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This article provides a brief introduction to recent research on human memory, focusing on findings and theories relevant to the ongoing debate about recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse. The article does not provide a complete discussion of this complex and emotionally charged debate (for our efforts at such a discussion, see Lindsay & Read, 1994, 1995; Read & Lindsay, 1994). Instead, we offer an introduction to some of the theories and findings that have led many cognitive psychologists to believe that well-intentioned efforts to help clients recover suspected hidden memories of childhood trauma put some non-abused clients at risk of coming to believe that they were abused.

Memory Metaphors. Until recently, the dominant metaphor for autobiographical memory was of a vast filing system, with each file containing representations of a particular past experience. In this file-storage metaphor, remembering consists of searching for a particular file and, if it is located, reading out its contents (akin to playing back a Sensurround video). Cognitive psychologists have long studied forgetting and memory errors (e.g., remembering some aspects of a past event but forgetting others, or misremembering a past event in ways that fit one’s expectations), but such phenomena did not lead them to reject the filing-system metaphor. Instead, they proposed that memory records can become incomplete or lost over time, and that general knowledge and expectations sometimes fill in gaps in retrieved records (thereby sometimes producing memory errors).

Two recent developments have led most memory theorists to abandon the filing-system metaphor. First, research on “implicit” memory indicates that remembering involves more than retrieving and replaying records of past events. People can retrieve and use memory information from a specific past experience without being aware that they are doing so, as in involuntary plagiarism, and can have the subjective feeling of remembering events that never occurred in their pasts, as in deja vu (see Roediger & McDermott). Such findings show that the experience of remembering does not arise from locating and reading off memory records. Second, connectionist models (a.k.a. “parallel distributed processing” or “neural networks”) offered an alternative to file-storage metaphors (see Rumelhart & McClelland, 1986).

In file-storage models of memory, each past thought, perception, or feeling is represented by an internal code that is stored in some location in memory (just as a word-processing file is stored in a specific location on a computer hard drive). In connectionist models, in contrast, information in memory is not stored in any one location, but rather distributed across a network. By analogy, imagine a spider web composed of millions of fibers, with some fibers anchored on input units (e.g., sensory receptors) and others anchored on output units (e.g., motor-control enactors). Sensory stimulation causes fibers connected to the receptors to vibrate, with the amount of vibration of each fiber corresponding to an aspect or feature of the stimulus (e.g., for visual stimuli, some input fibers vibrate a lot if the stimulus is red, others if it is blue, others if it has a curved edge, etc.). A pattern of vibration would propagate throughout the web, from fiber to fiber, with the amount of vibration in different parts of the web determined by the pattern of input vibration and the nature of the connections between fibers. Any particular pattern of input vibrations would ultimately be transformed into a corresponding (but different) pattern of output vibrations. Connectionist networks can modify the connections between fibers (tightening or loosening connections so that transmission of vibrations is amplified or muted) to learn new input-output relations while maintaining previously learned ones. Thus the network learns to produce particular patterns of vibration in response to particular stimulus events; the memory of the event is represented in the pattern of connections between fibers in the entire network, and “thinking” about that event is represented by a particular pattern of vibration throughout the network. If given input about some aspects of a past
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stimulus, such networks naturally “retrieve” a close approximation of the response to the complete stimulus (analogous to cued recall).

As a metaphor for memory, such networks have many advantages over the file-storage metaphor. They capture, in an elegant and natural manner, the way memories of similar events can interfere with one another, cue one another, or become blended together (depending on specifics of the memories and the cues). They also fit nicely with the observation that people often remember some aspects of a past event without remembering other aspects, or remember different aspects of an event with varying degrees of clarity or in response to different cues. Most important in the current context, the connectionist metaphor is consistent with research indicating that remembering is not a process of retrieving records from a special library where they have been stored separate from the rest of mental life. In the connectionist metaphor memory is a byproduct of the processes that give rise to and constitute ongoing experience, and memories are represented in subtle and complex changes in those processes. Thus memory is not neatly separated from thinking, perceiving, imagining, and other aspects of mental life, and the experience of remembering does not reduce to locating and reading off an encapsulated record of a past experience but rather involves partially recreating a prior pattern of activation across an entire network. This metaphor fits well with the findings and theories described below.

**Reconstructive and Attribution-Making Processes in Remembering.** As noted above, people can retrieve and use memory information about a specific past event without having the feeling of remembering, and can have the feeling of remembering events that never occurred in their pasts. These and related findings led Jacoby (e.g., Jacoby et al., 1989) and Johnson (e.g., Johnson et al., 1993) to argue that people have the experience of remembering when they attribute aspects of current mental events to the past. Several factors are thought to be involved in determining whether a mental event is experienced as a memory. For example, because using memory typically facilitates processing (e.g., it is easier to form an image of a face by remembering a face than by using imagination to construct an image of a never-seen face), people have a bias to attribute fluent images to memory. Current orientation and expectations also matter: People are more likely to experience an image or idea as a memory if they are trying to remember something when it comes to mind than if they are otherwise oriented. Thus a vivid image that is fluently generated during an attempt to remember is likely to be experienced as a memory (e.g., Whittlesea, 1993).

The constraints of the cognitive system are such that most of the time fluently produced images and ideas that come to mind during attempts to remember are based largely on memory. But beliefs and expectations and memories from sources other than the to-be-remembered event can also provide for quick and easy generation of ideas and images, and these may blend together with products of memories of the to-be-remembered event, filling in missing details or distorting recollection of poorly remembered events or details. Jacoby et al. (1989) provide an excellent introduction to these ideas, and Ross (1989) elaborates similar ideas and evidence regarding people’s tendency to misremember their personal past.

**Source Monitoring.** Most people are occasionally aware of uncertainty about the sources of their recollections (e.g., “Did I really say that, or did I just think about saying it, or is it just something that I now wish I had said?”). A substantial body of evidence indicates that even when we are not consciously aware of making such “source monitoring” decisions, remembering always involves these sorts of attributions. That is, most of the time we identify the sources of our thoughts and images (to some level of specificity, with the level largely determined by our current goals) without conscious deliberation—thoughts and images come to mind, and we “just know” that they are memories, or “just know” that they are fantasies, etc. Research indicates that even in such cases rapid, unconscious decision processes underlie identification of the sources of mental events. Again, the constraints of the cognitive system are such that most of the time we do not err in such attributions. Sometimes, however, conditions conspire to lead us to believe that an idea that is really based on a memory of something a colleague once said is a brilliant new idea that we have just come up with, or that a memory of something Liz said is a memory of Kathy’s words, or that a memory of a past fantasy is a memory of an actual past event. (Johnson et al., 1993, reviewed research and theory on source monitoring, and Johnson, 1988, offers a discussion framed for clinical psychologists.)

**Suggestibility.** Memory-source monitoring errors are dramatically demonstrated in studies of eyewitness suggestibility. Most of the many dozens of studies published in the past two decades used variants of Loftus’s three-phase procedure (e.g., Loftus et al., 1978), in which people view or experience an event, receive verbal misinformation concerning aspects of that event, and are later asked to remember the event. Depending on specifics of the procedure, some, many, or most people in such studies falsely claim to have witnessed things that were merely suggested to them. Recent studies have shown that a substantial percentage of people who make such errors are confident that their erroneous reports are accurate memories of the to-be-remembered event. For example, immediately before the memory test Lindsay (1990) correctly informed people that the verbal information presented in Phase 2 included misleading suggestions, and that they should NOT report anything they remembered from Phase 2 as an answer on the test because all of that information was wrong. Nonetheless, 27% of the time people “recalled” having seen things in the to-be-remembered Phase 1 event that really had only been suggested to them in Phase 2.

Two major categories of factors appear to determine the likelihood that people who receive misleading suggestions will later falsely believe that they had witnessed or experienced what was suggested. First, numerous factors contribute to the overall strength of suggestions, including the
perceived authority and trustworthiness of the source, the perceived plausibility of the suggestions, repetition of suggestions, factors that enhance imagery, and factors that lower people’s memory-monitoring criteria. Second, all else being equal, people are more likely to fall prey to suggestions about non-rememberable details than to suggestions regarding memorable autobiographical experiences. Thus a single passing suggestion can lead most people to later claim to remember a minor detail in a slide show (e.g., Loftus et al., 1978), but stronger suggestive influences are required to lead people falsely to remember a dramatic life experience that had not really occurred (e.g., Hyman et al., in press). Several recent studies of children (some of which are reviewed by Ceci & Bruck, 1993, 1994), and of adults (Hyman et al., in press; Loftus & Coan, in press) have demonstrated false memories of non-experienced dramatic life events. For example, Hyman et al. asked undergraduates to try to remember childhood events that they were led to believe their parents had reported. In fact, one or more of the alleged events (e.g., going to the hospital at age 5 years with a possible earache and having to stay overnight) had not really occurred. Subjects attempted to remember the events during two sessions; few reported false memories in the first session, but by the second session 20-25% of subjects (depending on the study) reported remembering the suggested non-event. Recent experimental research such as Hyman et al.’s and sociological research on innocent people who falsely confess to crimes (e.g., Gudjonsson, 1992) indicate that the factors that increase suggestibility in laboratory studies (such as authority and trustworthiness, repetition, plausibility) play the same roles in creating false memories or beliefs of dramatic life experiences.

**Discriminating Between Accurate and Inaccurate Memories.** Few studies have tested observers’ ability to distinguish reports based on accurate recollections from reports that are products of suggestion. Those that have indicate that such discriminations are difficult. For example, Schooler et al. (1986) found that although people’s descriptions of their memories of a witnessed event tended to differ more often when those memories were based on what had truly been witnessed than when they were products of suggestions, in many cases reports based on suggestions were not discriminable from those based on accurate memories. Similarly, Ceci and Bruck (1994) reported that experts performed very poorly when shown video tapes of children being interviewed and asked to discriminate between accurate reports and those that were products of suggestion. Ceci and Bruck noted that real-world cases provide converging evidence for this claim, in that experts often disagree about which parts of a child’s accusations can confidently be accepted as accurate and which cannot.

**Insensitivity to Social Influence.** Research on persuasion and expectancy effects, decision biases and heuristics, and interviewing all indicate that authority figures often influence those with whom they interact, and often fail to perceive that they influence others. Rosenthal (1994) reviewed 30 years of research on expectancy effects, and argued that the research demonstrates that the beliefs and expectations of teachers, caregivers, and other authorities often have dramatic influences on those with whom they interact. Moreover, Rosenthal argued that these effects are mediated by quite subtle behaviors. Similarly, Ceci et al. (in press) reported a study in which adults were given false expectations regarding an event that young children had experienced, and then interviewed the children about the event; interviewers often asked leading questions, and children often responded to their leading probes, producing inaccurate reports that were the product of unintentional suggestive influences. (Lindsay & Read, 1994, 1995, provide a more extensive discussion of evidence that it is often difficult accurately to assess the extent to which one has influenced another person.)

**Generalizability of Memory Research to Memory Work in Psychotherapy.** Critics of memory work have argued that findings such as those described above justify concern about the safety of approaches to psychotherapy that include searches for suspected hidden memories of childhood trauma. The critics’ claim is not that all memories of childhood sexual abuse recovered via memory work are false, but rather that some likely are. Defenders of memory work have responded by challenging the generalizability of the research to therapy situations. On the one hand, the suggested non-events in even the most naturalistic of laboratory studies of suggestibility (e.g., being lost in a mall or going to the hospital at 5 years of age) are not as traumatic as childhood sexual abuse often is. As mentioned above, evidence indicates that the more memorable an event would have been, the harder it is to create false memories of that event via suggestions. On the other hand, adults’ memory of childhood is often spotty, and the suggestive influences in laboratory studies are trivially weak compared to those exerted by the small minority of therapists who favor prolonged, multifaceted searches for suspected hidden memories (see Poole et al., in press, for survey data on therapists’ use of memory recovery techniques). Indeed, as Lindsay and Read (1994) argued, some approaches combine all of the factors known to increase suggestibility: A trusted authority provides a rationale for the plausibility of hidden memories of long-ago childhood trauma, and motivation for trying to recover such memories; the client is repeatedly exposed to suggestive information from multiple sources (leading questions, comments, and interpretations offered by the therapist, anecdotes in popular books, other survivors’ stories, etc.); and techniques that enhance imagery and lower source-monitoring criterion (e.g., hypnosis, guided imagery) are used. As noted above, sociological studies of people who falsely confess to crimes indicate that these sorts of influences contribute to false confessions (Gudjonsson, 1992), and anecdotal reports of clients who report demonstrably false memories provide converging support for this claim. (Read & Lindsay, 1994, listed examples of demonstrably false memories and provided a more extensive discussion of the issue of generalizability.)

Experimental research testing the hypothesis that exten-
sive programs of memory work can lead clients to develop false memories or beliefs of childhood trauma will likely never be conducted because such studies would violate research ethics. Thus decisions can only be based on reasonable inferences. In our view, the available research indicates that there is little reason to fear that people are likely to develop illusory memories or false beliefs regarding childhood traumas solely in response to a few suggestive questions, but there are solid grounds for concern that prolonged and multifaceted suggestive influences may lead some people to develop illusory memories or beliefs.

Summary and Conclusion. Many people have been deeply harmed by childhood sexual abuse, and we must not let the debate about recovered memories sidetrack our culture’s dawning appreciation of the importance of this terrible problem. At the same time, we see little room for doubt that some people who were not abused as children have inadvertently been led to believe that they were. Professionals must work together to develop approaches that maximize sensitivity to and support for survivors of abuse while simultaneously minimizing the risk of harming people by fostering illusory memories and false beliefs. Several prominent clinical psychologists and practitioners whose approaches to therapy include an emphasis on childhood trauma have recently made similar arguments (e.g., Brown, in press; Enns et al., in press). We hope that the brief introduction to memory research and theory provided here will contribute to further communication between researchers and practitioners, and to the development of approaches that support survivors of abuse without endangering other clients.
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WHITTLESEA, B.W.A. (1993). *Illusions of familiarity*. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition*, 19, 1235-1253. Feelings of familiarity are not direct products of memory. Although prior experience of a stimulus can produce a feeling of familiarity, that feeling can also be aroused in the absence of prior experience if perceptual processing of the stimulus is fluent (e.g., Whittlesea, Jacoby, & Girard, 1990). This suggests that feelings of familiarity arise through an unconscious inference about the source of processing fluency. The present experiments extend that conclusions. First, they show that a wide variety of feelings about the past are controlled by a fluency heuristic, including feelings about the meaning, pleasantness, duration, and recency of past events. Second, they demonstrate that the attribution process does not rely only on perceptual fluency, but can be influenced even more by the fluency of conceptual processing. Third, they show that although the fluency heuristic itself is simple, people’s use of it is highly sophisticated and makes them robustly sensitive to the actual historical status of current events.

**PILOTS UPDATE**

The new *PILOTS Database User’s Guide* has just been published by the U.S. Government Printing Office. This second edition is a much more substantial book than its predecessor. Its 252 pages cover

- access to the database
- developing your search strategy
- the mechanics of searching
- what’s in a PILOTS record
- modifying your search strategy
- displaying your search results
- how to obtain copies of materials found in PILOTS

Sample searches are provided to help explain these points.

The bulk of the User’s Guide is devoted to the PILOTS Thesaurus, the controlled vocabulary that we use to indicate the subject matter of the publications indexed in the database. This vocabulary is presented in two forms. A hierarchical table shows the eight general categories of descriptors (stressors, affected persons, effects, assessment, treatment, scientific research, policy issues, and literary formats). An alphabetical index includes not only the 800-odd PILOTS descriptors but also a large number of other words and phrases that database users might have in mind. The PILOTS Thesaurus makes searching more effective by taking advantage of the intellectual effort of the National Center’s indexing staff.

We have sent a copy of the User’s Guide to each medical library, Vietnam Veterans’ Center, and specialized inpatient treatment unit in the VA system. Copies are available for purchase from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh PA 15250-7954. You may order by telephone (202-512-1800) or fax (202-512-2250) using a credit card (Visa or MasterCard). The stock number of the *PILOTS Database User’s Guide* is 051-000-00204-1; the price is $19.00 including postage to American addresses, and $23.75 to foreign addresses.

The User’s Guide is also available free of charge in electronic form, either as a Postscript document or an ASCII text file. Other National Center publications, including the *PILOTS Database Instruments Authority List* (a list of all assessment instruments used in work indexed in PILOTS) and back issues of the *PTSD Research Quarterly*, are also available electronically. To obtain these documents either

- ftp to ftp.dartmouth.edu
  log in as anonymous
  go to directory / pub/PTSD
- gopher to gopher.dartmouth.edu
  go to “Research Resources/Biological Sciences”
  find the PTSD directory.

In either case, be sure to look at the README file first. We hope to have this material available via a World Wide Web home page in the near future.
NEW DISTRIBUTION POLICY FOR THE PTSD RESEARCH QUARTERLY

As we announced in our Summer issue, rising printing and postage costs are forcing us to curtail unlimited distribution of the PTSD Research Quarterly. Beginning with this issue, the Research Quarterly is available on a subscription basis. However, you will continue to receive it if you fall into one of the following categories:

• If you receive the Research Quarterly because you work at a VA facility you will continue to receive it at your VA address, as you have in the past. Also, a copy of each issue will be sent to the Psychiatry, Psychology, Research, Social Work, Nursing, and Library services, as well as to the Director and the Chief of Staff of each VA Medical Center. A copy also will be sent to each specialized PTSD treatment program (PCT, EBTPU, etc.) and to each Vet Center.

• If you are a member of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies you will receive a copy of each issue as part of the regular mailings you receive from the Society. The ISTSS has undertaken to pay the additional postage as a service to its members.

• If your organization exchanges publications with the National Center for PTSD it will continue to receive the PTSD Research Quarterly free of charge.

• If you are the chair of an academic department of psychology or psychiatry accredited by the appropriate professional association, you will continue to receive the PTSD Research Quarterly free of charge.

• If your veterans’ service organization, state department of veterans’ affairs, military facility, or other federal agency has been receiving the PTSD Research Quarterly, it will continue to do so.

If you do not fall into one of the above categories you may continue to receive the PTSD Research Quarterly by ordering a subscription from the Superintendent of Documents using the form above. The price is $6.50 per year for subscriptions mailed to domestic addresses, and $8.50 per year for foreign subscriptions. You may also place a credit card order by telephone at (202) 512-1800.

National Center for PTSD (116D)
VA Medical and Regional Office Center
White River Junction, Vermont 05009