Memorandum

TO: Field Leadership
FROM: Donald Neufeld /s/
      Acting Associate Director
      Domestic Operations

      Pearl Chang /s/
      Acting Chief
      Office of Policy & Strategy

DATE: March 24, 2009


1.Purpose

This memorandum will inform immigration service officers working Special Immigrant Juvenile (SIJ) petitions about new legislation affecting adjudication of petitions filed for SIJ status.

2. Background


3. Field Guidance

*Eligibility for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status*
The TVPRA 2008 amended the definition of a “Special Immigrant Juvenile” at section 101(a)(27)(J) of the INA in two ways. First, it expanded the group of aliens eligible for SIJ status. An eligible SIJ alien now includes an alien:

- who has been declared dependent on a juvenile court;
- whom a juvenile court has legally committed to, or placed under the custody of, an agency or department of a State; or
- who has been placed under the custody of an individual or entity appointed by a State or juvenile court.

Accordingly, petitions that include juvenile court orders legally committing a juvenile to or placing a juvenile under the custody of an individual or entity appointed by a juvenile court are now eligible. For example, a petition filed by an alien on whose behalf a juvenile court appointed a guardian now may be eligible. In addition, section 235(d)(5) of the TVPRA 2008 specifies that, if a state or an individual appointed by the state is acting in loco parentis, such a state or individual is not considered a legal guardian for purposes of SIJ eligibility.

The second modification made by the TVPRA 2008 to the definition of special immigrant juvenile concerns the findings a juvenile court must make in order for a juvenile court order to serve as the basis for a grant of SIJ status. Previously, the juvenile court needed to deem a juvenile eligible for long term foster care due to abuse, neglect or abandonment. Under the TVPRA 2008 modifications, the juvenile court must find that the juvenile’s reunification with one or both of the immigrant’s parents is not viable due to abuse, neglect, abandonment, or a similar basis found under State law. In short, the TVPRA 2008 removed the need for a juvenile court to deem a juvenile eligible for long-term foster care and replaced it with a requirement that the juvenile court find reunification with one or both parents not viable. If a juvenile court order includes a finding that reunification with one or both parents is not viable due to a similar basis found under State law, the petitioner must establish that such a basis is similar to a finding of abuse, neglect, or abandonment. Officers should ensure that juvenile court orders submitted as evidence with an SIJ petition filed on or after March 23, 2009, include this new language.

A petitioner is still required to demonstrate that he or she has been the subject of a determination in administrative or judicial proceedings that it would not be in the alien’s best interest to be returned to the alien’s or parent’s previous country of nationality or country of last habitual residence.

**Age Requirements**

Section 235(d)(6) of the TVPRA 2008 provides age-out protection to SIJ petitioners. As of December 23, 2008, if an SIJ petitioner was a “child” on the date on which an SIJ petition was properly filed, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) cannot deny SIJ status to anyone, regardless of the petitioner’s age at the time of adjudication. Officers must now consider the petitioner’s age at the time of filing to determine whether the petitioner has met the age requirement. Officers must not deny or revoke SIJ status based on age if the alien was a child on
the date the SIJ petition was properly filed if it was filed on or after December 23, 2008, or if it was pending as of December 23, 2008. USCIS interprets the use of the term “child” in section 235(d)(6) of the TVPRA 2008 to refer to the definition of child found at section 101(b)(1) of the INA, which states that a child is an unmarried person under 21 years of age. The SIJ definition found at section 101(a)(27)(J) of the INA does not use the term “child,” but USCIS had previously incorporated the child definition at section 101(b)(1) of the INA into the regulation governing SIJ petitions.

Consent

The TVPRA 2008 also significantly modifies the two types of consent required for SIJ petitions.

Consent to the grant of SIJ status (previously express consent)

The TVPRA 2008 simplified the “express consent” requirement for an SIJ petition. The Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary) must consent to the grant of special immigrant juvenile status. This consent is no longer termed “express consent” and is no longer consent to the dependency order serving as a precondition to a grant of SIJ status.

The consent determination by the Secretary, through the USCIS District Director, is an acknowledgement that the request for SIJ classification is bona fide. This means that the SIJ benefit was not “sought primarily for the purpose of obtaining the status of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, rather than for the purpose of obtaining relief from abuse or neglect or abandonment.” See H.R. Rep. No. 105-405, at 130 (1997). An approval of an SIJ petition itself shall be evidence of the Secretary’s consent.

Specific consent

The TVPRA 2008 completely altered the “specific consent” function for those juveniles in federal custody. The TVPRA 2008 vests this function with the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) rather than the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security as previously delegated to Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). In addition, Congress simplified the language to refer simply to “custody,” not actual or constructive custody, as was previously delineated. However, the requirement remains that an SIJ petitioner need only seek specific consent if the SIJ petitioner seeks a juvenile court order determining or altering the SIJ petitioner’s custody status or placement. If an SIJ petitioner seeks to obtain or obtains a juvenile court order that makes no findings as to the SIJ petitioner’s custody status or placement, the SIJ petitioner is not required to have sought specific consent from HHS. Therefore, on or after March 23, 2009, officers must ensure that juveniles in the custody of HHS obtained specific consent from HHS to juvenile court jurisdiction where the juvenile court order determines or alters the juvenile’s custody status or placement. USCIS will provide HHS guidance regarding adjudications of specific consent as soon as it is available.

Due to the complex nature and changing requirements of specific consent determinations, USCIS Headquarters (HQ) is temporarily assisting in making the determination on specific consent
requirements. As outlined in the February 20, 2009 guidance email, Field Officers are instructed to forward certain documents to HQ for those SIJ petitions that may involve specific consent that are filed prior to March 23, 2009. HQ will notify the Field Office of the decision on specific consent. The Field Office will then complete adjudication of the petition. This temporary guidance providing HQ assistance with specific consent determinations will remain in effect until further notice.

**Expeditious Adjudication**

Section 235(d)(2) of the TVPRA 2008 requires USCIS to adjudicate SIJ petitions within 180 days of filing. Field Offices need to be particularly aware of this new requirement and take measures locally to ensure timely adjudication. Officers are reminded that under 8 CFR 245.6 an interview may be waived for SIJ petitioners under 14 years of age, or when it is determined that an interview is unnecessary. Eliminating unnecessary interviewing of SIJ petitioners may help in expeditiously adjudicating petitions. Necessary interviews should be scheduled as soon as possible. During an interview, an officer should focus on eligibility for adjustment of status and should avoid questioning a child about the details of the abuse, abandonment or neglect suffered, as those matters were handled by the juvenile court, applying state law. Under no circumstances can an SIJ petitioner, at any stage of the SIJ process, be required to contact the individual (or family members of the individual) who allegedly abused, abandoned or neglected the juvenile. This provision was added by the Violence Against Women Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-162, 119 Stat. 2960 (2006) and is incorporated at section 287(h) of the INA. Officers must ensure proper completion of background checks, including biometric information clearances and name-checks.

**Adjustment of Status for Special Immigrant Juveniles**

The TVPRA 2008 amends the adjustment of status provisions for those with SIJ classification at section 245(h) of the INA, to include four new exemptions. Approved SIJ petitioners are now exempted from seven inadmissibility grounds of the INA:

- 212(a)(4) (public charge);
- 212(a)(5)(A) (labor certification);
- 212(a)(6)(A) (aliens present without inspection);
- 212(a)(6)(C) (misrepresentation);
- 212(a)(6)(D) (stowaways);
- 212(a)(7)(A) (documentation requirements); and
- 212(a)(9)(B) (aliens unlawfully present).

On or after March 23, 2009, none of the above listed grounds of inadmissibility shall apply to SIJ adjustment of status applicants.

Officers are reminded that this list of exemptions is in addition to the waivers available for most other grounds of inadmissibility for humanitarian purposes, family unity, or otherwise being in the public interest. The only unwaivable grounds of inadmissibility for SIJ petitioners are those listed at INA 212(a)(2)(A)-(C) (conviction of certain crimes, multiple criminal convictions, and
controlled substance trafficking (except for a single instance of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana), and 212(a)(3)(A)-(C), and (E) (security and related grounds, terrorist activities, foreign policy, and participants in Nazi persecution, genocide, torture or extrajudicial killing).

4. **Use**

This guidance is created solely for the purpose of USCIS personnel in performing their duties relative to adjudication of applications. It is not intended to, does not, and may not be relied upon to create any right or benefit, substantial or procedural, enforceable at law by any individual or any other party in removal proceedings, in litigation with the United States, or in any other or form or matter.

5. **Contact Information**

This guidance is effective immediately. Please direct any questions concerning these changes through appropriate supervisory channels to Rosemary Hartmann, Office of Policy and Strategy or Tina Lauver, Office of Field Operations.
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