulates, ports of entry, and immigration services offices, technologies that facilitate document authentication and the detection of potential terrorist indicators on travel documents. The legislation further requires that the plan address the feasibility of using such technologies to screen passports submitted for identification purposes to a United States consular, border, or immigration official.

## Admissibility at Ports of Entry

**Border Inspections.** The INA requires the inspection of all aliens who seek entry into the United States; possession of a visa or another form of travel document

---

<sup>38</sup> (...continued)  
19, 2004.

<sup>39</sup> §414 of the USA PATRIOT ACT (PL. 107-56) and §303 of the Enhanced Border Security and Visa Reform Act (P.L. 107-173) require that visas and other travel documents contain a biometric identifier and are tamper-resistant.

<sup>40</sup> Testimony of Colin L. Powell, Secretary of State, U.S. Congress, House Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Immigration, Border Security and Claims, *Should Congress Extend the October 2004 Statutory Deadline for Requiring Foreign Visitors to Present Biometric Passports?* hearing, Apr. 21, 2004.

does not guarantee admission into the United States.<sup>41</sup> Border inspections are extremely important because many foreign nationals enter the United States without visas. Perhaps the most notable exception to the visa is through the Visa Waiver Program (VWP), a provision of the INA that allows the visa requirements to be waived for aliens coming as visitors from 27 countries that meet certain standards (e.g., Australia, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, and Switzerland).<sup>42</sup> In addition to the VWP, there are exceptions to documentary requirements for a visa that have been established by law, treaty, or regulation — most notably for citizens of Canada.<sup>43</sup>

Primary inspection at the port of entry consists of a brief interview with a CBP officer, a cursory check of the traveler's documents and a query of the Interagency Border Inspection System (IBIS).<sup>44</sup> If the inspector is suspicious that the traveler may be inadmissible under the INA or in violation of other U.S. laws, the traveler is referred to a secondary inspection. During secondary inspections, travelers are questioned extensively and travel documents are further examined. Several immigration databases are queried as well, including lookout databases.<sup>45</sup>

**US-VISIT.** Many nonimmigrants are entered into the US-VISIT system that uses biometric identification (i.e., finger scans and digital photographs) to check identity and track presence in the United States. It collects biometric information that is entered into an existing system called Automated Biometric Fingerprint

---

<sup>41</sup> For a comprehensive analysis, see CRS Report RL32399, *Border Security: Inspections Practices, Policies, and Issues*, coordinated by Ruth Ellen Wasem with Jennifer Lake, James Monk, Lisa Seghetti, and Stephen Viña.

<sup>42</sup> See CRS Report RL32221, *Visa Waiver Program*, by Alison Siskin.

<sup>43</sup> See CRS Congressional Distribution Memorandum, *Waiving the Documentary Requirements for Visas and Passports to Enter the United States*, by Ruth Ellen Wasem and Andorra Bruno, Oct. 27, 2003.

<sup>44</sup> IBIS is a broad system that interfaces with the FBI's National Crime Information Center (NCIC), the Treasury Department's Enforcement and Communications System (TECS II), the former INS's National Automated Immigration Lookout System (NAILS) and Non-immigrant Information System (NIIS) and the DOS's Consular Consolidated Database (CCD), Consular Lookout And Support System (CLASS) and TIPOFF terrorist databases. Because of the numerous systems and databases that interface with IBIS, the system is able to obtain such information as whether an alien is admissible, an alien's criminal information, and whether an alien is wanted by law enforcement.

<sup>45</sup> The Terrorist Screening Center (TSC) is developing a consolidated lookout database that is not yet fully operational. For more on lookout and terrorist screening databases of the TSC, see CRS Report RL32366, *Terrorist Identification, Screening, and Tracking Under Homeland Security Presidential Directive 6*, by William J. Krouse. The National Security Entry-Exit Registry System (NSEERS) and the Student and Exchange Visitor Information System (SEVIS) are also used during secondary inspections. For more on NSEERS, see CRS Report RL31570, *Immigration: Alien Registration*, by Andorra Bruno. For more on SEVIS, see CRS Report RL32188, *Monitoring Foreign Students in the United States: The Student and Exchange Visitor Information System (SEVIS)*, by Alison Siskin.

Identification System (IDENT).<sup>46</sup> On January 5, 2004, US-VISIT was implemented at 115 airports and 14 seaports, and pilot programs were established at one airport and one seaport for the collection of biometric information of aliens leaving the United States.<sup>47</sup> “Exit pilot programs” are now in place at 15 air or sea ports. On August 20, 2004, DHS added six new entry ports and deleted two entry ports that had inadvertently been listed on the January 5, 2004 roster of ports that were part of the US-VISIT system.<sup>48</sup> Both House-passed H.R. 10 and Senate-passed S. 2845 would call for a more expeditious implementation of a comprehensive entry and exit data system.

**Pre-inspection.** To keep inadmissible aliens from departing for the United States, IIRIRA required the implementation of a pre-inspection program at selected locations overseas. At these foreign airports, U.S. immigration officers inspect aliens before their final departure to the United States. IIRIRA also authorized assistance to air carriers at selected foreign airports to help in the detection of fraudulent documents. House-passed H.R. 10 would direct DHS to expand the pre-inspection program at foreign airports to at least 15 and up to 25 airports, and submit a report on the progress of the expansion by June 30, 2006. House-passed H.R. 10 would also direct DHS to expand the Immigration Security Initiative, which places CBP inspectors at foreign airports to prevent people identified as national security threats from entering the country. The bill would have at least 50 airports participate in the Immigration Security Initiative by December 31, 2006.

**Expedited Removal under INA § 235(c).** Pursuant to INA section 235(c), in cases where the arriving alien is *suspected* of being inadmissible on security or related grounds, including terror-related activity, the alien may be summarily excluded by the regional director with no further administrative right to appeal. The Attorney General shall review such orders of removal.<sup>49</sup> If the Attorney General concludes on the basis of confidential information that the alien is inadmissible on security or related grounds under section 212(a)(3) of the INA, and determines after consulting with appropriate U.S. security agencies that disclosure of such information would be prejudicial to the public interest, safety, or security, the regional director of the CBP is authorized to deny any further inquiry as to the alien’s status and either

---

<sup>46</sup> The US-VISIT program was established to respond to statutory provisions that require DHS to create an integrated, automated entry and exit data system that (1) uses available data to produce reports on alien arrivals and departures; (2) deploys equipment at all ports of entry to allow for the verification of aliens’ identities and the authentication of their travel documents through the comparison of biometric identifiers; and (3) records alien arrival and departure information from biometrically authenticated documents. See CRS Report RL32234, *U.S. Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology Program (US-VISIT)*, for a complete legislative history of the requirements.

<sup>47</sup> 69 Fed. Reg. 482 (Jan. 5, 2004).

<sup>48</sup> 69 Fed. Reg. 51,695 (Aug. 20, 2004), amended by 69 Fed. Reg. 52,516 (Aug. 26, 2004).

<sup>49</sup> INA § 235(c)(2)(A); 8 U.S.C. § 1225(c)(2)(A).



order the alien removed or order disposal of the case as the director deems appropriate.<sup>50</sup>

Generally, an alien's removal to a particular country is withheld upon a showing that his life or freedom would be threatened in that country because of his race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion.<sup>51</sup> However, an alien is, with limited exception, ineligible for this remedy if, *inter alia*, he has been convicted of an aggravated felony or "there are reasonable grounds to believe that the alien is a danger to the security of the United States."<sup>52</sup> Pursuant to U.S. legislation implementing the U.N. Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Torture Convention), all aliens — including those otherwise ineligible for withholding of removal and/or subject to expedited removal on security or related grounds such as terror-related activity — may not be removed to a country where they are "more likely than not to be tortured."<sup>53</sup> House-passed H.R. 10 would expand the class of aliens generally ineligible for withholding of removal to include aliens *described* by the terror-related grounds for inadmissibility or deportability, unless the Secretary of DHS determines that there are not reasonable grounds for believing that the alien is a danger to the security of the United States. However, as amended it would not limit relief under regulations implementing the Torture Convention.

---

<sup>50</sup> See 8 C.F.R. § 235.8(b)(1).

<sup>51</sup> INA § 241(b)(3); 8 U.S.C. § 1231(b)(3).

<sup>52</sup> INA § 241(b)(3)(B); 8 U.S.C. § 1231(b)(3)(B).

<sup>53</sup> Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act, Pub. L. No. 105-277, § 2242. For further discussion, see CRS Report RL32276, *The U.N. Convention Against Torture: Overview of U.S. Implementation Policy Concerning the Removal of Aliens*, by Michael John Garcia, pp. 10-11.